

of Contents Released 2/6

eport, we compare the responses of your alumini who took the HEDS Alumni Survey during the 2021-2022 academic year to the ses of alumni from other institutions who participated in the survey in the last four academic years. The report contains findings for of alumni who took the survey 1, 5, 10, or more than 10 years after they graduated. We only include data from your institution for who were in one of these three cohorts.

note that the data does not appear in the order that questions were presented in the survey instrument. Instead, we have organize according to the different topics that the survey addresses.

use the Table of Contents and accompanying section descriptions (see below) to navigate this report.

Click on the underlined names below to jump to the worksheet you would like to view:

1. Demographics

Responses to demographic questions, your institution compared to other institutions.

2. Postgraduate Activities

Responses to questions about postgraduate activities including primary activity, plans for continuing education, and information related to alumni's first and current paying jobs. Your institution compared to other institutions.

- 3. Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty
- 4. Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations
- 5. Interactions with Diversity
- 6. Growth on Intellectual Outcomes
- 7. Growth on Civic Outcomes

Tabs 3-7 include data on five dimensions of college experience. We provide mean scores for each indicator comparing alumni at your institution to alumni at other institutions. We also show how your alumni and alumni at other institutions responded to each question within the indicators.

8. College Activities

Table providing information on how often your alumni participated in different college activities and the impact of those activities on their development.

9. College Satisfaction

Results for alumni's responses on satisfaction, connection, and the level of impact that they associate with your institution, broken down by field of study and primary activity.

10. Technical Information



Responses to Demographic Questions								
	Kenyon 5-Year Cohort		All Other Alumni in 5-Year Cohort		•		-	
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
What is your current relationship status? (Choose one) (Q35)								
Living with partner	42	37%	1,417	26%	24	19%	548	14%
Married	13	11%	1,342	24%	69	56%	2,248	57%
Separated or divorced	0	0%	49	1%			67	2%
Single	52	45%	2,607	47%	29	23%	1,045	26%
Widowed	0	0%			0	0%		
Other	8	7%	121	2%	0	0%	43	1%
Total	115	100%	5,540	100%	124	100%	3,956	100%
How many dependent children do you have? (Q36)								
None	113	98%	5,067	92%	99	79%	2,728	69%
1 or 2			409	7%	25	20%	1,050	27%
More than 2	0	0%	56	1%			157	4%
Total	115	100%	5,532	100%	125	100%	3,935	100%



Responses to Demographic Questions								
		nyon r Cohort	All Other Alumni in 5-Year Cohort			nyon Ir Cohort		er Alumni ar Cohort
Which of the following best describes your primary area of study? (Q27)								
Biological Sciences (e.g., Biology, Biochemistry, Environmental Science, Neuroscience/Biopsychology)	12	11%	130	10%	13	10%	62	7%
Business and Management (e.g., Accounting, Business Administration, Finance, Marketing)			193	15%			98	11%
Communications (e.g., Journalism, Mass Communication, Speech, Speech Pathology)			59	5%	0	0%	55	6%
Education (e.g., Elementary Education, Secondary Education, Special Education)	0	0%	68	5%	0	0%	58	7%
Engineering (e.g., Chemical Engineering, Civil Engineering, Electrical Engineering, Mechanical Engineering)	0	0%	26	2%	0	0%	18	2%
Fine and Performing Arts (e.g., Architecture, Art, Dance, Music, Theatre)	5	4%	66	5%	9	7%	57	7%
Health Sciences (e.g., Exercise Science, Nursing, Pharmacy, Public Health)			107	8%			52	6%
Humanities (e.g., Classics, English, Modern Languages & Literature, Philosophy)	31	27%	135	11%	46	37%	100	12%
Physical Sciences, Mathematics, and Computer Science (e.g., Astronomy, Chemistry, Earth Sciences, Physics)	15	13%	85	7%	10	8%	68	8%
Social Sciences (e.g., Anthropology, Economics, Political Science, Psychology, Sociology)	36	32%	309	24%	37	30%	205	24%
Other	7	6%	98	8%	6	5%	87	10%
Total	114	100%	1,276	100%	124	100%	860	100%



Responses to Questions on P	ostgraduate Activities								
			nyon r Cohort		All Other Alumni in 5-Year Cohort		Kenyon 10-Year Cohort		r Alumni ar Cohor
		n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
Please indicate which of the fol	lowing describes your current PRIMARY activity: (C	Q1)							
Employed, full-time		-	-	6,256	76%	-	-	4,779	83%
Employed, part-time		-	-	193	2%	-	-	226	4%
Employed, multiple jobs		-	-	320	4%	-	-	212	4%
Graduate or professional school	, full-time	-	-	1,043	13%	-	-	205	4%
Graduate or professional school	, part-time	-	-	48	1%	-	-	20	0%
Military service		-	-	53	1%	-	-	36	1%
Volunteer or national service (Po	eace Corps, AmeriCorps, etc.)	-	-	16	0%	-	-	7	0%
Not employed, but seeking emp opportunity	loyment, admission to graduate school, or other	-	-	200	2%	-	-	83	1%
' '	employment or admission to graduate school er, retired, etc.)	-	-	92	1%	-	-	171	3%
Total		-	-	8,221	100%	-	-	5,739	100%
Please indicate your plans for e	ach of the following degrees or certificates: (Q2)	•		•				•	
Second Bachelor's Degree	Do not plan to pursue	141	95%	6,196	91%	158	96%	4,389	92%
	Degree received			393	6%			283	6%
	Currently enrolled or working toward			48	1%			22	0%
	Degree you hope to attain in the future			175	3%			88	2%
	Total	149	100%	6,812	100%	164	100%	4,782	100%
Master's Degree in Arts and	Do not plan to pursue	78	52%	3,993	56%	96	56%	2,772	55%
Sciences (e.g., MA, MS, MFA)	Degree received	44	29%	1,466	21%	58	34%	1,558	31%



Responses to Questions on Po	ostgraduate Activities								
			nyon r Cohort		r Alumni r Cohort			•	
	Currently enrolled or working toward	9	6%	514	7%	7	4%	165	3%
	Degree you hope to attain in the future	19	13%	1,151	16%	9	5%	511	10%
	Total	150	100%	7,124	100%	170	100%	5,006	100%
Master of Business	Do not plan to pursue	120	82%	5,305	78%	139	85%	3,840	81%
Administration (MBA)	Degree received		3%	239	4%	16	10%	319	7%
	Currently enrolled or working toward	5	3%	184	3%			69	1%
	Degree you hope to attain in the future	18	12%	1,038	15%	6	4%	507	11%
	Total	147	100%	6,766	100%	163	100%	4,735	100%
Other Master's Degree (e.g.,	Do not plan to pursue	124	83%	5,480	81%	128	79%	3,842	81%
MSW, MSE, MSN, MAT, MPA)	Degree received	9	6%	495	7%	25	15%	593	12%
	Currently enrolled or working toward	8	5%	259	4%			86	2%
	Degree you hope to attain in the future	8	5%	571	8%	8	5%	245	5%
	Total	149	100%	6,805	100%	162	100%	4,766	100%
Law Degree (JD or LLB)	Do not plan to pursue	132	89%	6,111	92%	143	91%	4,311	92%
	Degree received	7	5%	207	3%	13	8%	241	5%
	Currently enrolled or working toward		3%	100	1%	0	0%	19	0%
	Degree you hope to attain in the future	5	3%	258	4%			103	2%
	Total	148	100%	6,676	100%	158	100%	4,674	100%



Postgraduate Activities

Responses to Questions on Pos	stgraduate Activities								
			nyon r Cohort		r Alumni r Cohort		Kenyon 10-Year Cohort		r Alumni ar Cohort
Medical Degree (e.g., MD, DO,	Do not plan to pursue	137	93%	6,208	93%	149	94%	4,392	94%
DDS, DVM, PharmD)	Degree received	5	3%	187	3%	9	6%	218	5%
	Currently enrolled or working toward			195	3%	0	0%	24	1%
	Degree you hope to attain in the future			96	1%			39	1%
	Total	147	100%	6,686	100%	159	100%	4,673	100%
PhD	Do not plan to pursue	104	67%	5,289	78%	122	75%	3,783	80%
	Degree received	5	3%	69	1%	15	9%	345	7%
	Currently enrolled or working toward	24	15%	428	6%	8	5%	119	3%
	Degree you hope to attain in the future	22	14%	960	14%	18	11%	506	11%
	Total	155	100%	6,746	100%	163	100%	4,753	100%
Other Doctoral Degree (e.g., EdD,	Do not plan to pursue	132	95%	6,000	91%	144	92%	4,236	92%
PsyD, DBA)	Degree received	0	0%	80	1%			64	1%
	Currently enrolled or working toward			53	1%			34	1%
	Degree you hope to attain in the future	6	4%	444	7%	5	3%	286	6%
	Total	139	100%	6,577	100%	156	100%	4,620	100%
Other Certificate	Do not plan to pursue	98	92%	3,934	83%	81	79%	2,741	83%
	Degree received			323	7%	14	14%	309	9%
	Currently enrolled or working toward	0	0%	193	4%			107	3%
	Degree you hope to attain in the future	5	5%	302	6%	5	5%	143	4%
	Total	107	100%	4,752	100%	103	100%	3,300	100%
Other Degree	Do not plan to pursue	102	98%	4,190	95%	86	97%	2,910	95%
_	Degree received	0	0%	77	2%			74	2%
	Currently enrolled or working toward			55	1%	0	0%	29	1%
	Degree you hope to attain in the future			83	2%			39	1%



			nyon Cohort	All Othe			nyon ar Cohort	All Othe	
	Total	104	100%	4,405	100%	89	100%	3,052	100%
About how often do you current	ly engage in community service or volunteer work	for organ	izations? ((Q24)					
Less than once a year		36	32%	1,403	25%	33	26%	1,003	25%
Once or twice a year		29	25%	1,527	27%	48	38%	1,078	27%
Several times a year		30	26%	1,337	24%	21	16%	943	24%
Monthly		8	7%	720	13%	13	10%	516	13%
Weekly		11	10%	590	11%	13	10%	435	11%
Total		114	100%	5,577	100%	128	100%	3,975	100%
How many paying jobs have you	had since you graduated from this institution? (Q	18)							
	, ,								
0				42	1%	0	0%	11	0%
0			 16%	42 1,082	1% 19%	0	0%	11 355	0% 9%
0 1 2									
0 1 2 3		 18	16%	1,082	19%			355	9%
1 2		 18 35	16% 30%	1,082 1,657	19% 30%	 17	13%	355 723	9% 18%
1 2 3		 18 35 27	16% 30% 23%	1,082 1,657 1,436	19% 30% 26%	 17 27	 13% 21%	355 723 938	9% 18% 23%
1 2 3 4		 18 35 27 14	16% 30% 23% 12%	1,082 1,657 1,436 674	19% 30% 26% 12%	 17 27 27	13% 21% 21%	355 723 938 748	9% 18% 23% 19%
1 2 3 4 5 or more		 18 35 27 14 21	16% 30% 23% 12% 18%	1,082 1,657 1,436 674 718	19% 30% 26% 12% 13%	 17 27 27 58	 13% 21% 21% 45%	355 723 938 748 1,239	9% 18% 23% 19% 31%
1 2 3 4 5 or more Total		 18 35 27 14 21	16% 30% 23% 12% 18%	1,082 1,657 1,436 674 718	19% 30% 26% 12% 13%	 17 27 27 58	 13% 21% 21% 45%	355 723 938 748 1,239	9% 18% 23% 19% 31%
1 2 3 4 5 or more Total What is your CURRENT primary j	ob? (Q22)	 18 35 27 14 21 116	16% 30% 23% 12% 18% 100%	1,082 1,657 1,436 674 718 5,609	19% 30% 26% 12% 13% 100%	 17 27 27 58 130	 13% 21% 21% 45% 100%	355 723 938 748 1,239 4,014	9% 18% 23% 19% 31% 100%
1 2 3 4 5 or more Total What is your CURRENT primary j	ob? (Q22) Architect	 18 35 27 14 21 116	16% 30% 23% 12% 18% 100%	1,082 1,657 1,436 674 718 5,609	19% 30% 26% 12% 13% 100%	 17 27 27 58 130	 13% 21% 21% 45% 100%	355 723 938 748 1,239 4,014	9% 18% 23% 19% 31% 100%



Responses to Questions on Po	ostgraduate Activities								
			nyon Cohort	All Othe	r Alumni r Cohort		nyon r Cohort	All Othe	r Alumni ar Cohort
	Graphic designer	0	0%	40	1%			19	1%
	Interior designer	0	0%	9	0%	0	0%		
	Museum curator	0	0%	7	0%	0	0%		
	Music/film industry			37	1%			17	1%
	Photographer	0	0%	5	0%	0	0%		
	Other Art, Design, and Entertainment	0	0%	52	1%			43	1%
	Total			175	4%	8	7%	124	4%
Communications and Media	Broadcasting	0	0%	11	0%	0	0%		
	Editor			25	1%			17	1%
	Journalist			10	0%	0	0%	17	1%
	Media production			24	1%	0	0%	10	0%
	Public relations			33	1%	0	0%	18	1%
	Publisher	0	0%	5	0%	0	0%	6	0%
	Writer			26	1%			24	1%
	Other Communications and Media			98	2%			57	2%
	Total	11	12%	232	5%	6	5%	151	4%
Community and Social Service	Clergy	0	0%	17	0%	0	0%	16	0%
	Community organizer	0	0%	9	0%				
	Philanthropy or nonprofit worker	0	0%	122	3%			78	2%
	Social activist	0	0%			0	0%		
	Social work			115	3%			69	2%
	Other Community and Social Service			51	1%	0	0%	35	1%
	Total	5	5%	318	7%	10	9%	202	6%
Education and Library	Librarian or archivist			42	1%			44	1%



Responses to Questions on Postgraduate Activities

		nyon r Cohort		r Alumni ir Cohort		iyon r Cohort	All Othe	r Alumni ar Cohort
Preschool/elementary/middle school/high school/secondary administration	0	0%	21	0%			44	1%
Preschool/elementary/middle school/high school/secondary teacher			378	9%			285	8%
Postsecondary administration/staff	0	0%	149	3%			117	3%
Postsecondary teacher or researcher			82	2%			132	4%
School counselor	0	0%	25	1%			21	1%
Other Education and Library	7	8%	131	3%	6	5%	98	3%
Total	17	19%	828	19%	26	23%	741	22%



Postgraduate Activities

Responses to Questions on F									
		Ke	nyon	All Othe	r Alumni	Kenyon		All Other Alun	
			r Cohort		r Cohort		r Cohort	in 10-Yea	
Health Care	Clinical psychology/psychiatry			38	1%			43	1%
	Dentist			14	0%	0	0%	15	0%
	Dietician	0	0%	5	0%	0	0%		
	Nurse	0	0%	168	4%			84	3%
	Optometrist	0	0%			0	0%		
	Pharmacist	0	0%	10	0%			9	0%
	Physical/occupational/speech therapy	0	0%	97	2%			46	1%
	Physician			74	2%	5	4%	88	3%
	Veterinarian	0	0%	14	0%	0	0%	15	0%
	Other Health Care			193	4%			113	3%
	Total	9	10%	617	14%	13	12%	416	12%
Law and Government	Diplomat	0	0%			0	0%		
	Foreign service	0	0%	5	0%	0	0%		
	Government worker			85	2%			74	2%
	International relations			11	0%	0	0%	5	0%
	Judge	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
	Lawyer			119	3%			138	4%
	Other legal services			39	1%	0	0%	16	0%
	Politics	0	0%	29	1%	0	0%	14	0%
	Public policy			28	1%	0	0%	24	1%
	Other Law and Government			34	1%			38	1%
	Total	10	11%	353	8%	5	4%	314	9%
Management, Business, and	Accounting	0	0%	106	2%	0	0%	63	2%
Financial	Actuary	0	0%			0	0%		



Responses to Questions	on Postgraduate Activities								
			nyon r Cohort	All Other			Kenyon 10-Year Cohort		r Alumni ar Cohort
	Advertising	0	0%	41	1%			27	1%
	Executive	0	0%	21	0%			27	1%
	Finance	7	8%	226	5%			126	4%
	Human resources	0	0%	66	1%	0	0%	50	1%
	Insurance	0	0%	47	1%	0	0%	37	1%
	Management	0	0%	135	3%	5	4%	122	4%
	Real estate			42	1%			20	1%
	Recruiting	0	0%	26	1%	0	0%	12	0%
	Retail services	0	0%	23	1%	0	0%	19	1%
	Sales			88	2%			59	2%
	Other Management, Business, and Financial	5	5%	212	5%	5	4%	178	5%
	Total	14	15%	1,036	23%	20	18%	744	22%
Natural Resources	Agricultural worker			7	0%	0	0%	6	0%
	Conservationist	0	0%	6	0%			5	0%
	Environmental scientist	0	0%	12	0%	0	0%	7	0%
	Other Natural Resources	0	0%	13	0%	0	0%	11	0%
	Total			38	1%			29	1%
Protection Services	Law enforcement officer	0	0%	11	0%	0	0%	16	0%
	Military occupations			25	1%	0	0%	15	0%
	Other Protection Services	0	0%	9	0%	0	0%	8	0%
	Total			45	1%	0	0%	39	1%



Responses to Questions on	Postgraduate Activities								
-			nyon r Cohort	All Othe	r Alumni r Cohort		nyon r Cohort		r Alumni ır Cohort
Science, Technology, and	Computer programmer/analyst			171	4%	8	7%	117	3%
Engineering	Engineer			128	3%			105	3%
	Information systems	0	0%	33	1%	0	0%	43	1%
	Lab technician	0	0%	34	1%	0	0%	6	0%
	Scientific researcher	14	15%	176	4%	5	4%	120	4%
	Other Science, Technology, and Engineering			119	3%	7	6%	125	4%
	Total	20	22%	661	15%	21	19%	516	15%
Service and Recreational	Chef	0	0%			0	0%		
	Food service industry	0	0%	22	0%	0	0%	13	0%
	Hospitality	0	0%	24	1%	0	0%	9	0%
	Sports and recreation			25	1%	0	0%	17	1%
	Travel/tourism	0	0%	7	0%	0	0%	7	0%
	Other Service and Recreational	0	0%	15	0%	0	0%	12	0%
	Total			96	2%	0	0%	59	2%
Undecided				33	1%			25	1%
Total		91		4,432		113		3,360	
Please indicate whether each	of the following descriptions applies to your CURRE	NT job.							
(Check all that apply) (Q23)									
Relates to my undergraduate	major	61	61%	3,196	64%	67	56%	2,195	59%
Requires me to use skills I gair	ned as an undergraduate	82	82%	3,777	75%	87	73%	2,770	74%
Is related to my desired caree	r path	87	87%	3,882	77%	102	85%	2,921	78%
Is work I find meaningful		86	86%	3,906	78%	100	83%	3,059	82%
Allows me to continue to grov	v and learn	91	91%	4,353	87%	111	93%	3,241	86%
Pays enough to support my de	esired lifestyle	70	70%	3,662	73%	95	79%	2,917	78%

^{© 2023} Higher Education Data Sharing Consortium



Postgraduate Activities

Responses to Questions on Postgraduate Activities								
		nyon r Cohort	All Other Alumni		Kenyon 10-Year Cohort			r Alumni ar Cohort
Pays health insurance benefits			108			83%		
Has opportunity for upward mobility	63	63%	3,496	70%	89	74%	3,128 2,561	68%
Is likely to continue until I wish to leave	80	80%	4,276	85%	107	89%	3,229	86%
Is in a desirable location	72	72%	3,813	76%	98	82%	2,938	78%
Overall, is a satisfying job	86	86%	4,034	80%	110	92%	3,147	84%
Number of Alumni Who Responded to This Question	100		5,020		120		3,747	
At what point did you secure your first paying job after graduating from this institu	tion? Cal	lculated by	/ HEDS bas	sed on res	ponses to	Q19 and	Q20	
While enrolled as an undergraduate.	57	50%	2,223	39%	40	31%	1,299	32%
During the first six months after graduation.	38	33%	2,319	41%	59	46%	1,733	43%
During the second six months after graduation.	6	5%	368	7%	17	13%	322	8%
More than a year after graduation.	13	11%	629	11%	13	10%	644	16%
I have not yet sought a paying job.			102	2%	0	0%	16	0%
I have sought a paying job, but I have not yet found one.	0	0%	20	0%	0	0%	5	0%
Total	115	100%	5,661	100%	129	100%	4,019	100%



Postgraduate Activities

Donners to Overtions on Bootsmadureto Astivities								
Responses to Questions on Postgraduate Activities			ı				1	
		nyon r Cohort		r Alumni r Cohort		iyon r Cohort		r Alumni ar Cohort
Please indicate whether each of the following descriptions applied to your first paying job after you graduated from this institution.								
(Check all that apply) (Q21)								
Was related to my undergraduate major	49	46%	2,708	55%	51	44%	1,885	52%
Required me to use skills I gained as an undergraduate	64	60%	3,039	62%	61	52%	2,123	58%
Was related to my desired career path	65	61%	3,074	62%	60	51%	2,106	58%
Was work I found meaningful	59	56%	2,857	58%	63	54%	1,990	54%
Allowed me to continue to grow and learn	76	72%	3,523	71%	70	60%	2,369	65%
Paid enough to support my desired lifestyle	39	37%	2,455	50%	45	38%	1,601	44%
Paid health insurance benefits	64	60%	2,802	57%	57	49%	2,034	56%
Had opportunity for upward mobility	39	37%	2,088	42%	33	28%	1,385	38%
Continued until I wished to leave	68	64%	3,135	63%	84	72%	2,261	62%
Was in a desirable location	68	64%	3,052	62%	73	62%	2,098	57%
Overall, was a satisfying job	53	50%	2,780	56%	55	47%	1,945	53%
Number of Alumni Who Responded to This Question	106		4,941		117		3,659	



Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the nine statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty

1 = Strongly disagree; 2 = Disagree; 3 = Neither agree nor disagree; 4 = Agree; 5 = Strongly agree

Below are statements about your views of your undergraduate faculty's interest in teaching and students. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each.

Most faculty with whom I have had contact at this institution were...

Genuinely interested in students.

Interested in helping students grow in more than just academic areas.

Good at providing prompt and useful feedback.

Willing to spend time outside of class to discuss issues of interest and importance to students.

Below are statements about your contact and interactions with faculty during your undergraduate experience at this institution. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my personal growth, values, and attitudes.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my intellectual growth and interest in ideas.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my career goals and aspirations.

I developed a close, personal relationship with at least one faculty member.

I was satisfied with the opportunities to meet and interact informally with faculty members.

Table 2: Summary Results for Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty



Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the nine statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty

1 = Strongly disagree; 2 = Disagree; 3 = Neither agree nor disagree; 4 = Agree; 5 = Strongly agree

Below are statements about your views of your undergraduate faculty's interest in teaching and students. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each.

Most faculty with whom I have had contact at this institution were...

Genuinely interested in students.

Interested in helping students grow in more than just academic areas.

Good at providing prompt and useful feedback.

Willing to spend time outside of class to discuss issues of interest and importance to students.

Below are statements about your contact and interactions with faculty during your undergraduate experience at this institution. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my personal growth, values, and attitudes.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my intellectual growth and interest in ideas.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my career goals and aspirations.

I developed a close, personal relationship with at least one faculty member.

Table 2: Summary Results for Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty								
	Kenyon	All Other Alumni	Kenyon	All Other Alumni				
© 2023 Higher Education Data Sharing Consortium	5-Year Cohort	in 5-Year Cohort	10-Year Cohort	in 10-Year Cohort				



Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty

This tab includes three tables on the Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty indicator. Table 1 includes the nine statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty

1 = Strongly disagree; 2 = Disagree; 3 = Neither agree nor disagree; 4 = Agree; 5 = Strongly agree

Below are statements about your views of your undergraduate faculty's interest in teaching and students. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each.

Most faculty with whom I have had contact at this institution were...

Genuinely interested in students.

Interested in helping students grow in more than just academic areas.

Good at providing prompt and useful feedback.

Willing to spend time outside of class to discuss issues of interest and importance to students.

Below are statements about your contact and interactions with faculty during your undergraduate experience at this institution. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my personal growth, values, and attitudes.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my intellectual growth and interest in ideas.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my career goals and aspirations.

I developed a close, personal relationship with at least one faculty member.

ľ	Table 2: Summary F	Results for Good	Teaching and	High-Quality	Interactior	ns with Faculty
ſ						

	Kenyon	All Other Alumni	Kenyon	All Other Alumni
© 2023 Higher Education Data Sharing Consortium	5-Year Cohort	in 5-Year Cohort	10-Year Cohort	in 10-Year Cohort
Mean	4.36 🔺	4.20	4.28 🔺	4.17



Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the nine statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty

1 = Strongly disagree; 2 = Disagree; 3 = Neither agree nor disagree; 4 = Agree; 5 = Strongly agree

Below are statements about your views of your undergraduate faculty's interest in teaching and students. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each.

Most faculty with whom I have had contact at this institution were...

Genuinely interested in students.

Interested in helping students grow in more than just academic areas.

Good at providing prompt and useful feedback.

Willing to spend time outside of class to discuss issues of interest and importance to students.

Below are statements about your contact and interactions with faculty during your undergraduate experience at this institution. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my personal growth, values, and attitudes.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my intellectual growth and interest in ideas.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my career goals and aspirations.

I developed a close, personal relationship with at least one faculty member.

I was satisfied with the opportunities to meet and interact informally with faculty members.

Table 2: Summary Results for Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty

	Kenyon	All Other Alumni	Kenyon	All Other Alumni
© 2023 Higher Education Data Sharing Consortium	5-Year Cohort	in 5-Year Cohort	10-Year Cohort	in 10-Year Cohort
Standard Deviation	0.61	0.69	0.69	0.69



Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the nine statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty

1 = Strongly disagree; 2 = Disagree; 3 = Neither agree nor disagree; 4 = Agree; 5 = Strongly agree

Below are statements about your views of your undergraduate faculty's interest in teaching and students. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each.

Most faculty with whom I have had contact at this institution were...

Genuinely interested in students.

Interested in helping students grow in more than just academic areas.

Good at providing prompt and useful feedback.

Willing to spend time outside of class to discuss issues of interest and importance to students.

Below are statements about your contact and interactions with faculty during your undergraduate experience at this institution. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my personal growth, values, and attitudes.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my intellectual growth and interest in ideas.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my career goals and aspirations.

I developed a close, personal relationship with at least one faculty member.

	Kenyon	All Other Alumni	Kenyon	All Other Alumni
© 2023 Higher Education Data Sharing Consortium	5-Year Cohort	in 5-Year Cohort	10-Year Cohort	in 10-Year Cohort
75th Percentile	4.89	4.78	4.89	4.78



Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the nine statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty

1 = Strongly disagree; 2 = Disagree; 3 = Neither agree nor disagree; 4 = Agree; 5 = Strongly agree

Below are statements about your views of your undergraduate faculty's interest in teaching and students. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each.

Most faculty with whom I have had contact at this institution were...

Genuinely interested in students.

Interested in helping students grow in more than just academic areas.

Good at providing prompt and useful feedback.

Willing to spend time outside of class to discuss issues of interest and importance to students.

Below are statements about your contact and interactions with faculty during your undergraduate experience at this institution. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my personal growth, values, and attitudes.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my intellectual growth and interest in ideas.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my career goals and aspirations.

I developed a close, personal relationship with at least one faculty member.

I was satisfied with the opportunities to meet and interact informally with faculty members.

Table 2: Summary Results for Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty

	Kenyon	All Other Alumni	Kenyon	All Other Alumni
© 2023 Higher Education Data Sharing Consortium	5-Year Cohort	in 5-Year Cohort	10-Year Cohort	in 10-Year Cohort
Median	4.56	4.33	4.44	4.22



Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the nine statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty

1 = Strongly disagree; 2 = Disagree; 3 = Neither agree nor disagree; 4 = Agree; 5 = Strongly agree

Below are statements about your views of your undergraduate faculty's interest in teaching and students. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each.

Most faculty with whom I have had contact at this institution were...

Genuinely interested in students.

Interested in helping students grow in more than just academic areas.

Good at providing prompt and useful feedback.

Willing to spend time outside of class to discuss issues of interest and importance to students.

Below are statements about your contact and interactions with faculty during your undergraduate experience at this institution. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my personal growth, values, and attitudes.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my intellectual growth and interest in ideas.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my career goals and aspirations.

I developed a close, personal relationship with at least one faculty member.

	Kenyon	All Other Alumni	Kenyon	All Other Alumni
© 2023 Higher Education Data Sharing Consortium	5-Year Cohort	in 5-Year Cohort	10-Year Cohort	in 10-Year Cohort
25th Percentile	4.00	3.78	3.89	3.78



Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the nine statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty

1 = Strongly disagree; 2 = Disagree; 3 = Neither agree nor disagree; 4 = Agree; 5 = Strongly agree

Below are statements about your views of your undergraduate faculty's interest in teaching and students. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each.

Most faculty with whom I have had contact at this institution were...

Genuinely interested in students.

Interested in helping students grow in more than just academic areas.

Good at providing prompt and useful feedback.

Willing to spend time outside of class to discuss issues of interest and importance to students.

Below are statements about your contact and interactions with faculty during your undergraduate experience at this institution. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my personal growth, values, and attitudes.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my intellectual growth and interest in ideas.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my career goals and aspirations.

I developed a close, personal relationship with at least one faculty member.

	Kenyon	All Other Alumni	Kenyon	All Other Alumni
	•		•	
© 2023 Higher Education Data Sharing Consortium	5-Year Cohort	in 5-Year Cohort	10-Year Cohort	in 10-Year Cohort
Total Responses	144	6,982	162	4,897



Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the nine statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty

1 = Strongly disagree; 2 = Disagree; 3 = Neither agree nor disagree; 4 = Agree; 5 = Strongly agree

Below are statements about your views of your undergraduate faculty's interest in teaching and students. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each.

Most faculty with whom I have had contact at this institution were...

Genuinely interested in students.

Interested in helping students grow in more than just academic areas.

Good at providing prompt and useful feedback.

Willing to spend time outside of class to discuss issues of interest and importance to students.

Below are statements about your contact and interactions with faculty during your undergraduate experience at this institution. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my personal growth, values, and attitudes.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my intellectual growth and interest in ideas.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my career goals and aspirations.

I developed a close, personal relationship with at least one faculty member.

Table 2: Summary Results for Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty							
	Kenvon	All Other Alumni	Kenvon	All Other Alumni			
© 2023 Higher Education Data Sharing Consortium	5-Year Cohort	in 5-Year Cohort	10-Year Cohort	in 10-Year Cohort			



Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the nine statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty

1 = Strongly disagree; 2 = Disagree; 3 = Neither agree nor disagree; 4 = Agree; 5 = Strongly agree

Below are statements about your views of your undergraduate faculty's interest in teaching and students. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each.

Most faculty with whom I have had contact at this institution were...

Genuinely interested in students.

Interested in helping students grow in more than just academic areas.

Good at providing prompt and useful feedback.

Willing to spend time outside of class to discuss issues of interest and importance to students.

Below are statements about your contact and interactions with faculty during your undergraduate experience at this institution. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my personal growth, values, and attitudes.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my intellectual growth and interest in ideas.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my career goals and aspirations.

I developed a close, personal relationship with at least one faculty member.

Table 2: Summary Results for Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty							
	Kenyon All Other Alumni		Kenvon	All Other Alumni			
© 2023 Higher Education Data Sharing Consortium	5-Year Cohort	in 5-Year Cohort	•	in 10-Year Cohort			



Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the nine statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty

1 = Strongly disagree; 2 = Disagree; 3 = Neither agree nor disagree; 4 = Agree; 5 = Strongly agree

Below are statements about your views of your undergraduate faculty's interest in teaching and students. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each.

Most faculty with whom I have had contact at this institution were...

Genuinely interested in students.

Interested in helping students grow in more than just academic areas.

Good at providing prompt and useful feedback.

Willing to spend time outside of class to discuss issues of interest and importance to students.

Below are statements about your contact and interactions with faculty during your undergraduate experience at this institution. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my personal growth, values, and attitudes.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my intellectual growth and interest in ideas.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my career goals and aspirations.

I developed a close, personal relationship with at least one faculty member.

Table 2: Summary Results for Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty							
	Kenyon	All Other Alumni	Kenyon	All Other Alumni			
© 2023 Higher Education Data Sharing Consortium	5-Year Cohort	in 5-Year Cohort	10-Year Cohort	in 10-Year Cohort			



Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the nine statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty

1 = Strongly disagree; 2 = Disagree; 3 = Neither agree nor disagree; 4 = Agree; 5 = Strongly agree

Below are statements about your views of your undergraduate faculty's interest in teaching and students. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each.

Most faculty with whom I have had contact at this institution were...

Genuinely interested in students.

Interested in helping students grow in more than just academic areas.

Good at providing prompt and useful feedback.

Willing to spend time outside of class to discuss issues of interest and importance to students.

Below are statements about your contact and interactions with faculty during your undergraduate experience at this institution. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my personal growth, values, and attitudes.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my intellectual growth and interest in ideas.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my career goals and aspirations.

I developed a close, personal relationship with at least one faculty member.

I was satisfied with the opportunities to meet and interact informally with faculty members.

Table 2: Summary Results for Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty								
© 2023 Higher Education Data Sharing Consortium	Kenyon	All Other Alumni	Kenyon	All Other Alumni				
	5-Year Cohort	in 5-Year Cohort	10-Year Cohort	in 10-Year Cohort				

Table 3: Responses to Statements in the Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty Indicator



Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the nine statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty

1 = Strongly disagree; 2 = Disagree; 3 = Neither agree nor disagree; 4 = Agree; 5 = Strongly agree

Below are statements about your views of your undergraduate faculty's interest in teaching and students. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each.

Most faculty with whom I have had contact at this institution were...

Genuinely interested in students.

Interested in helping students grow in more than just academic areas.

Good at providing prompt and useful feedback.

Willing to spend time outside of class to discuss issues of interest and importance to students.

Below are statements about your contact and interactions with faculty during your undergraduate experience at this institution. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my personal growth, values, and attitudes.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my intellectual growth and interest in ideas.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my career goals and aspirations.

I developed a close, personal relationship with at least one faculty member.

Table 2: Summary Results for Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty								
© 2023 Higher Education Data Sharing Consortium	Kenyon 5-Year Cohort	All Other Alumni in 5-Year Cohort	Kenyon 10-Year Cohort	All Other Alumni in 10-Year Cohort				
	Kenvon	All Other Alumni	Kenvon	All Other Alumni				



Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the nine statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty

1 = Strongly disagree; 2 = Disagree; 3 = Neither agree nor disagree; 4 = Agree; 5 = Strongly agree

Below are statements about your views of your undergraduate faculty's interest in teaching and students. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each.

Most faculty with whom I have had contact at this institution were...

Genuinely interested in students.

Interested in helping students grow in more than just academic areas.

Good at providing prompt and useful feedback.

Willing to spend time outside of class to discuss issues of interest and importance to students.

Below are statements about your contact and interactions with faculty during your undergraduate experience at this institution. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my personal growth, values, and attitudes.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my intellectual growth and interest in ideas.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my career goals and aspirations.

I developed a close, personal relationship with at least one faculty member.

Table 2: Summary Results for Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty						
	Kenvon	All Other Alumni	Kenvon	All Other Alumni		
© 2023 Higher Education Data Sharing Consortium	5-Year Cohort	in 5-Year Cohort	10-Year Cohort	in 10-Year Cohort		
	n %	n %	n %	n %		



Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the nine statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty

1 = Strongly disagree; 2 = Disagree; 3 = Neither agree nor disagree; 4 = Agree; 5 = Strongly agree

Below are statements about your views of your undergraduate faculty's interest in teaching and students. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each.

Most faculty with whom I have had contact at this institution were...

Genuinely interested in students.

Interested in helping students grow in more than just academic areas.

Good at providing prompt and useful feedback.

Willing to spend time outside of class to discuss issues of interest and importance to students.

Below are statements about your contact and interactions with faculty during your undergraduate experience at this institution. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my personal growth, values, and attitudes.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my intellectual growth and interest in ideas.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my career goals and aspirations.

I developed a close, personal relationship with at least one faculty member.

Table 2: Summary Results for Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty								
	Kenyon	All Other Alumni	Kenyon	All Other Alumni				
© 2023 Higher Education Data Sharing Consortium 5-Year Cohort in 5-Year Cohort 10-Year Cohort in 10-Year Cohort								
Below are statements about your views of your undergraduate faculty's interest in teaching and students. 2								



Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the nine statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty

1 = Strongly disagree; 2 = Disagree; 3 = Neither agree nor disagree; 4 = Agree; 5 = Strongly agree

Below are statements about your views of your undergraduate faculty's interest in teaching and students. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each.

Most faculty with whom I have had contact at this institution were...

Genuinely interested in students.

Interested in helping students grow in more than just academic areas.

Good at providing prompt and useful feedback.

Willing to spend time outside of class to discuss issues of interest and importance to students.

Below are statements about your contact and interactions with faculty during your undergraduate experience at this institution. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my personal growth, values, and attitudes.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my intellectual growth and interest in ideas.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my career goals and aspirations.

I developed a close, personal relationship with at least one faculty member.

Table 2: Summary Results for Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty							
	Kenyon	All Other Alumni	Kenyon	All Other Alumni			
© 2023 Higher Education Data Sharing Consortium	5-Year Cohort	in 5-Year Cohort	10-Year Cohort	in 10-Year Cohort			
Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each.							



Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the nine statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty

1 = Strongly disagree; 2 = Disagree; 3 = Neither agree nor disagree; 4 = Agree; 5 = Strongly agree

Below are statements about your views of your undergraduate faculty's interest in teaching and students. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each.

Most faculty with whom I have had contact at this institution were...

Genuinely interested in students.

Interested in helping students grow in more than just academic areas.

Good at providing prompt and useful feedback.

Willing to spend time outside of class to discuss issues of interest and importance to students.

Below are statements about your contact and interactions with faculty during your undergraduate experience at this institution. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my personal growth, values, and attitudes.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my intellectual growth and interest in ideas.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my career goals and aspirations.

I developed a close, personal relationship with at least one faculty member.

Table 2: Summary Results for Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty							
	Vanuan	All Othor Alumni	Vanuan	All Other Alumni			
	Kenyon	All Other Alumni	Kenyon	All Other Alumni			
© 2023 Higher Education Data Sharing Consortium	5-Year Cohort	in 5-Year Cohort	10-Year Cohort	in 10-Year Cohort			
Most faculty with whom I had contact at this institution were (Q3)							



Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the nine statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty

1 = Strongly disagree; 2 = Disagree; 3 = Neither agree nor disagree; 4 = Agree; 5 = Strongly agree

Below are statements about your views of your undergraduate faculty's interest in teaching and students. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each.

Most faculty with whom I have had contact at this institution were...

Genuinely interested in students.

Interested in helping students grow in more than just academic areas.

Good at providing prompt and useful feedback.

Willing to spend time outside of class to discuss issues of interest and importance to students.

Below are statements about your contact and interactions with faculty during your undergraduate experience at this institution. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my personal growth, values, and attitudes.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my intellectual growth and interest in ideas.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my career goals and aspirations.

I developed a close, personal relationship with at least one faculty member.

Table 2: Summary Results for Good Teaching and High-Quality Interaction	is with Faculty

	Kei	Kenyon All Other Alumni		Kenyon		Kenyon All Oth		All Othe	r Alumni
© 2023 Higher Education Data Sharing Consortium	5-Yea	r Cohort	in 5-Yea	r Cohort	10-Yea	r Cohort	in 10-Ye	ar Cohort	
Genuinely interested in students. Strongly disagree	0	0%	32	0%	0	0%	21	0%	



Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the nine statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty

1 = Strongly disagree; 2 = Disagree; 3 = Neither agree nor disagree; 4 = Agree; 5 = Strongly agree

Below are statements about your views of your undergraduate faculty's interest in teaching and students. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each.

Most faculty with whom I have had contact at this institution were...

Genuinely interested in students.

Interested in helping students grow in more than just academic areas.

Good at providing prompt and useful feedback.

Willing to spend time outside of class to discuss issues of interest and importance to students.

Below are statements about your contact and interactions with faculty during your undergraduate experience at this institution. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my personal growth, values, and attitudes.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my intellectual growth and interest in ideas.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my career goals and aspirations.

I developed a close, personal relationship with at least one faculty member.

Table 2: Summary Results for Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty						
	Kenyon All Other Alumni		Kenyon	All Other Alumni		
© 2023 Higher Education Data Sharing Consortium	5-Year Cohort	in 5-Year Cohort	10-Year Cohort	in 10-Year Cohort		
Disagree		110 1%	0 0%	63 1%		



Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty

This tab includes three tables on the Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty indicator. Table 1 includes the nine statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty

1 = Strongly disagree; 2 = Disagree; 3 = Neither agree nor disagree; 4 = Agree; 5 = Strongly agree

Below are statements about your views of your undergraduate faculty's interest in teaching and students. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each.

Most faculty with whom I have had contact at this institution were...

Genuinely interested in students.

Interested in helping students grow in more than just academic areas.

Good at providing prompt and useful feedback.

Willing to spend time outside of class to discuss issues of interest and importance to students.

Below are statements about your contact and interactions with faculty during your undergraduate experience at this institution. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my personal growth, values, and attitudes.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my intellectual growth and interest in ideas.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my career goals and aspirations.

I developed a close, personal relationship with at least one faculty member.

Table 2: Summary I	Results for Goo	od Teaching and	d High-Quality	Interactions	with Faculty

rable 2. Summary Results for Good readining and ringh Quarty interactions with ractive										
© 2023 Higher Education Data Sharing Consortium										
		Kenyon		All Other Alumni		Kenyon		All Other Alumni		
		5-Year	Cohort	in 5-Yea	r Cohort	10-Year	Cohort	in 10-Yea	r Cohort	
<u> </u>	Neither agree nor disagree	5	3%	287	4%			211	4%	
<u>-</u>		•		-		,				



Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the nine statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty

1 = Strongly disagree; 2 = Disagree; 3 = Neither agree nor disagree; 4 = Agree; 5 = Strongly agree

Below are statements about your views of your undergraduate faculty's interest in teaching and students. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each.

Most faculty with whom I have had contact at this institution were...

Genuinely interested in students.

Interested in helping students grow in more than just academic areas.

Good at providing prompt and useful feedback.

Willing to spend time outside of class to discuss issues of interest and importance to students.

Below are statements about your contact and interactions with faculty during your undergraduate experience at this institution. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my personal growth, values, and attitudes.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my intellectual growth and interest in ideas.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my career goals and aspirations.

I developed a close, personal relationship with at least one faculty member.

Table 2: Summar	y Results for Go	od Teaching and Higl	h-Quality Interacti	ons with Faculty

	Kenyon		All Other Alumni		Kenyon		All Other Alumni	
© 2023 Higher Education Data Sharing Consortium	5-Year	Cohort	in 5-Year	Cohort	10-Yea	r Cohort	in 10-Yea	r Cohort
Agree	40	25%	2,787	38%	52	31%	1,905	37%



Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the nine statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty

1 = Strongly disagree; 2 = Disagree; 3 = Neither agree nor disagree; 4 = Agree; 5 = Strongly agree

Below are statements about your views of your undergraduate faculty's interest in teaching and students. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each.

Most faculty with whom I have had contact at this institution were...

Genuinely interested in students.

Interested in helping students grow in more than just academic areas.

Good at providing prompt and useful feedback.

Willing to spend time outside of class to discuss issues of interest and importance to students.

Below are statements about your contact and interactions with faculty during your undergraduate experience at this institution. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my personal growth, values, and attitudes.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my intellectual growth and interest in ideas.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my career goals and aspirations.

I developed a close, personal relationship with at least one faculty member.

Table 2: Summary Results for Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty									
	Kenvon		All Other Alumni		Kenvon		All Other Alumni		
© 2023 Higher Education Data Sharing Consortium	_	•		in 5-Year Cohort		, -	in 10-Year Cohort		
Strongly agree	113	71%	4,211	57%	114	67%	3,009	58%	



Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the nine statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty

1 = Strongly disagree; 2 = Disagree; 3 = Neither agree nor disagree; 4 = Agree; 5 = Strongly agree

Below are statements about your views of your undergraduate faculty's interest in teaching and students. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each.

Most faculty with whom I have had contact at this institution were...

Genuinely interested in students.

Interested in helping students grow in more than just academic areas.

Good at providing prompt and useful feedback.

Willing to spend time outside of class to discuss issues of interest and importance to students.

Below are statements about your contact and interactions with faculty during your undergraduate experience at this institution. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my personal growth, values, and attitudes.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my intellectual growth and interest in ideas.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my career goals and aspirations.

I developed a close, personal relationship with at least one faculty member.

	Kenyon	All Other Alumni	Kenyon	All Other Alumni
© 2023 Higher Education Data Sharing Consortium	5-Year Cohort	in 5-Year Cohort	10-Year Cohort	in 10-Year Cohort
Total	159 100%	7,427 100%	170 100%	5,209 100%



Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the nine statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty

1 = Strongly disagree; 2 = Disagree; 3 = Neither agree nor disagree; 4 = Agree; 5 = Strongly agree

Below are statements about your views of your undergraduate faculty's interest in teaching and students. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each.

Most faculty with whom I have had contact at this institution were...

Genuinely interested in students.

Interested in helping students grow in more than just academic areas.

Good at providing prompt and useful feedback.

Willing to spend time outside of class to discuss issues of interest and importance to students.

Below are statements about your contact and interactions with faculty during your undergraduate experience at this institution. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my personal growth, values, and attitudes.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my intellectual growth and interest in ideas.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my career goals and aspirations.

I developed a close, personal relationship with at least one faculty member.

Table 2: Summary Results for Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty										
	Kenyon	All Other Alumni	Kenyon	All Other Alumni						
© 2023 Higher Education Data Sharing Consortium	5-Year Cohort	in 5-Year Cohort	10-Year Cohort	in 10-Year Cohort						
Interested in helping students grow in Strongly disagree		68 1%	0 0%	45 1%						



Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty

This tab includes three tables on the Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty indicator. Table 1 includes the nine statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty

1 = Strongly disagree; 2 = Disagree; 3 = Neither agree nor disagree; 4 = Agree; 5 = Strongly agree

Below are statements about your views of your undergraduate faculty's interest in teaching and students. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each.

Most faculty with whom I have had contact at this institution were...

Genuinely interested in students.

Interested in helping students grow in more than just academic areas.

Good at providing prompt and useful feedback.

Willing to spend time outside of class to discuss issues of interest and importance to students.

Below are statements about your contact and interactions with faculty during your undergraduate experience at this institution. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my personal growth, values, and attitudes.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my intellectual growth and interest in ideas.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my career goals and aspirations.

I developed a close, personal relationship with at least one faculty member.

Table 2: Summary Results for God	od Teaching and High-	Quality Interaction	ns with Faculty

		Kenyon	All Other Alumni	Kenyon	All Other Alumni							
© 2023 Higher Education Data Sharing Conso	rtium	5-Year Cohort	in 5-Year Cohort	10-Year Cohort	in 10-Year Cohort							
more than just academic areas.	Disagree		311 4%	10 6%	194 4%							



Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the nine statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty

1 = Strongly disagree; 2 = Disagree; 3 = Neither agree nor disagree; 4 = Agree; 5 = Strongly agree

Below are statements about your views of your undergraduate faculty's interest in teaching and students. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each.

Most faculty with whom I have had contact at this institution were...

Genuinely interested in students.

Interested in helping students grow in more than just academic areas.

Good at providing prompt and useful feedback.

Willing to spend time outside of class to discuss issues of interest and importance to students.

Below are statements about your contact and interactions with faculty during your undergraduate experience at this institution. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my personal growth, values, and attitudes.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my intellectual growth and interest in ideas.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my career goals and aspirations.

I developed a close, personal relationship with at least one faculty member.

Table 2: Summary Results for Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty

	Kenyon All Other Alumni		r Alumni	Kenyon		All Other Alı					
© 2023 Higher Education Data Sharing Consortium		5-Year Cohort		in 5-Yea	r Cohort	10-Yea	r Cohort	in 10-Yea	r Cohort		
Neith	er agree nor disagree	24	15%	917	12%	22	13%	700	13%		



Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the nine statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty

1 = Strongly disagree; 2 = Disagree; 3 = Neither agree nor disagree; 4 = Agree; 5 = Strongly agree

Below are statements about your views of your undergraduate faculty's interest in teaching and students. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each.

Most faculty with whom I have had contact at this institution were...

Genuinely interested in students.

Interested in helping students grow in more than just academic areas.

Good at providing prompt and useful feedback.

Willing to spend time outside of class to discuss issues of interest and importance to students.

Below are statements about your contact and interactions with faculty during your undergraduate experience at this institution. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my personal growth, values, and attitudes.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my intellectual growth and interest in ideas.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my career goals and aspirations.

I developed a close, personal relationship with at least one faculty member.

	Ken	nyon All Other Alumni		Kenyon		on All Other A					
© 2023 Higher Education Data Sharing Consortium	5-Year Cohort		ort in 5-Year Cohort 10-Y		10-Yea	r Cohort	in 10-Year Coho				
Agree	59	37%	3,097	42%	65	38%	2,161	42%			



Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the nine statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty

1 = Strongly disagree; 2 = Disagree; 3 = Neither agree nor disagree; 4 = Agree; 5 = Strongly agree

Below are statements about your views of your undergraduate faculty's interest in teaching and students. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each.

Most faculty with whom I have had contact at this institution were...

Genuinely interested in students.

Interested in helping students grow in more than just academic areas.

Good at providing prompt and useful feedback.

Willing to spend time outside of class to discuss issues of interest and importance to students.

Below are statements about your contact and interactions with faculty during your undergraduate experience at this institution. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my personal growth, values, and attitudes.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my intellectual growth and interest in ideas.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my career goals and aspirations.

I developed a close, personal relationship with at least one faculty member.

Table 2: Summary Results for Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty											
	Ker	nyon	All Other Alumni Kenyon		All Other Alumni						
© 2023 Higher Education Data Sharing Consortium	5-Year Cohort		in 5-Yea	r Cohort	10-Year Cohort		in 10-Yea	r Cohort			
Strongly agree	71	45%	3,027	41%	73	43%	2,101	40%			



Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the nine statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty

1 = Strongly disagree; 2 = Disagree; 3 = Neither agree nor disagree; 4 = Agree; 5 = Strongly agree

Below are statements about your views of your undergraduate faculty's interest in teaching and students. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each.

Most faculty with whom I have had contact at this institution were...

Genuinely interested in students.

Interested in helping students grow in more than just academic areas.

Good at providing prompt and useful feedback.

Willing to spend time outside of class to discuss issues of interest and importance to students.

Below are statements about your contact and interactions with faculty during your undergraduate experience at this institution. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my personal growth, values, and attitudes.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my intellectual growth and interest in ideas.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my career goals and aspirations.

I developed a close, personal relationship with at least one faculty member.

	-							
	Kenyon		All Other Alumni Kenyon		nyon	All Other Alumn		
© 2023 Higher Education Data Sharing Consortium	5-Year Cohort		in 5-Yea	r Cohort	10-Yea	r Cohort	in 10-Yea	r Cohort
Total	159	100%	7,420	100%	170	100%	5,201	100%



Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the nine statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty

1 = Strongly disagree; 2 = Disagree; 3 = Neither agree nor disagree; 4 = Agree; 5 = Strongly agree

Below are statements about your views of your undergraduate faculty's interest in teaching and students. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each.

Most faculty with whom I have had contact at this institution were...

Genuinely interested in students.

Interested in helping students grow in more than just academic areas.

Good at providing prompt and useful feedback.

Willing to spend time outside of class to discuss issues of interest and importance to students.

Below are statements about your contact and interactions with faculty during your undergraduate experience at this institution. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my personal growth, values, and attitudes.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my intellectual growth and interest in ideas.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my career goals and aspirations.

I developed a close, personal relationship with at least one faculty member.

Table 2: Summary Results for Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty										
		•		All Other Alumni		Kenyon 10-Year Cohort		All Other Alumni in 10-Year Cohort		
© 2023 Higher Education Data Sharing Consortium		3-Teal	COHOIT	111 3-1 ea	Conort	10-1ea	Conort	111 10-169	ai Colloit	
Good at providing prompt and useful	Strongly disagree			59	1%	0	0%	25	0%	



Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the nine statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty

1 = Strongly disagree; 2 = Disagree; 3 = Neither agree nor disagree; 4 = Agree; 5 = Strongly agree

Below are statements about your views of your undergraduate faculty's interest in teaching and students. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each.

Most faculty with whom I have had contact at this institution were...

Genuinely interested in students.

Interested in helping students grow in more than just academic areas.

Good at providing prompt and useful feedback.

Willing to spend time outside of class to discuss issues of interest and importance to students.

Below are statements about your contact and interactions with faculty during your undergraduate experience at this institution. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my personal growth, values, and attitudes.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my intellectual growth and interest in ideas.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my career goals and aspirations.

I developed a close, personal relationship with at least one faculty member.

Table 2: Summary	Results for Good	l Teaching and High	n-Quality Interactio	ns with Faculty

•		-								
			Ken	yon	All Other Alumni Kenyon		All Other	Alumni		
© 2023 Higher Education Data Sharing Conso	rtium		5-Year Cohort in 5-Year Cohort 10-Year Coho		Cohort	in 10-Yea	r Cohort			
feedback.	Disagree				188	3%			110	2%



Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the nine statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty

1 = Strongly disagree; 2 = Disagree; 3 = Neither agree nor disagree; 4 = Agree; 5 = Strongly agree

Below are statements about your views of your undergraduate faculty's interest in teaching and students. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each.

Most faculty with whom I have had contact at this institution were...

Genuinely interested in students.

Interested in helping students grow in more than just academic areas.

Good at providing prompt and useful feedback.

Willing to spend time outside of class to discuss issues of interest and importance to students.

Below are statements about your contact and interactions with faculty during your undergraduate experience at this institution. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my personal growth, values, and attitudes.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my intellectual growth and interest in ideas.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my career goals and aspirations.

I developed a close, personal relationship with at least one faculty member.

Table 2: Summary Results for Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty

and the same of th	0 -47								
		Kenyon		All Other Alumni		Kenyon		All Other Alum	
© 2023 Higher Education Data Sharing Consortium		5-Year	Cohort	in 5-Yea	r Cohort	10-Year	Cohort	in 10-Yea	r Cohort
Neither agre	e nor disagree	9	6%	741	10%	10	6%	480	9%



Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the nine statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty

1 = Strongly disagree; 2 = Disagree; 3 = Neither agree nor disagree; 4 = Agree; 5 = Strongly agree

Below are statements about your views of your undergraduate faculty's interest in teaching and students. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each.

Most faculty with whom I have had contact at this institution were...

Genuinely interested in students.

Interested in helping students grow in more than just academic areas.

Good at providing prompt and useful feedback.

Willing to spend time outside of class to discuss issues of interest and importance to students.

Below are statements about your contact and interactions with faculty during your undergraduate experience at this institution. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my personal growth, values, and attitudes.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my intellectual growth and interest in ideas.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my career goals and aspirations.

I developed a close, personal relationship with at least one faculty member.

	Kenyon	All Other Alumni	Kenyon	All Other Alumni
	F. V		40.7/1	
© 2023 Higher Education Data Sharing Consortium	5-Year Cohort	in 5-Year Cohort	10-Year Cohort	in 10-Year Cohort
Agree	81 51%	3,649 49%	81 48%	2,533 49%
		-/:		,



Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the nine statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty

1 = Strongly disagree; 2 = Disagree; 3 = Neither agree nor disagree; 4 = Agree; 5 = Strongly agree

Below are statements about your views of your undergraduate faculty's interest in teaching and students. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each.

Most faculty with whom I have had contact at this institution were...

Genuinely interested in students.

Interested in helping students grow in more than just academic areas.

Good at providing prompt and useful feedback.

Willing to spend time outside of class to discuss issues of interest and importance to students.

Below are statements about your contact and interactions with faculty during your undergraduate experience at this institution. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my personal growth, values, and attitudes.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my intellectual growth and interest in ideas.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my career goals and aspirations.

I developed a close, personal relationship with at least one faculty member.

Table 2: Summary Results for Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty											
	Ken	yon	All Other	r Alumni	Ken	iyon	All Other	Alumni			
© 2023 Higher Education Data Sharing Consortium	5-Year	Cohort	in 5-Yea	r Cohort	10-Yea	r Cohort	in 10-Year Coho				
Strongly agree	65	41%	2,783	38%	76	45%	2,048	39%			



Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the nine statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty

1 = Strongly disagree; 2 = Disagree; 3 = Neither agree nor disagree; 4 = Agree; 5 = Strongly agree

Below are statements about your views of your undergraduate faculty's interest in teaching and students. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each.

Most faculty with whom I have had contact at this institution were...

Genuinely interested in students.

Interested in helping students grow in more than just academic areas.

Good at providing prompt and useful feedback.

Willing to spend time outside of class to discuss issues of interest and importance to students.

Below are statements about your contact and interactions with faculty during your undergraduate experience at this institution. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my personal growth, values, and attitudes.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my intellectual growth and interest in ideas.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my career goals and aspirations.

I developed a close, personal relationship with at least one faculty member.

	Kenyon		All Other Alumni		Kenyon		All Other	r Alumni
© 2023 Higher Education Data Sharing Consortium	5-Year Cohort		in 5-Yea	r Cohort	10-Yea	r Cohort	in 10-Yea	r Cohort
Total	159	100%	7,420	100%	170	100%	5,196	100%



Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty

This tab includes three tables on the Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty indicator. Table 1 includes the nine statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty

1 = Strongly disagree; 2 = Disagree; 3 = Neither agree nor disagree; 4 = Agree; 5 = Strongly agree

Below are statements about your views of your undergraduate faculty's interest in teaching and students. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each.

Most faculty with whom I have had contact at this institution were...

Genuinely interested in students.

Interested in helping students grow in more than just academic areas.

Good at providing prompt and useful feedback.

Willing to spend time outside of class to discuss issues of interest and importance to students.

Below are statements about your contact and interactions with faculty during your undergraduate experience at this institution. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my personal growth, values, and attitudes.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my intellectual growth and interest in ideas.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my career goals and aspirations.

I developed a close, personal relationship with at least one faculty member.

Table 2: Summary I	Results for Go	ood Teachir	ng and High-Q	uality Intera	actions with Fa	culty

rable in country incounts for cook reading and right quality interaction												
	Kenyon		All Other Alumni		Kenyon		All Other	· Alumni				
© 2023 Higher Education Data Sharing Consortium	5-Year	Cohort	in 5-Yea	r Cohort	10-Yea	r Cohort	hort in 10-Year					
Willing to spend time outside of class to Strongly disagree	0	0%	64	1%	0	0%	29	1%				



Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the nine statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty

1 = Strongly disagree; 2 = Disagree; 3 = Neither agree nor disagree; 4 = Agree; 5 = Strongly agree

Below are statements about your views of your undergraduate faculty's interest in teaching and students. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each.

Most faculty with whom I have had contact at this institution were...

Genuinely interested in students.

Interested in helping students grow in more than just academic areas.

Good at providing prompt and useful feedback.

Willing to spend time outside of class to discuss issues of interest and importance to students.

Below are statements about your contact and interactions with faculty during your undergraduate experience at this institution. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my personal growth, values, and attitudes.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my intellectual growth and interest in ideas.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my career goals and aspirations.

I developed a close, personal relationship with at least one faculty member.

	Keny	yon	All Other	r Alumni	Keny	/on	All Other	Alumni
© 2023 Higher Education Data Sharing Consortium	5-Year	Cohort	in 5-Yea	r Cohort	10-Year	Cohort	in 10-Yea	r Cohort
discuss issues of interest and importance Disagree	0	0%	193	3%			130	3%



Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the nine statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty

1 = Strongly disagree; 2 = Disagree; 3 = Neither agree nor disagree; 4 = Agree; 5 = Strongly agree

Below are statements about your views of your undergraduate faculty's interest in teaching and students. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each.

Most faculty with whom I have had contact at this institution were...

Genuinely interested in students.

Interested in helping students grow in more than just academic areas.

Good at providing prompt and useful feedback.

Willing to spend time outside of class to discuss issues of interest and importance to students.

Below are statements about your contact and interactions with faculty during your undergraduate experience at this institution. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my personal growth, values, and attitudes.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my intellectual growth and interest in ideas.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my career goals and aspirations.

I developed a close, personal relationship with at least one faculty member.

		Ken	yon	All Othe	r Alumni	Ken	yon	All Other	Alumni
© 2023 Higher Education Data Sharing Con-	sortium	5-Year	Cohort	in 5-Yea	r Cohort	10-Year	Cohort	in 10-Yea	r Cohort
to students.	Neither agree nor disagree	12	8%	729	10%	15	9%	601	12%



Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the nine statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty

1 = Strongly disagree; 2 = Disagree; 3 = Neither agree nor disagree; 4 = Agree; 5 = Strongly agree

Below are statements about your views of your undergraduate faculty's interest in teaching and students. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each.

Most faculty with whom I have had contact at this institution were...

Genuinely interested in students.

Interested in helping students grow in more than just academic areas.

Good at providing prompt and useful feedback.

Willing to spend time outside of class to discuss issues of interest and importance to students.

Below are statements about your contact and interactions with faculty during your undergraduate experience at this institution. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my personal growth, values, and attitudes.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my intellectual growth and interest in ideas.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my career goals and aspirations.

I developed a close, personal relationship with at least one faculty member.

Table 2: Summary	/ Results for Good	Teaching and High-Qu	uality Interactions	s with Faculty
			4	

Table 2. Calling 1. Cook 1 Cook 1 Calling and 1 Ing. 1 Quality interactions with 1 activity							
Ken	iyon	All Other	· Alumni	Ker	iyon	All Other	Alumni
5-Year Cohort		in 5-Yeaı	Cohort	10-Yea	r Cohort	in 10-Yea	r Cohort
41	26%	2,841	38%	50	29%	2,026	39%
	Ker 5-Year	Kenyon 5-Year Cohort	Kenyon All Other 5-Year Cohort in 5-Year	Kenyon All Other Alumni 5-Year Cohort in 5-Year Cohort	Kenyon All Other Alumni Ker 5-Year Cohort in 5-Year Cohort 10-Yea	Kenyon All Other Alumni Kenyon 5-Year Cohort in 5-Year Cohort 10-Year Cohort	Kenyon All Other Alumni Kenyon All Other 5-Year Cohort in 5-Year Cohort 10-Year Cohort in 10-Yea



Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the nine statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty

1 = Strongly disagree; 2 = Disagree; 3 = Neither agree nor disagree; 4 = Agree; 5 = Strongly agree

Below are statements about your views of your undergraduate faculty's interest in teaching and students. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each.

Most faculty with whom I have had contact at this institution were...

Genuinely interested in students.

Interested in helping students grow in more than just academic areas.

Good at providing prompt and useful feedback.

Willing to spend time outside of class to discuss issues of interest and importance to students.

Below are statements about your contact and interactions with faculty during your undergraduate experience at this institution. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my personal growth, values, and attitudes.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my intellectual growth and interest in ideas.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my career goals and aspirations.

I developed a close, personal relationship with at least one faculty member.

-	Table 2: Summary Results for Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty								
		Kenyon All Other Alumni Kenyon			Kenyon All Other Alumni Kenyon All Other Alum		Alumni		
0	2023 Higher Education Data Sharing Consortium	5-Year Cohort		in 5-Year		_	Cohort	in 10-Yea	
ſ	Strongly agree	105	66%	3,580	48%	102	60%	2,408	46%



Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the nine statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty

1 = Strongly disagree; 2 = Disagree; 3 = Neither agree nor disagree; 4 = Agree; 5 = Strongly agree

Below are statements about your views of your undergraduate faculty's interest in teaching and students. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each.

Most faculty with whom I have had contact at this institution were...

Genuinely interested in students.

Interested in helping students grow in more than just academic areas.

Good at providing prompt and useful feedback.

Willing to spend time outside of class to discuss issues of interest and importance to students.

Below are statements about your contact and interactions with faculty during your undergraduate experience at this institution. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my personal growth, values, and attitudes.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my intellectual growth and interest in ideas.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my career goals and aspirations.

I developed a close, personal relationship with at least one faculty member.

	Kenyon	All Other Alumni	Kenyon	All Other Alumni
© 2023 Higher Education Data Sharing Consortium	5-Year Cohort	in 5-Year Cohort	10-Year Cohort	in 10-Year Cohort
Total	158 100%	7,407 100%	170 100%	5,194 100%



Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the nine statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty

1 = Strongly disagree; 2 = Disagree; 3 = Neither agree nor disagree; 4 = Agree; 5 = Strongly agree

Below are statements about your views of your undergraduate faculty's interest in teaching and students. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each.

Most faculty with whom I have had contact at this institution were...

Genuinely interested in students.

Interested in helping students grow in more than just academic areas.

Good at providing prompt and useful feedback.

Willing to spend time outside of class to discuss issues of interest and importance to students.

Below are statements about your contact and interactions with faculty during your undergraduate experience at this institution. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my personal growth, values, and attitudes.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my intellectual growth and interest in ideas.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my career goals and aspirations.

I developed a close, personal relationship with at least one faculty member.

I was satisfied with the opportunities to meet and interact informally with faculty members.

Table 2: Summary Results for Good Teaching and High-Quality Interaction	13 With Faculty			
	Kenvon	All Other Alumni	Kenvon	All Other Alumni
© 2023 Higher Education Data Sharing Consortium	5-Year Cohort	in 5-Year Cohort	, ,	in 10-Year Cohort

Below are statements about your contact and interactions with faculty during your undergraduate experience



Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the nine statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty

1 = Strongly disagree; 2 = Disagree; 3 = Neither agree nor disagree; 4 = Agree; 5 = Strongly agree

Below are statements about your views of your undergraduate faculty's interest in teaching and students. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each.

Most faculty with whom I have had contact at this institution were...

Genuinely interested in students.

Interested in helping students grow in more than just academic areas.

Good at providing prompt and useful feedback.

Willing to spend time outside of class to discuss issues of interest and importance to students.

Below are statements about your contact and interactions with faculty during your undergraduate experience at this institution. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my personal growth, values, and attitudes.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my intellectual growth and interest in ideas.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my career goals and aspirations.

I developed a close, personal relationship with at least one faculty member.

Table 2: Summary Results for Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty						
	Kenyon	All Other Alumni	Kenyon	All Other Alumni		
© 2023 Higher Education Data Sharing Consortium	5-Year Cohort	in 5-Year Cohort	10-Year Cohort	in 10-Year Cohort		
at this institution. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each. (Q4)						



Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the nine statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty

1 = Strongly disagree; 2 = Disagree; 3 = Neither agree nor disagree; 4 = Agree; 5 = Strongly agree

Below are statements about your views of your undergraduate faculty's interest in teaching and students. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each.

Most faculty with whom I have had contact at this institution were...

Genuinely interested in students.

Interested in helping students grow in more than just academic areas.

Good at providing prompt and useful feedback.

Willing to spend time outside of class to discuss issues of interest and importance to students.

Below are statements about your contact and interactions with faculty during your undergraduate experience at this institution. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my personal growth, values, and attitudes.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my intellectual growth and interest in ideas.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my career goals and aspirations.

I developed a close, personal relationship with at least one faculty member.

Table 2: Summary Results for Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Fa	aculty
--	--------

		Kenyon All Other Alu		r Alumni	umni Kenyon		All Other Alum		
© 2023 Higher Education Data Sharing Consortium		5-Year	Cohort	in 5-Yea	r Cohort	10-Yea	r Cohort	in 10-Yea	r Cohort
My nonclassroom interactions with	Strongly disagree	0	0%	67	1%	0	0%	38	1%



Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the nine statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty

1 = Strongly disagree; 2 = Disagree; 3 = Neither agree nor disagree; 4 = Agree; 5 = Strongly agree

Below are statements about your views of your undergraduate faculty's interest in teaching and students. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each.

Most faculty with whom I have had contact at this institution were...

Genuinely interested in students.

Interested in helping students grow in more than just academic areas.

Good at providing prompt and useful feedback.

Willing to spend time outside of class to discuss issues of interest and importance to students.

Below are statements about your contact and interactions with faculty during your undergraduate experience at this institution. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my personal growth, values, and attitudes.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my intellectual growth and interest in ideas.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my career goals and aspirations.

I developed a close, personal relationship with at least one faculty member.

•			,							
			Keny	yon	All Other Alumni Kenyon		yon	All Other	Alumni	
© 2023 Higher Education Data Sharing Consortiu	Education Data Sharing Consortium 5-Year Col		Cohort	in 5-Yea	r Cohort	10-Yea	r Cohort	in 10-Yea	r Cohort	
faculty had a positive influence on my D	isagree				215	3%	5	3%	142	3%



Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the nine statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty

1 = Strongly disagree; 2 = Disagree; 3 = Neither agree nor disagree; 4 = Agree; 5 = Strongly agree

Below are statements about your views of your undergraduate faculty's interest in teaching and students. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each.

Most faculty with whom I have had contact at this institution were...

Genuinely interested in students.

Interested in helping students grow in more than just academic areas.

Good at providing prompt and useful feedback.

Willing to spend time outside of class to discuss issues of interest and importance to students.

Below are statements about your contact and interactions with faculty during your undergraduate experience at this institution. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my personal growth, values, and attitudes.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my intellectual growth and interest in ideas.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my career goals and aspirations.

I developed a close, personal relationship with at least one faculty member.

	Ke		Kenyon A		All Other Alumni		Kenyon		Alumni
© 2023 Higher Education Data Sharing Consortium		5-Year	Cohort	in 5-Yea	r Cohort	10-Yea	r Cohort	in 10-Yea	r Cohort
personal growth, values, and attitudes.	Neither agree nor disagree	16	11%	993	14%	24	15%	793	16%



Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the nine statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty

1 = Strongly disagree; 2 = Disagree; 3 = Neither agree nor disagree; 4 = Agree; 5 = Strongly agree

Below are statements about your views of your undergraduate faculty's interest in teaching and students. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each.

Most faculty with whom I have had contact at this institution were...

Genuinely interested in students.

Interested in helping students grow in more than just academic areas.

Good at providing prompt and useful feedback.

Willing to spend time outside of class to discuss issues of interest and importance to students.

Below are statements about your contact and interactions with faculty during your undergraduate experience at this institution. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my personal growth, values, and attitudes.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my intellectual growth and interest in ideas.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my career goals and aspirations.

I developed a close, personal relationship with at least one faculty member.

Table 2: Summary	/ Results for Good	Teaching and High-Qu	uality Interactions	s with Faculty
			4	

Table 2. Carrier of Cook Cook Cook Cook Cook Cook Cook C												
	Kenyon		All Other Alumni		her Alumni Kenyon		All Other Alumn					
© 2023 Higher Education Data Sharing Consortium	5-Year Cohort		in 5-Year	Cohort	10-Yea	r Cohort	in 10-Yea	r Cohort				
Agree	46	32%	2,527	36%	41	25%	1,835	37%				



Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the nine statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty

1 = Strongly disagree; 2 = Disagree; 3 = Neither agree nor disagree; 4 = Agree; 5 = Strongly agree

Below are statements about your views of your undergraduate faculty's interest in teaching and students. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each.

Most faculty with whom I have had contact at this institution were...

Genuinely interested in students.

Interested in helping students grow in more than just academic areas.

Good at providing prompt and useful feedback.

Willing to spend time outside of class to discuss issues of interest and importance to students.

Below are statements about your contact and interactions with faculty during your undergraduate experience at this institution. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my personal growth, values, and attitudes.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my intellectual growth and interest in ideas.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my career goals and aspirations.

I developed a close, personal relationship with at least one faculty member.

Table 2: Summary Results for Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty												
	Konyon		All Other Alumni		Kenvon		All Othor	Aluman:				
© 2023 Higher Education Data Sharing Consortium	Kenyon 5-Year Cohort		in 5-Year		_	r Cohort	All Other in 10-Yea					
Strongly agree	82	57%	3,269	46%	92	57%	2,157	43%				



Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the nine statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty

1 = Strongly disagree; 2 = Disagree; 3 = Neither agree nor disagree; 4 = Agree; 5 = Strongly agree

Below are statements about your views of your undergraduate faculty's interest in teaching and students. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each.

Most faculty with whom I have had contact at this institution were...

Genuinely interested in students.

Interested in helping students grow in more than just academic areas.

Good at providing prompt and useful feedback.

Willing to spend time outside of class to discuss issues of interest and importance to students.

Below are statements about your contact and interactions with faculty during your undergraduate experience at this institution. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my personal growth, values, and attitudes.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my intellectual growth and interest in ideas.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my career goals and aspirations.

I developed a close, personal relationship with at least one faculty member.

	Kenyon	All Other Alumni	Kenyon	All Other Alumni
© 2023 Higher Education Data Sharing Consortium	5-Year Cohort	in 5-Year Cohort	10-Year Cohort	in 10-Year Cohort
Total	145 100%	7,071 100%	162 100%	4,965 100%



Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the nine statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty

1 = Strongly disagree; 2 = Disagree; 3 = Neither agree nor disagree; 4 = Agree; 5 = Strongly agree

Below are statements about your views of your undergraduate faculty's interest in teaching and students. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each.

Most faculty with whom I have had contact at this institution were...

Genuinely interested in students.

Interested in helping students grow in more than just academic areas.

Good at providing prompt and useful feedback.

Willing to spend time outside of class to discuss issues of interest and importance to students.

Below are statements about your contact and interactions with faculty during your undergraduate experience at this institution. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my personal growth, values, and attitudes.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my intellectual growth and interest in ideas.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my career goals and aspirations.

I developed a close, personal relationship with at least one faculty member.

		Kenyon All Other Alumni		Kenyon		All Other	Alumni			
© 2023 Higher Education Data Sharing Consc	2023 Higher Education Data Sharing Consortium		Cohort	in 5-Yea	r Cohort	10-Yea	r Cohort	in 10-Yea	r Cohort	
My nonclassroom interactions with	Strongly disagree	0	0%	59	1%	0	0%	39	1%	



Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the nine statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty

1 = Strongly disagree; 2 = Disagree; 3 = Neither agree nor disagree; 4 = Agree; 5 = Strongly agree

Below are statements about your views of your undergraduate faculty's interest in teaching and students. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each.

Most faculty with whom I have had contact at this institution were...

Genuinely interested in students.

Interested in helping students grow in more than just academic areas.

Good at providing prompt and useful feedback.

Willing to spend time outside of class to discuss issues of interest and importance to students.

Below are statements about your contact and interactions with faculty during your undergraduate experience at this institution. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my personal growth, values, and attitudes.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my intellectual growth and interest in ideas.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my career goals and aspirations.

I developed a close, personal relationship with at least one faculty member.

•											
	Kenyon All Other Alumni Kenyon		Kenyon All Other Alumni Ke		Kenyon All Other Alumni I		yon	All Other Alum			
© 2023 Higher Education Data Sharing Consortium		5-Year (Cohort	in 5-Yea	r Cohort	10-Yea	r Cohort	in 10-Yea	r Cohort		
faculty had a positive influence on my	Disagree					214	3%	5	3%	139	3%



Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the nine statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty

1 = Strongly disagree; 2 = Disagree; 3 = Neither agree nor disagree; 4 = Agree; 5 = Strongly agree

Below are statements about your views of your undergraduate faculty's interest in teaching and students. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each.

Most faculty with whom I have had contact at this institution were...

Genuinely interested in students.

Interested in helping students grow in more than just academic areas.

Good at providing prompt and useful feedback.

Willing to spend time outside of class to discuss issues of interest and importance to students.

Below are statements about your contact and interactions with faculty during your undergraduate experience at this institution. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my personal growth, values, and attitudes.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my intellectual growth and interest in ideas.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my career goals and aspirations.

I developed a close, personal relationship with at least one faculty member.

	Ken	yon	All Othe	r Alumni	Ken	yon	All Other	Alumni
© 2023 Higher Education Data Sharing Consortium		Cohort	in 5-Yea	r Cohort	10-Year	Cohort	in 10-Yea	r Cohort
intellectual growth and interest in ideas. Neither agree nor disagree	18	12%	971	14%	19	12%	808	16%



Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty

This tab includes three tables on the Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty indicator. Table 1 includes the nine statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty

1 = Strongly disagree; 2 = Disagree; 3 = Neither agree nor disagree; 4 = Agree; 5 = Strongly agree

Below are statements about your views of your undergraduate faculty's interest in teaching and students. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each.

Most faculty with whom I have had contact at this institution were...

Genuinely interested in students.

Interested in helping students grow in more than just academic areas.

Good at providing prompt and useful feedback.

Willing to spend time outside of class to discuss issues of interest and importance to students.

Below are statements about your contact and interactions with faculty during your undergraduate experience at this institution. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my personal growth, values, and attitudes.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my intellectual growth and interest in ideas.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my career goals and aspirations.

I developed a close, personal relationship with at least one faculty member.

Table 2: Summary I	Results for Go	ood Teachir	ng and High-Q	uality Intera	actions with Fa	culty

			Tubic 2. Summary Results for Good reacting and right Quality interactions with racting										
Kenyon	All Other Alumni	Kenyon	All Other Alumni										
5-Year Cohort	in 5-Year Cohort	10-Year Cohort	in 10-Year Cohort										
43 30%	2,602 37%	49 30%	1,824 37%										
	-Year Cohort	-Year Cohort in 5-Year Cohort	-Year Cohort in 5-Year Cohort 10-Year Cohort										



Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the nine statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty

1 = Strongly disagree; 2 = Disagree; 3 = Neither agree nor disagree; 4 = Agree; 5 = Strongly agree

Below are statements about your views of your undergraduate faculty's interest in teaching and students. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each.

Most faculty with whom I have had contact at this institution were...

Genuinely interested in students.

Interested in helping students grow in more than just academic areas.

Good at providing prompt and useful feedback.

Willing to spend time outside of class to discuss issues of interest and importance to students.

Below are statements about your contact and interactions with faculty during your undergraduate experience at this institution. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my personal growth, values, and attitudes.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my intellectual growth and interest in ideas.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my career goals and aspirations.

I developed a close, personal relationship with at least one faculty member.

Table 2: Summary Results for Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty									
		Kenyon		All Other Alumni		Kenyon			
	Ken							Alumni	
© 2023 Higher Education Data Sharing Consortium	5-Year	5-Year Cohort		in 5-Year Cohort		10-Year Cohort		r Cohort	
Strongly agree	82	57%	3,219	46%	91	55%	2,142	43%	



Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the nine statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty

1 = Strongly disagree; 2 = Disagree; 3 = Neither agree nor disagree; 4 = Agree; 5 = Strongly agree

Below are statements about your views of your undergraduate faculty's interest in teaching and students. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each.

Most faculty with whom I have had contact at this institution were...

Genuinely interested in students.

Interested in helping students grow in more than just academic areas.

Good at providing prompt and useful feedback.

Willing to spend time outside of class to discuss issues of interest and importance to students.

Below are statements about your contact and interactions with faculty during your undergraduate experience at this institution. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my personal growth, values, and attitudes.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my intellectual growth and interest in ideas.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my career goals and aspirations.

I developed a close, personal relationship with at least one faculty member.

	Kenyon	All Other Alumni	Kenyon	All Other Alumni
© 2023 Higher Education Data Sharing Consortium	5-Year Cohort	in 5-Year Cohort	10-Year Cohort	in 10-Year Cohort
Total	145 100%	7,065 100%	164 100%	4,952 100%



Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the nine statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty

1 = Strongly disagree; 2 = Disagree; 3 = Neither agree nor disagree; 4 = Agree; 5 = Strongly agree

Below are statements about your views of your undergraduate faculty's interest in teaching and students. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each.

Most faculty with whom I have had contact at this institution were...

Genuinely interested in students.

Interested in helping students grow in more than just academic areas.

Good at providing prompt and useful feedback.

Willing to spend time outside of class to discuss issues of interest and importance to students.

Below are statements about your contact and interactions with faculty during your undergraduate experience at this institution. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my personal growth, values, and attitudes.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my intellectual growth and interest in ideas.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my career goals and aspirations.

I developed a close, personal relationship with at least one faculty member.

Table 2: Summary Results for Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty									
		Kenyon	All Other Alumni	Kenyon	All Other Alumni				
© 2023 Higher Education Data Sharing Consortium		5-Year Cohort	in 5-Year Cohort	10-Year Cohort	in 10-Year Cohort				
My nonclassroom interactions with	Strongly disagree		121 2%	6 4%	85 2%				



Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the nine statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty

1 = Strongly disagree; 2 = Disagree; 3 = Neither agree nor disagree; 4 = Agree; 5 = Strongly agree

Below are statements about your views of your undergraduate faculty's interest in teaching and students. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each.

Most faculty with whom I have had contact at this institution were...

Genuinely interested in students.

Interested in helping students grow in more than just academic areas.

Good at providing prompt and useful feedback.

Willing to spend time outside of class to discuss issues of interest and importance to students.

Below are statements about your contact and interactions with faculty during your undergraduate experience at this institution. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my personal growth, values, and attitudes.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my intellectual growth and interest in ideas.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my career goals and aspirations.

I developed a close, personal relationship with at least one faculty member.

I was satisfied with the opportunities to meet and interact informally with faculty members.

Table 2: Summary Results for Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty

		-							
		Kenyon All Other Alun		r Alumni	i Kenyon		All Other Alum		
© 2023 Higher Education Data Sharing Consortium		5-Year	Cohort	in 5-Yea	r Cohort	10-Yea	r Cohort	in 10-Yea	r Cohort
faculty had a positive influence on my	Disagree	10	7%	400	6%	19	12%	282	6%



Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the nine statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty

1 = Strongly disagree; 2 = Disagree; 3 = Neither agree nor disagree; 4 = Agree; 5 = Strongly agree

Below are statements about your views of your undergraduate faculty's interest in teaching and students. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each.

Most faculty with whom I have had contact at this institution were...

Genuinely interested in students.

Interested in helping students grow in more than just academic areas.

Good at providing prompt and useful feedback.

Willing to spend time outside of class to discuss issues of interest and importance to students.

Below are statements about your contact and interactions with faculty during your undergraduate experience at this institution. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my personal growth, values, and attitudes.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my intellectual growth and interest in ideas.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my career goals and aspirations.

I developed a close, personal relationship with at least one faculty member.

		Kenyon		All Other Alumni		Kenyon		All Other Alumni	
© 2023 Higher Education Data Sharing Consortium		5-Year Cohort		in 5-Year Cohort		10-Year Cohort		in 10-Year Cohort	
career goals and aspirations.	Neither agree nor disagree	28	19%	1,434	20%	41	25%	1,194	24%



Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the nine statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty

1 = Strongly disagree; 2 = Disagree; 3 = Neither agree nor disagree; 4 = Agree; 5 = Strongly agree

Below are statements about your views of your undergraduate faculty's interest in teaching and students. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each.

Most faculty with whom I have had contact at this institution were...

Genuinely interested in students.

Interested in helping students grow in more than just academic areas.

Good at providing prompt and useful feedback.

Willing to spend time outside of class to discuss issues of interest and importance to students.

Below are statements about your contact and interactions with faculty during your undergraduate experience at this institution. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my personal growth, values, and attitudes.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my intellectual growth and interest in ideas.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my career goals and aspirations.

I developed a close, personal relationship with at least one faculty member.

Table 2: Summar	y Results for Goo	d Teaching and High-Q	uality Interactions with Facu	ılty

The state of the s									
	•		All Other Alumni		Kenyon				
							All Other	Alumni	
© 2023 Higher Education Data Sharing Consortium			in 5-Yea	Cohort	10-Yea	r Cohort	in 10-Yea	r Cohort	
Agree	39	27%	2,363	33%	33	20%	1,665	34%	



Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the nine statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty

1 = Strongly disagree; 2 = Disagree; 3 = Neither agree nor disagree; 4 = Agree; 5 = Strongly agree

Below are statements about your views of your undergraduate faculty's interest in teaching and students. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each.

Most faculty with whom I have had contact at this institution were...

Genuinely interested in students.

Interested in helping students grow in more than just academic areas.

Good at providing prompt and useful feedback.

Willing to spend time outside of class to discuss issues of interest and importance to students.

Below are statements about your contact and interactions with faculty during your undergraduate experience at this institution. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my personal growth, values, and attitudes.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my intellectual growth and interest in ideas.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my career goals and aspirations.

I developed a close, personal relationship with at least one faculty member.

Table 2: Summary Results for Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty										
	Ken	Kenyon		All Other Alumni		ivon	All Other Alumn			
© 2023 Higher Education Data Sharing Consortium		5-Year Cohort		in 5-Year Cohort		r Cohort	in 10-Year Coho			
Strongly agree	64	44%	2,746	39%	65	40%	1,720	35%		



Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the nine statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty

1 = Strongly disagree; 2 = Disagree; 3 = Neither agree nor disagree; 4 = Agree; 5 = Strongly agree

Below are statements about your views of your undergraduate faculty's interest in teaching and students. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each.

Most faculty with whom I have had contact at this institution were...

Genuinely interested in students.

Interested in helping students grow in more than just academic areas.

Good at providing prompt and useful feedback.

Willing to spend time outside of class to discuss issues of interest and importance to students.

Below are statements about your contact and interactions with faculty during your undergraduate experience at this institution. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my personal growth, values, and attitudes.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my intellectual growth and interest in ideas.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my career goals and aspirations.

I developed a close, personal relationship with at least one faculty member.

	-			
	Kenyon	All Other Alumni	Kenyon	All Other Alumni
© 2023 Higher Education Data Sharing Consortium	5-Year Cohort	in 5-Year Cohort	10-Year Cohort	in 10-Year Cohort
Total	145 100%	7,064 100%	164 100%	4,946 100%



Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the nine statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty

1 = Strongly disagree; 2 = Disagree; 3 = Neither agree nor disagree; 4 = Agree; 5 = Strongly agree

Below are statements about your views of your undergraduate faculty's interest in teaching and students. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each.

Most faculty with whom I have had contact at this institution were...

Genuinely interested in students.

Interested in helping students grow in more than just academic areas.

Good at providing prompt and useful feedback.

Willing to spend time outside of class to discuss issues of interest and importance to students.

Below are statements about your contact and interactions with faculty during your undergraduate experience at this institution. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my personal growth, values, and attitudes.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my intellectual growth and interest in ideas.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my career goals and aspirations.

I developed a close, personal relationship with at least one faculty member.

Table 2: Summary Results for Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty										
	Kenyon	All Other Alumni	Kenyon	All Other Alumni						
© 2023 Higher Education Data Sharing Consortium	5-Year Cohort	in 5-Year Cohort	10-Year Cohort	in 10-Year Cohort						
I developed a close, personal relationship Strongly disagree		213 3%		161 3%						



Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the nine statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty

1 = Strongly disagree; 2 = Disagree; 3 = Neither agree nor disagree; 4 = Agree; 5 = Strongly agree

Below are statements about your views of your undergraduate faculty's interest in teaching and students. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each.

Most faculty with whom I have had contact at this institution were...

Genuinely interested in students.

Interested in helping students grow in more than just academic areas.

Good at providing prompt and useful feedback.

Willing to spend time outside of class to discuss issues of interest and importance to students.

Below are statements about your contact and interactions with faculty during your undergraduate experience at this institution. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my personal growth, values, and attitudes.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my intellectual growth and interest in ideas.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my career goals and aspirations.

I developed a close, personal relationship with at least one faculty member.

		Kenyon		All Other Alumni		Kenyon		All Other Alum	
© 2023 Higher Education Data Sharing Cons	ortium	5-Year Cohort		Year Cohort in 5-Year Cohort		10-Year	Cohort	in 10-Yea	r Cohort
with at least one faculty member.	Disagree	16	11%	709	10%	14	9%	616	12%



Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the nine statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty

1 = Strongly disagree; 2 = Disagree; 3 = Neither agree nor disagree; 4 = Agree; 5 = Strongly agree

Below are statements about your views of your undergraduate faculty's interest in teaching and students. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each.

Most faculty with whom I have had contact at this institution were...

Genuinely interested in students.

Interested in helping students grow in more than just academic areas.

Good at providing prompt and useful feedback.

Willing to spend time outside of class to discuss issues of interest and importance to students.

Below are statements about your contact and interactions with faculty during your undergraduate experience at this institution. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my personal growth, values, and attitudes.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my intellectual growth and interest in ideas.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my career goals and aspirations.

I developed a close, personal relationship with at least one faculty member.

	Kenyon		All Other Alumni		Kenyon		All Other Alumni			
© 2023 Higher Education Data Sharing Consortium	5-Year Cohort		in 5-Yea	r Cohort	10-Yea	r Cohort	in 10-Yea	r Cohort		
Neither agree nor disagree	15	10%	883	13%	28	17%	748	15%		



Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the nine statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty

1 = Strongly disagree; 2 = Disagree; 3 = Neither agree nor disagree; 4 = Agree; 5 = Strongly agree

Below are statements about your views of your undergraduate faculty's interest in teaching and students. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each.

Most faculty with whom I have had contact at this institution were...

Genuinely interested in students.

Interested in helping students grow in more than just academic areas.

Good at providing prompt and useful feedback.

Willing to spend time outside of class to discuss issues of interest and importance to students.

Below are statements about your contact and interactions with faculty during your undergraduate experience at this institution. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my personal growth, values, and attitudes.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my intellectual growth and interest in ideas.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my career goals and aspirations.

I developed a close, personal relationship with at least one faculty member.

Table 2: Summary Results for Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty

2000 2000000000000000000000000000000000										
	Kenyon		All Other Alumni		Kenyon		All Other	Alumni		
© 2023 Higher Education Data Sharing Consortium	5-Year Cohort		in 5-Year	Cohort	10-Yea	r Cohort	in 10-Yea	r Cohort		
Agree	30	21%	2,027	29%	33	20%	1,420	29%		



Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the nine statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty

1 = Strongly disagree; 2 = Disagree; 3 = Neither agree nor disagree; 4 = Agree; 5 = Strongly agree

Below are statements about your views of your undergraduate faculty's interest in teaching and students. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each.

Most faculty with whom I have had contact at this institution were...

Genuinely interested in students.

Interested in helping students grow in more than just academic areas.

Good at providing prompt and useful feedback.

Willing to spend time outside of class to discuss issues of interest and importance to students.

Below are statements about your contact and interactions with faculty during your undergraduate experience at this institution. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my personal growth, values, and attitudes.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my intellectual growth and interest in ideas.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my career goals and aspirations.

I developed a close, personal relationship with at least one faculty member.

Table 2: Summary Results for Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty											
	Ken	von	All Other	r Alumni	i Kenyon All Ot		All Other	All Other Alumni			
© 2023 Higher Education Data Sharing Consortium	•		in 5-Year		•						
Strongly agree	82	57%	3,228	46%	86	52%	2,012	41%			



Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the nine statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty

1 = Strongly disagree; 2 = Disagree; 3 = Neither agree nor disagree; 4 = Agree; 5 = Strongly agree

Below are statements about your views of your undergraduate faculty's interest in teaching and students. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each.

Most faculty with whom I have had contact at this institution were...

Genuinely interested in students.

Interested in helping students grow in more than just academic areas.

Good at providing prompt and useful feedback.

Willing to spend time outside of class to discuss issues of interest and importance to students.

Below are statements about your contact and interactions with faculty during your undergraduate experience at this institution. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my personal growth, values, and attitudes.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my intellectual growth and interest in ideas.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my career goals and aspirations.

I developed a close, personal relationship with at least one faculty member.

	Kenyon		All Other Alumni		Kenyon		All Other Alum	
© 2023 Higher Education Data Sharing Consortium	5-Year Cohort		in 5-Yea	r Cohort	10-Yea	r Cohort	in 10-Yea	ar Cohort
Total	145 10	0%	7,060	100%	164	100%	4,957	100%



Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the nine statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty

1 = Strongly disagree; 2 = Disagree; 3 = Neither agree nor disagree; 4 = Agree; 5 = Strongly agree

Below are statements about your views of your undergraduate faculty's interest in teaching and students. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each.

Most faculty with whom I have had contact at this institution were...

Genuinely interested in students.

Interested in helping students grow in more than just academic areas.

Good at providing prompt and useful feedback.

Willing to spend time outside of class to discuss issues of interest and importance to students.

Below are statements about your contact and interactions with faculty during your undergraduate experience at this institution. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my personal growth, values, and attitudes.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my intellectual growth and interest in ideas.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my career goals and aspirations.

I developed a close, personal relationship with at least one faculty member.

Table 2: Summary Results for Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty											
© 2023 Higher Education Data Sharing Consortium	Kenyon 5-Year Cohort	All Other Alumni in 5-Year Cohort	Kenyon 10-Year Cohort	All Other Alumni in 10-Year Cohort							
I was satisfied with the opportunities to Strongly disagree		112 2%		66 1%							



Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the nine statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty

1 = Strongly disagree; 2 = Disagree; 3 = Neither agree nor disagree; 4 = Agree; 5 = Strongly agree

Below are statements about your views of your undergraduate faculty's interest in teaching and students. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each.

Most faculty with whom I have had contact at this institution were...

Genuinely interested in students.

Interested in helping students grow in more than just academic areas.

Good at providing prompt and useful feedback.

Willing to spend time outside of class to discuss issues of interest and importance to students.

Below are statements about your contact and interactions with faculty during your undergraduate experience at this institution. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my personal growth, values, and attitudes.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my intellectual growth and interest in ideas.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my career goals and aspirations.

I developed a close, personal relationship with at least one faculty member.

	Ken	yon	All Other	r Alumni	Ken	yon	All Other	Alumni
© 2023 Higher Education Data Sharing Consortium	5-Year Cohort		in 5-Yea	r Cohort	10-Year	Cohort	in 10-Yea	r Cohort
meet and interact informally with faculty Disagree	6	4%	431	6%	11	7%	337	7%



Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the nine statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty

1 = Strongly disagree; 2 = Disagree; 3 = Neither agree nor disagree; 4 = Agree; 5 = Strongly agree

Below are statements about your views of your undergraduate faculty's interest in teaching and students. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each.

Most faculty with whom I have had contact at this institution were...

Genuinely interested in students.

Interested in helping students grow in more than just academic areas.

Good at providing prompt and useful feedback.

Willing to spend time outside of class to discuss issues of interest and importance to students.

Below are statements about your contact and interactions with faculty during your undergraduate experience at this institution. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my personal growth, values, and attitudes.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my intellectual growth and interest in ideas.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my career goals and aspirations.

I developed a close, personal relationship with at least one faculty member.

		Kenyon		All Other Alumni		Kenyon		All Other	Alumni
© 2023 Higher Education Data Sharing Cons	ortium	5-Year Cohort		in 5-Yea	r Cohort	10-Yea	r Cohort	in 10-Yea	r Cohort
members.	Neither agree nor disagree	16	11%	969	14%	20	12%	795	16%



Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty

This tab includes three tables on the Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty indicator. Table 1 includes the nine statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty

1 = Strongly disagree; 2 = Disagree; 3 = Neither agree nor disagree; 4 = Agree; 5 = Strongly agree

Below are statements about your views of your undergraduate faculty's interest in teaching and students. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each.

Most faculty with whom I have had contact at this institution were...

Genuinely interested in students.

Interested in helping students grow in more than just academic areas.

Good at providing prompt and useful feedback.

Willing to spend time outside of class to discuss issues of interest and importance to students.

Below are statements about your contact and interactions with faculty during your undergraduate experience at this institution. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my personal growth, values, and attitudes.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my intellectual growth and interest in ideas.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my career goals and aspirations.

I developed a close, personal relationship with at least one faculty member.

Table 2: Summary Results for Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty

Table 2. Summary Results for Good Teaching and High-Quanty Interactions with Faculty											
	Kenyon	All Other Alumni	Kenyon	All Other Alumni							
© 2023 Higher Education Data Sharing Consortium	5-Year Cohort	in 5-Year Cohort	10-Year Cohort	in 10-Year Cohort							
Agree	44 30%	2,755 39%	55 34%	1,902 38%							



Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the nine statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty

1 = Strongly disagree; 2 = Disagree; 3 = Neither agree nor disagree; 4 = Agree; 5 = Strongly agree

Below are statements about your views of your undergraduate faculty's interest in teaching and students. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each.

Most faculty with whom I have had contact at this institution were...

Genuinely interested in students.

Interested in helping students grow in more than just academic areas.

Good at providing prompt and useful feedback.

Willing to spend time outside of class to discuss issues of interest and importance to students.

Below are statements about your contact and interactions with faculty during your undergraduate experience at this institution. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my personal growth, values, and attitudes.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my intellectual growth and interest in ideas.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my career goals and aspirations.

I developed a close, personal relationship with at least one faculty member.

Table 2: Summary Results for Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty											
	Ker	Kenvon		All Other Alumni		Kenvon		Alumni			
© 2023 Higher Education Data Sharing Consortium	_	•		r Cohort		, -	in 10-Yea				
Strongly agree	78	54%	2,781	39%	76	46%	1,844	37%			



Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the nine statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty

1 = Strongly disagree; 2 = Disagree; 3 = Neither agree nor disagree; 4 = Agree; 5 = Strongly agree

Below are statements about your views of your undergraduate faculty's interest in teaching and students. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each.

Most faculty with whom I have had contact at this institution were...

Genuinely interested in students.

Interested in helping students grow in more than just academic areas.

Good at providing prompt and useful feedback.

Willing to spend time outside of class to discuss issues of interest and importance to students.

Below are statements about your contact and interactions with faculty during your undergraduate experience at this institution. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my personal growth, values, and attitudes.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my intellectual growth and interest in ideas.

My nonclassroom interactions with faculty had a positive influence on my career goals and aspirations.

I developed a close, personal relationship with at least one faculty member.

Kenyo	n	All Other	r Alumni	Ken	iyon	All Other	^r Alumni
5-Vear Co	hort	in 5-Vaa	r Cohort	10-Ve2	r Cohort	in 10-Vas	r Cohort
J-Tear Co	JIIOI C	111 3-1 Ca	Conort	10-164	Conort	111 10-168	Conort
145	100%	7,048	100%	164	100%	4,944	100%
	Kenyo 5-Year Co	Kenyon 5-Year Cohort	Kenyon All Othe 5-Year Cohort in 5-Yea	Kenyon All Other Alumni 5-Year Cohort in 5-Year Cohort	Kenyon All Other Alumni Ker 5-Year Cohort in 5-Year Cohort 10-Yea	Kenyon All Other Alumni Kenyon 5-Year Cohort in 5-Year Cohort 10-Year Cohort	Kenyon All Other Alumni Kenyon All Other 5-Year Cohort in 5-Year Cohort 10-Year Cohort in 10-Year



Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the fourteen statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

1 = Never; 2 = Rarely; 3 = Sometimes; 4 = Often; 5 = Very often

Below are statements about experiences you may have had in your classes as an undergraduate at this institution. About how often have you experienced each?

Faculty posed challenging ideas in class.

Faculty asked me to show how a particular course concept could be applied to an actual problem or situation.

Faculty asked me to point out any fallacies in ideas, principles, or points of view presented in the course.

Faculty asked me to argue for or against a particular point of view.

Faculty challenged my ideas in class.

Students challenged each other's ideas in class.

Below are descriptions of the types of exams or assignments you may have had in your undergraduate classes at this institution. About how often did you undertake each?

Wrote essays.

Completed assignments or projects in which I solved problems.

Made oral presentations.

Used course content to address a problem not presented in the course.

Compared or contrasted topics or ideas from a course.

Pointed out the strengths and weaknesses of a particular argument or point of view.

Argued for or against a particular point of view and defended my argument.



Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the fourteen statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

1 = Never; 2 = Rarely; 3 = Sometimes; 4 = Often; 5 = Very often

Below are statements about experiences you may have had in your classes as an undergraduate at this institution. About how often have you experienced each?

Faculty posed challenging ideas in class.

Faculty asked me to show how a particular course concept could be applied to an actual problem or situation.

Faculty asked me to point out any fallacies in ideas, principles, or points of view presented in the course.

Faculty asked me to argue for or against a particular point of view.

Faculty challenged my ideas in class.

Students challenged each other's ideas in class.

Below are descriptions of the types of exams or assignments you may have had in your undergraduate classes at this institution. About how often did you undertake each?

Wrote essays.

Completed assignments or projects in which I solved problems.

Made oral presentations.

Used course content to address a problem not presented in the course.

Compared or contrasted topics or ideas from a course.

Pointed out the strengths and weaknesses of a particular argument or point of view.

Argued for or against a particular point of view and defended my argument.



Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the fourteen statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

1 = Never; 2 = Rarely; 3 = Sometimes; 4 = Often; 5 = Very often

Below are statements about experiences you may have had in your classes as an undergraduate at this institution. About how often have you experienced each?

Faculty posed challenging ideas in class.

Faculty asked me to show how a particular course concept could be applied to an actual problem or situation.

Faculty asked me to point out any fallacies in ideas, principles, or points of view presented in the course.

Faculty asked me to argue for or against a particular point of view.

Faculty challenged my ideas in class.

Students challenged each other's ideas in class.

Below are descriptions of the types of exams or assignments you may have had in your undergraduate classes at this institution. About how often did you undertake each?

Wrote essays.

Completed assignments or projects in which I solved problems.

Made oral presentations.

Used course content to address a problem not presented in the course.

Compared or contrasted topics or ideas from a course.

Pointed out the strengths and weaknesses of a particular argument or point of view.

Argued for or against a particular point of view and defended my argument.

Table 2: Summary Results for Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expect	tations			
C LOLD THENCE LAUGUSTON DATA SHATTING CONSOCIATION				
	Kenvon	All Other Alumni	Kenvon	All Other Alumni



Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the fourteen statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

1 = Never; 2 = Rarely; 3 = Sometimes; 4 = Often; 5 = Very often

Below are statements about experiences you may have had in your classes as an undergraduate at this institution. About how often have you experienced each?

Faculty posed challenging ideas in class.

Faculty asked me to show how a particular course concept could be applied to an actual problem or situation.

Faculty asked me to point out any fallacies in ideas, principles, or points of view presented in the course.

Faculty asked me to argue for or against a particular point of view.

Faculty challenged my ideas in class.

Students challenged each other's ideas in class.

Below are descriptions of the types of exams or assignments you may have had in your undergraduate classes at this institution. About how often did you undertake each?

Wrote essays.

Completed assignments or projects in which I solved problems.

Made oral presentations.

Used course content to address a problem not presented in the course.

Compared or contrasted topics or ideas from a course.

Pointed out the strengths and weaknesses of a particular argument or point of view.

Argued for or against a particular point of view and defended my argument.

Table 2: Summary Results for Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expect	tations			
C LOLD THENCE LAUGUSTON DATA SHATTING CONSOCIATION				
	Kenvon	All Other Alumni	Kenvon	All Other Alumni



Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the fourteen statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

1 = Never; 2 = Rarely; 3 = Sometimes; 4 = Often; 5 = Very often

Below are statements about experiences you may have had in your classes as an undergraduate at this institution. About how often have you experienced each?

Faculty posed challenging ideas in class.

Faculty asked me to show how a particular course concept could be applied to an actual problem or situation.

Faculty asked me to point out any fallacies in ideas, principles, or points of view presented in the course.

Faculty asked me to argue for or against a particular point of view.

Faculty challenged my ideas in class.

Students challenged each other's ideas in class.

Below are descriptions of the types of exams or assignments you may have had in your undergraduate classes at this institution. About how often did you undertake each?

Wrote essays.

Completed assignments or projects in which I solved problems.

Made oral presentations.

Used course content to address a problem not presented in the course.

Compared or contrasted topics or ideas from a course.

Pointed out the strengths and weaknesses of a particular argument or point of view.

Argued for or against a particular point of view and defended my argument.

Table 2: Summary Results for Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expect	tations			
C LOLD THENCE LAUGUSTON DATA SHATTING CONSOCIATION				
	Kenvon	All Other Alumni	Kenvon	All Other Alumni



Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the fourteen statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

1 = Never; 2 = Rarely; 3 = Sometimes; 4 = Often; 5 = Very often

Below are statements about experiences you may have had in your classes as an undergraduate at this institution. About how often have you experienced each?

Faculty posed challenging ideas in class.

Faculty asked me to show how a particular course concept could be applied to an actual problem or situation.

Faculty asked me to point out any fallacies in ideas, principles, or points of view presented in the course.

Faculty asked me to argue for or against a particular point of view.

Faculty challenged my ideas in class.

Students challenged each other's ideas in class.

Below are descriptions of the types of exams or assignments you may have had in your undergraduate classes at this institution. About how often did you undertake each?

Wrote essays.

Completed assignments or projects in which I solved problems.

Made oral presentations.

Used course content to address a problem not presented in the course.

Compared or contrasted topics or ideas from a course.

Pointed out the strengths and weaknesses of a particular argument or point of view.

Argued for or against a particular point of view and defended my argument.

Table 2: Summary Results for Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expect	tations			
C LOLD THENCE LAUGUSTON DATA SHATTING CONSOCIATION				
	Kenvon	All Other Alumni	Kenvon	All Other Alumni



Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the fourteen statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

1 = Never; 2 = Rarely; 3 = Sometimes; 4 = Often; 5 = Very often

Below are statements about experiences you may have had in your classes as an undergraduate at this institution. About how often have you experienced each?

Faculty posed challenging ideas in class.

Faculty asked me to show how a particular course concept could be applied to an actual problem or situation.

Faculty asked me to point out any fallacies in ideas, principles, or points of view presented in the course.

Faculty asked me to argue for or against a particular point of view.

Faculty challenged my ideas in class.

Students challenged each other's ideas in class.

Below are descriptions of the types of exams or assignments you may have had in your undergraduate classes at this institution. About how often did you undertake each?

Wrote essays.

Completed assignments or projects in which I solved problems.

Made oral presentations.

Used course content to address a problem not presented in the course.

Compared or contrasted topics or ideas from a course.

Pointed out the strengths and weaknesses of a particular argument or point of view.

Argued for or against a particular point of view and defended my argument.

Table 2: Summary Results for Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expect	tations			
C LOLD THENCE LAUGUSTON DATA SHATTING CONSOCIATION				
	Kenvon	All Other Alumni	Kenvon	All Other Alumni



Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the fourteen statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

1 = Never; 2 = Rarely; 3 = Sometimes; 4 = Often; 5 = Very often

Below are statements about experiences you may have had in your classes as an undergraduate at this institution. About how often have you experienced each?

Faculty posed challenging ideas in class.

Faculty asked me to show how a particular course concept could be applied to an actual problem or situation.

Faculty asked me to point out any fallacies in ideas, principles, or points of view presented in the course.

Faculty asked me to argue for or against a particular point of view.

Faculty challenged my ideas in class.

Students challenged each other's ideas in class.

Below are descriptions of the types of exams or assignments you may have had in your undergraduate classes at this institution. About how often did you undertake each?

Wrote essays.

Completed assignments or projects in which I solved problems.

Made oral presentations.

Used course content to address a problem not presented in the course.

Compared or contrasted topics or ideas from a course.

Pointed out the strengths and weaknesses of a particular argument or point of view.

Argued for or against a particular point of view and defended my argument.

Table 2: Summary Results for Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expect	tations			
C LOLD THENCE LAUGUSTON DATA SHATTING CONSOCIATION				
	Kenvon	All Other Alumni	Kenvon	All Other Alumni



Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the fourteen statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

1 = Never; 2 = Rarely; 3 = Sometimes; 4 = Often; 5 = Very often

Below are statements about experiences you may have had in your classes as an undergraduate at this institution. About how often have you experienced each?

Faculty posed challenging ideas in class.

Faculty asked me to show how a particular course concept could be applied to an actual problem or situation.

Faculty asked me to point out any fallacies in ideas, principles, or points of view presented in the course.

Faculty asked me to argue for or against a particular point of view.

Faculty challenged my ideas in class.

Students challenged each other's ideas in class.

Below are descriptions of the types of exams or assignments you may have had in your undergraduate classes at this institution. About how often did you undertake each?

Wrote essays.

Completed assignments or projects in which I solved problems.

Made oral presentations.

Used course content to address a problem not presented in the course.

Compared or contrasted topics or ideas from a course.

Pointed out the strengths and weaknesses of a particular argument or point of view.

Argued for or against a particular point of view and defended my argument.

Table 2: Summary Results for Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expec	tations			
e 2020 riighei 20000tion 30ta 3haring consortium	Kenvon	All Other Alumni	Kenvon	All Other Alumni



Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the fourteen statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

1 = Never; 2 = Rarely; 3 = Sometimes; 4 = Often; 5 = Very often

Below are statements about experiences you may have had in your classes as an undergraduate at this institution. About how often have you experienced each?

Faculty posed challenging ideas in class.

Faculty asked me to show how a particular course concept could be applied to an actual problem or situation.

Faculty asked me to point out any fallacies in ideas, principles, or points of view presented in the course.

Faculty asked me to argue for or against a particular point of view.

Faculty challenged my ideas in class.

Students challenged each other's ideas in class.

Below are descriptions of the types of exams or assignments you may have had in your undergraduate classes at this institution. About how often did you undertake each?

Wrote essays.

Completed assignments or projects in which I solved problems.

Made oral presentations.

Used course content to address a problem not presented in the course.

Compared or contrasted topics or ideas from a course.

Pointed out the strengths and weaknesses of a particular argument or point of view.

Argued for or against a particular point of view and defended my argument.

Table 2: Summary Results for Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expect	tations			
C LOLD THENCE LAUGUSTON DATA SHATTING CONSOCIATION				
	Kenvon	All Other Alumni	Kenvon	All Other Alumni



Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the fourteen statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

1 = Never; 2 = Rarely; 3 = Sometimes; 4 = Often; 5 = Very often

Below are statements about experiences you may have had in your classes as an undergraduate at this institution. About how often have you experienced each?

Faculty posed challenging ideas in class.

Faculty asked me to show how a particular course concept could be applied to an actual problem or situation.

Faculty asked me to point out any fallacies in ideas, principles, or points of view presented in the course.

Faculty asked me to argue for or against a particular point of view.

Faculty challenged my ideas in class.

Students challenged each other's ideas in class.

Below are descriptions of the types of exams or assignments you may have had in your undergraduate classes at this institution. About how often did you undertake each?

Wrote essays.

Completed assignments or projects in which I solved problems.

Made oral presentations.

Used course content to address a problem not presented in the course.

Compared or contrasted topics or ideas from a course.

Pointed out the strengths and weaknesses of a particular argument or point of view.

Argued for or against a particular point of view and defended my argument.

Table 2: Summary Results for Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expect	tations			
C LOLD THENCE LAUGUSTON DATA SHATTING CONSOCIATION				
	Kenvon	All Other Alumni	Kenvon	All Other Alumni



Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the fourteen statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

1 = Never; 2 = Rarely; 3 = Sometimes; 4 = Often; 5 = Very often

Below are statements about experiences you may have had in your classes as an undergraduate at this institution. About how often have you experienced each?

Faculty posed challenging ideas in class.

Faculty asked me to show how a particular course concept could be applied to an actual problem or situation.

Faculty asked me to point out any fallacies in ideas, principles, or points of view presented in the course.

Faculty asked me to argue for or against a particular point of view.

Faculty challenged my ideas in class.

Students challenged each other's ideas in class.

Below are descriptions of the types of exams or assignments you may have had in your undergraduate classes at this institution. About how often did you undertake each?

Wrote essays.

Completed assignments or projects in which I solved problems.

Made oral presentations.

Used course content to address a problem not presented in the course.

Compared or contrasted topics or ideas from a course.

Pointed out the strengths and weaknesses of a particular argument or point of view.

Argued for or against a particular point of view and defended my argument.

Table 2: Summary Results for Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expect	tations			
C LOLD THENCE LAUGUSTON DATA SHATTING CONSOCIATION				
	Kenvon	All Other Alumni	Kenvon	All Other Alumni



Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the fourteen statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

1 = Never; 2 = Rarely; 3 = Sometimes; 4 = Often; 5 = Very often

Below are statements about experiences you may have had in your classes as an undergraduate at this institution. About how often have you experienced each?

Faculty posed challenging ideas in class.

Faculty asked me to show how a particular course concept could be applied to an actual problem or situation.

Faculty asked me to point out any fallacies in ideas, principles, or points of view presented in the course.

Faculty asked me to argue for or against a particular point of view.

Faculty challenged my ideas in class.

Students challenged each other's ideas in class.

Below are descriptions of the types of exams or assignments you may have had in your undergraduate classes at this institution. About how often did you undertake each?

Wrote essays.

Completed assignments or projects in which I solved problems.

Made oral presentations.

Used course content to address a problem not presented in the course.

Compared or contrasted topics or ideas from a course.

Pointed out the strengths and weaknesses of a particular argument or point of view.

Argued for or against a particular point of view and defended my argument.

Table 2: Summary Results for Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expects	ations			
C 2020 Inglief 2 data diffaring Consolitation	Kenvon	All Other Alumni	Kenvon	All Other Alumni



Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the fourteen statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

1 = Never; 2 = Rarely; 3 = Sometimes; 4 = Often; 5 = Very often

Below are statements about experiences you may have had in your classes as an undergraduate at this institution. About how often have you experienced each?

Faculty posed challenging ideas in class.

Faculty asked me to show how a particular course concept could be applied to an actual problem or situation.

Faculty asked me to point out any fallacies in ideas, principles, or points of view presented in the course.

Faculty asked me to argue for or against a particular point of view.

Faculty challenged my ideas in class.

Students challenged each other's ideas in class.

Below are descriptions of the types of exams or assignments you may have had in your undergraduate classes at this institution. About how often did you undertake each?

Wrote essays.

Completed assignments or projects in which I solved problems.

Made oral presentations.

Used course content to address a problem not presented in the course.

Compared or contrasted topics or ideas from a course.

Pointed out the strengths and weaknesses of a particular argument or point of view.

Argued for or against a particular point of view and defended my argument.

	Table 2: Summary Results for Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations © 2023 Higher Education Data Sharing Consortium					
C 2020 Ingile: Laucation Bata Sharing Consortiani	Kenvon	All Other Alumni	Kenvon	All Other Alumni		



Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the fourteen statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

1 = Never; 2 = Rarely; 3 = Sometimes; 4 = Often; 5 = Very often

Below are statements about experiences you may have had in your classes as an undergraduate at this institution. About how often have you experienced each?

Faculty posed challenging ideas in class.

Faculty asked me to show how a particular course concept could be applied to an actual problem or situation.

Faculty asked me to point out any fallacies in ideas, principles, or points of view presented in the course.

Faculty asked me to argue for or against a particular point of view.

Faculty challenged my ideas in class.

Students challenged each other's ideas in class.

Below are descriptions of the types of exams or assignments you may have had in your undergraduate classes at this institution. About how often did you undertake each?

Wrote essays.

Completed assignments or projects in which I solved problems.

Made oral presentations.

Used course content to address a problem not presented in the course.

Compared or contrasted topics or ideas from a course.

Pointed out the strengths and weaknesses of a particular argument or point of view.

Argued for or against a particular point of view and defended my argument.

	Table 2: Summary Results for Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations © 2023 Higher Education Data Sharing Consortium					
C 2020 Ingile: Laucation Bata Sharing Consortiani	Kenvon	All Other Alumni	Kenvon	All Other Alumni		



Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the fourteen statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

1 = Never; 2 = Rarely; 3 = Sometimes; 4 = Often; 5 = Very often

Below are statements about experiences you may have had in your classes as an undergraduate at this institution. About how often have you experienced each?

Faculty posed challenging ideas in class.

Faculty asked me to show how a particular course concept could be applied to an actual problem or situation.

Faculty asked me to point out any fallacies in ideas, principles, or points of view presented in the course.

Faculty asked me to argue for or against a particular point of view.

Faculty challenged my ideas in class.

Students challenged each other's ideas in class.

Below are descriptions of the types of exams or assignments you may have had in your undergraduate classes at this institution. About how often did you undertake each?

Wrote essays.

Completed assignments or projects in which I solved problems.

Made oral presentations.

Used course content to address a problem not presented in the course.

Compared or contrasted topics or ideas from a course.

Pointed out the strengths and weaknesses of a particular argument or point of view.

Argued for or against a particular point of view and defended my argument.

	Table 2: Summary Results for Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations © 2023 Higher Education Data Sharing Consortium					
C 2020 Ingile: Laucation Bata Sharing Consortiani	Kenvon	All Other Alumni	Kenvon	All Other Alumni		



Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the fourteen statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

1 = Never; 2 = Rarely; 3 = Sometimes; 4 = Often; 5 = Very often

Below are statements about experiences you may have had in your classes as an undergraduate at this institution. About how often have you experienced each?

Faculty posed challenging ideas in class.

Faculty asked me to show how a particular course concept could be applied to an actual problem or situation.

Faculty asked me to point out any fallacies in ideas, principles, or points of view presented in the course.

Faculty asked me to argue for or against a particular point of view.

Faculty challenged my ideas in class.

Students challenged each other's ideas in class.

Below are descriptions of the types of exams or assignments you may have had in your undergraduate classes at this institution. About how often did you undertake each?

Wrote essays.

Completed assignments or projects in which I solved problems.

Made oral presentations.

Used course content to address a problem not presented in the course.

Compared or contrasted topics or ideas from a course.

Pointed out the strengths and weaknesses of a particular argument or point of view.

Argued for or against a particular point of view and defended my argument.

	Table 2: Summary Results for Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations © 2023 Higher Education Data Sharing Consortium					
C 2020 Ingile: Laucation Bata Sharing Consortiani	Kenvon	All Other Alumni	Kenvon	All Other Alumni		



Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the fourteen statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

1 = Never; 2 = Rarely; 3 = Sometimes; 4 = Often; 5 = Very often

Below are statements about experiences you may have had in your classes as an undergraduate at this institution. About how often have you experienced each?

Faculty posed challenging ideas in class.

Faculty asked me to show how a particular course concept could be applied to an actual problem or situation.

Faculty asked me to point out any fallacies in ideas, principles, or points of view presented in the course.

Faculty asked me to argue for or against a particular point of view.

Faculty challenged my ideas in class.

Students challenged each other's ideas in class.

Below are descriptions of the types of exams or assignments you may have had in your undergraduate classes at this institution. About how often did you undertake each?

Wrote essays.

Completed assignments or projects in which I solved problems.

Made oral presentations.

Used course content to address a problem not presented in the course.

Compared or contrasted topics or ideas from a course.

Pointed out the strengths and weaknesses of a particular argument or point of view.

Argued for or against a particular point of view and defended my argument.

	Table 2: Summary Results for Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations © 2023 Higher Education Data Sharing Consortium					
C 2020 Ingile: Laucation Bata Sharing Consortiani	Kenvon	All Other Alumni	Kenvon	All Other Alumni		



Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the fourteen statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

1 = Never; 2 = Rarely; 3 = Sometimes; 4 = Often; 5 = Very often

Below are statements about experiences you may have had in your classes as an undergraduate at this institution. About how often have you experienced each?

Faculty posed challenging ideas in class.

Faculty asked me to show how a particular course concept could be applied to an actual problem or situation.

Faculty asked me to point out any fallacies in ideas, principles, or points of view presented in the course.

Faculty asked me to argue for or against a particular point of view.

Faculty challenged my ideas in class.

Students challenged each other's ideas in class.

Below are descriptions of the types of exams or assignments you may have had in your undergraduate classes at this institution. About how often did you undertake each?

Wrote essays.

Completed assignments or projects in which I solved problems.

Made oral presentations.

Used course content to address a problem not presented in the course.

Compared or contrasted topics or ideas from a course.

Pointed out the strengths and weaknesses of a particular argument or point of view.

Argued for or against a particular point of view and defended my argument.

	Table 2: Summary Results for Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations © 2023 Higher Education Data Sharing Consortium					
C 2020 Ingile: Laucation Bata Sharing Consortiani	Kenvon	All Other Alumni	Kenvon	All Other Alumni		



Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the fourteen statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

1 = Never; 2 = Rarely; 3 = Sometimes; 4 = Often; 5 = Very often

Below are statements about experiences you may have had in your classes as an undergraduate at this institution. About how often have you experienced each?

Faculty posed challenging ideas in class.

Faculty asked me to show how a particular course concept could be applied to an actual problem or situation.

Faculty asked me to point out any fallacies in ideas, principles, or points of view presented in the course.

Faculty asked me to argue for or against a particular point of view.

Faculty challenged my ideas in class.

Students challenged each other's ideas in class.

Below are descriptions of the types of exams or assignments you may have had in your undergraduate classes at this institution. About how often did you undertake each?

Wrote essays.

Completed assignments or projects in which I solved problems.

Made oral presentations.

Used course content to address a problem not presented in the course.

Compared or contrasted topics or ideas from a course.

Pointed out the strengths and weaknesses of a particular argument or point of view.

Argued for or against a particular point of view and defended my argument.

	Table 2: Summary Results for Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations © 2023 Higher Education Data Sharing Consortium					
C 2020 Ingile: Laucation Bata Sharing Consortiani	Kenvon	All Other Alumni	Kenvon	All Other Alumni		



Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the fourteen statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

1 = Never; 2 = Rarely; 3 = Sometimes; 4 = Often; 5 = Very often

Below are statements about experiences you may have had in your classes as an undergraduate at this institution. About how often have you experienced each?

Faculty posed challenging ideas in class.

Faculty asked me to show how a particular course concept could be applied to an actual problem or situation.

Faculty asked me to point out any fallacies in ideas, principles, or points of view presented in the course.

Faculty asked me to argue for or against a particular point of view.

Faculty challenged my ideas in class.

Students challenged each other's ideas in class.

Below are descriptions of the types of exams or assignments you may have had in your undergraduate classes at this institution. About how often did you undertake each?

Wrote essays.

Completed assignments or projects in which I solved problems.

Made oral presentations.

Used course content to address a problem not presented in the course.

Compared or contrasted topics or ideas from a course.

Pointed out the strengths and weaknesses of a particular argument or point of view.

Argued for or against a particular point of view and defended my argument.

	Table 2: Summary Results for Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations © 2023 Higher Education Data Sharing Consortium					
C 2020 Ingile: Laucation Bata Sharing Consortiani	Kenvon	All Other Alumni	Kenvon	All Other Alumni		



Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the fourteen statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

1 = Never; 2 = Rarely; 3 = Sometimes; 4 = Often; 5 = Very often

Below are statements about experiences you may have had in your classes as an undergraduate at this institution. About how often have you experienced each?

Faculty posed challenging ideas in class.

Faculty asked me to show how a particular course concept could be applied to an actual problem or situation.

Faculty asked me to point out any fallacies in ideas, principles, or points of view presented in the course.

Faculty asked me to argue for or against a particular point of view.

Faculty challenged my ideas in class.

Students challenged each other's ideas in class.

Below are descriptions of the types of exams or assignments you may have had in your undergraduate classes at this institution. About how often did you undertake each?

Wrote essays.

Completed assignments or projects in which I solved problems.

Made oral presentations.

Used course content to address a problem not presented in the course.

Compared or contrasted topics or ideas from a course.

Pointed out the strengths and weaknesses of a particular argument or point of view.

Argued for or against a particular point of view and defended my argument.

Table 2: Summary Results for Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expects	ations			
C 2020 Inglief 2 data diffaring Consolitation	Kenvon	All Other Alumni	Kenvon	All Other Alumni



Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the fourteen statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

1 = Never; 2 = Rarely; 3 = Sometimes; 4 = Often; 5 = Very often

Below are statements about experiences you may have had in your classes as an undergraduate at this institution. About how often have you experienced each?

Faculty posed challenging ideas in class.

Faculty asked me to show how a particular course concept could be applied to an actual problem or situation.

Faculty asked me to point out any fallacies in ideas, principles, or points of view presented in the course.

Faculty asked me to argue for or against a particular point of view.

Faculty challenged my ideas in class.

Students challenged each other's ideas in class.

Below are descriptions of the types of exams or assignments you may have had in your undergraduate classes at this institution. About how often did you undertake each?

Wrote essays.

Completed assignments or projects in which I solved problems.

Made oral presentations.

Used course content to address a problem not presented in the course.

Compared or contrasted topics or ideas from a course.

Pointed out the strengths and weaknesses of a particular argument or point of view.

Argued for or against a particular point of view and defended my argument.

Table 2: Summary Results for Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expects	ations			
C 2020 Inglief 2 data diffaring Consolitation	Kenvon	All Other Alumni	Kenvon	All Other Alumni



Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the fourteen statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

1 = Never; 2 = Rarely; 3 = Sometimes; 4 = Often; 5 = Very often

Below are statements about experiences you may have had in your classes as an undergraduate at this institution. About how often have you experienced each?

Faculty posed challenging ideas in class.

Faculty asked me to show how a particular course concept could be applied to an actual problem or situation.

Faculty asked me to point out any fallacies in ideas, principles, or points of view presented in the course.

Faculty asked me to argue for or against a particular point of view.

Faculty challenged my ideas in class.

Students challenged each other's ideas in class.

Below are descriptions of the types of exams or assignments you may have had in your undergraduate classes at this institution. About how often did you undertake each?

Wrote essays.

Completed assignments or projects in which I solved problems.

Made oral presentations.

Used course content to address a problem not presented in the course.

Compared or contrasted topics or ideas from a course.

Pointed out the strengths and weaknesses of a particular argument or point of view.

Argued for or against a particular point of view and defended my argument.

Table 2: Summary Results for Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expects	ations			
C 2020 Inglief 2 data diffaring Consolitation	Kenvon	All Other Alumni	Kenvon	All Other Alumni



Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the fourteen statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

1 = Never; 2 = Rarely; 3 = Sometimes; 4 = Often; 5 = Very often

Below are statements about experiences you may have had in your classes as an undergraduate at this institution. About how often have you experienced each?

Faculty posed challenging ideas in class.

Faculty asked me to show how a particular course concept could be applied to an actual problem or situation.

Faculty asked me to point out any fallacies in ideas, principles, or points of view presented in the course.

Faculty asked me to argue for or against a particular point of view.

Faculty challenged my ideas in class.

Students challenged each other's ideas in class.

Below are descriptions of the types of exams or assignments you may have had in your undergraduate classes at this institution. About how often did you undertake each?

Wrote essays.

Completed assignments or projects in which I solved problems.

Made oral presentations.

Used course content to address a problem not presented in the course.

Compared or contrasted topics or ideas from a course.

Pointed out the strengths and weaknesses of a particular argument or point of view.

Argued for or against a particular point of view and defended my argument.

Table 2: Summary Results for Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expects	ations			
C 2020 Inglief 2 data diffaring Consolitation	Kenvon	All Other Alumni	Kenvon	All Other Alumni



Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the fourteen statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

1 = Never; 2 = Rarely; 3 = Sometimes; 4 = Often; 5 = Very often

Below are statements about experiences you may have had in your classes as an undergraduate at this institution. About how often have you experienced each?

Faculty posed challenging ideas in class.

Faculty asked me to show how a particular course concept could be applied to an actual problem or situation.

Faculty asked me to point out any fallacies in ideas, principles, or points of view presented in the course.

Faculty asked me to argue for or against a particular point of view.

Faculty challenged my ideas in class.

Students challenged each other's ideas in class.

Below are descriptions of the types of exams or assignments you may have had in your undergraduate classes at this institution. About how often did you undertake each?

Wrote essays.

Completed assignments or projects in which I solved problems.

Made oral presentations.

Used course content to address a problem not presented in the course.

Compared or contrasted topics or ideas from a course.

Pointed out the strengths and weaknesses of a particular argument or point of view.

Argued for or against a particular point of view and defended my argument.

Table 2: Summary Results for Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expects	ations			
C 2020 Inglief 2 data diffaring Consolitation	Kenvon	All Other Alumni	Kenvon	All Other Alumni



Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the fourteen statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

1 = Never; 2 = Rarely; 3 = Sometimes; 4 = Often; 5 = Very often

Below are statements about experiences you may have had in your classes as an undergraduate at this institution. About how often have you experienced each?

Faculty posed challenging ideas in class.

Faculty asked me to show how a particular course concept could be applied to an actual problem or situation.

Faculty asked me to point out any fallacies in ideas, principles, or points of view presented in the course.

Faculty asked me to argue for or against a particular point of view.

Faculty challenged my ideas in class.

Students challenged each other's ideas in class.

Below are descriptions of the types of exams or assignments you may have had in your undergraduate classes at this institution. About how often did you undertake each?

Wrote essays.

Completed assignments or projects in which I solved problems.

Made oral presentations.

Used course content to address a problem not presented in the course.

Compared or contrasted topics or ideas from a course.

Pointed out the strengths and weaknesses of a particular argument or point of view.

Argued for or against a particular point of view and defended my argument.

Table 2: Summary Results for Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expects	ations			
C 2020 Inglief 2 data diffaring Consolitation	Kenvon	All Other Alumni	Kenvon	All Other Alumni



Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the fourteen statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

1 = Never; 2 = Rarely; 3 = Sometimes; 4 = Often; 5 = Very often

Below are statements about experiences you may have had in your classes as an undergraduate at this institution. About how often have you experienced each?

Faculty posed challenging ideas in class.

Faculty asked me to show how a particular course concept could be applied to an actual problem or situation.

Faculty asked me to point out any fallacies in ideas, principles, or points of view presented in the course.

Faculty asked me to argue for or against a particular point of view.

Faculty challenged my ideas in class.

Students challenged each other's ideas in class.

Below are descriptions of the types of exams or assignments you may have had in your undergraduate classes at this institution. About how often did you undertake each?

Wrote essays.

Completed assignments or projects in which I solved problems.

Made oral presentations.

Used course content to address a problem not presented in the course.

Compared or contrasted topics or ideas from a course.

Pointed out the strengths and weaknesses of a particular argument or point of view.

Argued for or against a particular point of view and defended my argument.

Table 2: Summary Results for Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expects	ations			
C 2020 Inglief 2 data diffaring Consolitation	Kenvon	All Other Alumni	Kenvon	All Other Alumni



Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the fourteen statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

1 = Never; 2 = Rarely; 3 = Sometimes; 4 = Often; 5 = Very often

Below are statements about experiences you may have had in your classes as an undergraduate at this institution. About how often have you experienced each?

Faculty posed challenging ideas in class.

Faculty asked me to show how a particular course concept could be applied to an actual problem or situation.

Faculty asked me to point out any fallacies in ideas, principles, or points of view presented in the course.

Faculty asked me to argue for or against a particular point of view.

Faculty challenged my ideas in class.

Students challenged each other's ideas in class.

Below are descriptions of the types of exams or assignments you may have had in your undergraduate classes at this institution. About how often did you undertake each?

Wrote essays.

Completed assignments or projects in which I solved problems.

Made oral presentations.

Used course content to address a problem not presented in the course.

Compared or contrasted topics or ideas from a course.

Pointed out the strengths and weaknesses of a particular argument or point of view.

Argued for or against a particular point of view and defended my argument.

Table 2: Summary Results for Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expects	ations			
C 2020 Inglief 2 data diffaring Consolitation	Kenvon	All Other Alumni	Kenvon	All Other Alumni



Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the fourteen statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

1 = Never; 2 = Rarely; 3 = Sometimes; 4 = Often; 5 = Very often

Below are statements about experiences you may have had in your classes as an undergraduate at this institution. About how often have you experienced each?

Faculty posed challenging ideas in class.

Faculty asked me to show how a particular course concept could be applied to an actual problem or situation.

Faculty asked me to point out any fallacies in ideas, principles, or points of view presented in the course.

Faculty asked me to argue for or against a particular point of view.

Faculty challenged my ideas in class.

Students challenged each other's ideas in class.

Below are descriptions of the types of exams or assignments you may have had in your undergraduate classes at this institution. About how often did you undertake each?

Wrote essays.

Completed assignments or projects in which I solved problems.

Made oral presentations.

Used course content to address a problem not presented in the course.

Compared or contrasted topics or ideas from a course.

Pointed out the strengths and weaknesses of a particular argument or point of view.

Argued for or against a particular point of view and defended my argument.

Table 2: Summary Results for Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expects	ations			
C 2020 Inglief 2 data diffaring Consolitation	Kenvon	All Other Alumni	Kenvon	All Other Alumni



Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the fourteen statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

1 = Never; 2 = Rarely; 3 = Sometimes; 4 = Often; 5 = Very often

Below are statements about experiences you may have had in your classes as an undergraduate at this institution. About how often have you experienced each?

Faculty posed challenging ideas in class.

Faculty asked me to show how a particular course concept could be applied to an actual problem or situation.

Faculty asked me to point out any fallacies in ideas, principles, or points of view presented in the course.

Faculty asked me to argue for or against a particular point of view.

Faculty challenged my ideas in class.

Students challenged each other's ideas in class.

Below are descriptions of the types of exams or assignments you may have had in your undergraduate classes at this institution. About how often did you undertake each?

Wrote essays.

Completed assignments or projects in which I solved problems.

Made oral presentations.

Used course content to address a problem not presented in the course.

Compared or contrasted topics or ideas from a course.

Pointed out the strengths and weaknesses of a particular argument or point of view.

Argued for or against a particular point of view and defended my argument.

Table 2: Summary Results for Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expects	ations			
C 2020 Inglief 2 data diffaring Consolitation	Kenvon	All Other Alumni	Kenvon	All Other Alumni



Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the fourteen statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

1 = Never; 2 = Rarely; 3 = Sometimes; 4 = Often; 5 = Very often

Below are statements about experiences you may have had in your classes as an undergraduate at this institution. About how often have you experienced each?

Faculty posed challenging ideas in class.

Faculty asked me to show how a particular course concept could be applied to an actual problem or situation.

Faculty asked me to point out any fallacies in ideas, principles, or points of view presented in the course.

Faculty asked me to argue for or against a particular point of view.

Faculty challenged my ideas in class.

Students challenged each other's ideas in class.

Below are descriptions of the types of exams or assignments you may have had in your undergraduate classes at this institution. About how often did you undertake each?

Wrote essays.

Completed assignments or projects in which I solved problems.

Made oral presentations.

Used course content to address a problem not presented in the course.

Compared or contrasted topics or ideas from a course.

Pointed out the strengths and weaknesses of a particular argument or point of view.

Argued for or against a particular point of view and defended my argument.

Table 2: Summary Results for Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expects	ations			
C 2020 Inglief 2 data diffaring Consolitation	Kenvon	All Other Alumni	Kenvon	All Other Alumni



Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the fourteen statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

1 = Never; 2 = Rarely; 3 = Sometimes; 4 = Often; 5 = Very often

Below are statements about experiences you may have had in your classes as an undergraduate at this institution. About how often have you experienced each?

Faculty posed challenging ideas in class.

Faculty asked me to show how a particular course concept could be applied to an actual problem or situation.

Faculty asked me to point out any fallacies in ideas, principles, or points of view presented in the course.

Faculty asked me to argue for or against a particular point of view.

Faculty challenged my ideas in class.

Students challenged each other's ideas in class.

Below are descriptions of the types of exams or assignments you may have had in your undergraduate classes at this institution. About how often did you undertake each?

Wrote essays.

Completed assignments or projects in which I solved problems.

Made oral presentations.

Used course content to address a problem not presented in the course.

Compared or contrasted topics or ideas from a course.

Pointed out the strengths and weaknesses of a particular argument or point of view.

Argued for or against a particular point of view and defended my argument.

Table 2: Summary Results for Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expects	ations			
C 2020 Inglief 2 data diffaring Consolitation	Kenvon	All Other Alumni	Kenvon	All Other Alumni



Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the fourteen statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

1 = Never; 2 = Rarely; 3 = Sometimes; 4 = Often; 5 = Very often

Below are statements about experiences you may have had in your classes as an undergraduate at this institution. About how often have you experienced each?

Faculty posed challenging ideas in class.

Faculty asked me to show how a particular course concept could be applied to an actual problem or situation.

Faculty asked me to point out any fallacies in ideas, principles, or points of view presented in the course.

Faculty asked me to argue for or against a particular point of view.

Faculty challenged my ideas in class.

Students challenged each other's ideas in class.

Below are descriptions of the types of exams or assignments you may have had in your undergraduate classes at this institution. About how often did you undertake each?

Wrote essays.

Completed assignments or projects in which I solved problems.

Made oral presentations.

Used course content to address a problem not presented in the course.

Compared or contrasted topics or ideas from a course.

Pointed out the strengths and weaknesses of a particular argument or point of view.

Argued for or against a particular point of view and defended my argument.

Table 2: Summary Results for Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expects	ations			
C 2020 Inglief 2 data diffaring Consolitation	Kenvon	All Other Alumni	Kenvon	All Other Alumni



Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the fourteen statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

1 = Never; 2 = Rarely; 3 = Sometimes; 4 = Often; 5 = Very often

Below are statements about experiences you may have had in your classes as an undergraduate at this institution. About how often have you experienced each?

Faculty posed challenging ideas in class.

Faculty asked me to show how a particular course concept could be applied to an actual problem or situation.

Faculty asked me to point out any fallacies in ideas, principles, or points of view presented in the course.

Faculty asked me to argue for or against a particular point of view.

Faculty challenged my ideas in class.

Students challenged each other's ideas in class.

Below are descriptions of the types of exams or assignments you may have had in your undergraduate classes at this institution. About how often did you undertake each?

Wrote essays.

Completed assignments or projects in which I solved problems.

Made oral presentations.

Used course content to address a problem not presented in the course.

Compared or contrasted topics or ideas from a course.

Pointed out the strengths and weaknesses of a particular argument or point of view.

Argued for or against a particular point of view and defended my argument.

Table 2: Summary Results for Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expects	ations			
C 2020 Inglief 2 data diffaring Consolitation	Kenvon	All Other Alumni	Kenvon	All Other Alumni



Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the fourteen statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

1 = Never; 2 = Rarely; 3 = Sometimes; 4 = Often; 5 = Very often

Below are statements about experiences you may have had in your classes as an undergraduate at this institution. About how often have you experienced each?

Faculty posed challenging ideas in class.

Faculty asked me to show how a particular course concept could be applied to an actual problem or situation.

Faculty asked me to point out any fallacies in ideas, principles, or points of view presented in the course.

Faculty asked me to argue for or against a particular point of view.

Faculty challenged my ideas in class.

Students challenged each other's ideas in class.

Below are descriptions of the types of exams or assignments you may have had in your undergraduate classes at this institution. About how often did you undertake each?

Wrote essays.

Completed assignments or projects in which I solved problems.

Made oral presentations.

Used course content to address a problem not presented in the course.

Compared or contrasted topics or ideas from a course.

Pointed out the strengths and weaknesses of a particular argument or point of view.

Argued for or against a particular point of view and defended my argument.

Table 2: Summary Results for Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expects	ations			
C 2020 Inglief 2 data diffaring Consolitation	Kenvon	All Other Alumni	Kenvon	All Other Alumni



Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the fourteen statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

1 = Never; 2 = Rarely; 3 = Sometimes; 4 = Often; 5 = Very often

Below are statements about experiences you may have had in your classes as an undergraduate at this institution. About how often have you experienced each?

Faculty posed challenging ideas in class.

Faculty asked me to show how a particular course concept could be applied to an actual problem or situation.

Faculty asked me to point out any fallacies in ideas, principles, or points of view presented in the course.

Faculty asked me to argue for or against a particular point of view.

Faculty challenged my ideas in class.

Students challenged each other's ideas in class.

Below are descriptions of the types of exams or assignments you may have had in your undergraduate classes at this institution. About how often did you undertake each?

Wrote essays.

Completed assignments or projects in which I solved problems.

Made oral presentations.

Used course content to address a problem not presented in the course.

Compared or contrasted topics or ideas from a course.

Pointed out the strengths and weaknesses of a particular argument or point of view.

Argued for or against a particular point of view and defended my argument.

Table 2: Summary Results for Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expects	ations			
C 2020 Inglief 2 data diffaring Consolitation	Kenvon	All Other Alumni	Kenvon	All Other Alumni



Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the fourteen statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

1 = Never; 2 = Rarely; 3 = Sometimes; 4 = Often; 5 = Very often

Below are statements about experiences you may have had in your classes as an undergraduate at this institution. About how often have you experienced each?

Faculty posed challenging ideas in class.

Faculty asked me to show how a particular course concept could be applied to an actual problem or situation.

Faculty asked me to point out any fallacies in ideas, principles, or points of view presented in the course.

Faculty asked me to argue for or against a particular point of view.

Faculty challenged my ideas in class.

Students challenged each other's ideas in class.

Below are descriptions of the types of exams or assignments you may have had in your undergraduate classes at this institution. About how often did you undertake each?

Wrote essays.

Completed assignments or projects in which I solved problems.

Made oral presentations.

Used course content to address a problem not presented in the course.

Compared or contrasted topics or ideas from a course.

Pointed out the strengths and weaknesses of a particular argument or point of view.

Argued for or against a particular point of view and defended my argument.

Table 2: Summary Results for Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expects	ations			
C 2020 Inglief 2 data diffaring Consolitation	Kenvon	All Other Alumni	Kenvon	All Other Alumni



Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the fourteen statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

1 = Never; 2 = Rarely; 3 = Sometimes; 4 = Often; 5 = Very often

Below are statements about experiences you may have had in your classes as an undergraduate at this institution. About how often have you experienced each?

Faculty posed challenging ideas in class.

Faculty asked me to show how a particular course concept could be applied to an actual problem or situation.

Faculty asked me to point out any fallacies in ideas, principles, or points of view presented in the course.

Faculty asked me to argue for or against a particular point of view.

Faculty challenged my ideas in class.

Students challenged each other's ideas in class.

Below are descriptions of the types of exams or assignments you may have had in your undergraduate classes at this institution. About how often did you undertake each?

Wrote essays.

Completed assignments or projects in which I solved problems.

Made oral presentations.

Used course content to address a problem not presented in the course.

Compared or contrasted topics or ideas from a course.

Pointed out the strengths and weaknesses of a particular argument or point of view.

Argued for or against a particular point of view and defended my argument.

Table 2: Summary Results for Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expects	ations			
C 2020 Inglief 2 data diffaring Consolitation	Kenvon	All Other Alumni	Kenvon	All Other Alumni



Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the fourteen statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

1 = Never; 2 = Rarely; 3 = Sometimes; 4 = Often; 5 = Very often

Below are statements about experiences you may have had in your classes as an undergraduate at this institution. About how often have you experienced each?

Faculty posed challenging ideas in class.

Faculty asked me to show how a particular course concept could be applied to an actual problem or situation.

Faculty asked me to point out any fallacies in ideas, principles, or points of view presented in the course.

Faculty asked me to argue for or against a particular point of view.

Faculty challenged my ideas in class.

Students challenged each other's ideas in class.

Below are descriptions of the types of exams or assignments you may have had in your undergraduate classes at this institution. About how often did you undertake each?

Wrote essays.

Completed assignments or projects in which I solved problems.

Made oral presentations.

Used course content to address a problem not presented in the course.

Compared or contrasted topics or ideas from a course.

Pointed out the strengths and weaknesses of a particular argument or point of view.

Argued for or against a particular point of view and defended my argument.

Table 2: Summary Results for Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expects	ations			
C 2020 Inglief 2 data diffaring Consolitation	Kenvon	All Other Alumni	Kenvon	All Other Alumni



Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the fourteen statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

1 = Never; 2 = Rarely; 3 = Sometimes; 4 = Often; 5 = Very often

Below are statements about experiences you may have had in your classes as an undergraduate at this institution. About how often have you experienced each?

Faculty posed challenging ideas in class.

Faculty asked me to show how a particular course concept could be applied to an actual problem or situation.

Faculty asked me to point out any fallacies in ideas, principles, or points of view presented in the course.

Faculty asked me to argue for or against a particular point of view.

Faculty challenged my ideas in class.

Students challenged each other's ideas in class.

Below are descriptions of the types of exams or assignments you may have had in your undergraduate classes at this institution. About how often did you undertake each?

Wrote essays.

Completed assignments or projects in which I solved problems.

Made oral presentations.

Used course content to address a problem not presented in the course.

Compared or contrasted topics or ideas from a course.

Pointed out the strengths and weaknesses of a particular argument or point of view.

Argued for or against a particular point of view and defended my argument.

Table 2: Summary Results for Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expects	ations			
C 2020 Inglief 2 data diffaring Consolitation	Kenvon	All Other Alumni	Kenvon	All Other Alumni



Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the fourteen statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

1 = Never; 2 = Rarely; 3 = Sometimes; 4 = Often; 5 = Very often

Below are statements about experiences you may have had in your classes as an undergraduate at this institution. About how often have you experienced each?

Faculty posed challenging ideas in class.

Faculty asked me to show how a particular course concept could be applied to an actual problem or situation.

Faculty asked me to point out any fallacies in ideas, principles, or points of view presented in the course.

Faculty asked me to argue for or against a particular point of view.

Faculty challenged my ideas in class.

Students challenged each other's ideas in class.

Below are descriptions of the types of exams or assignments you may have had in your undergraduate classes at this institution. About how often did you undertake each?

Wrote essays.

Completed assignments or projects in which I solved problems.

Made oral presentations.

Used course content to address a problem not presented in the course.

Compared or contrasted topics or ideas from a course.

Pointed out the strengths and weaknesses of a particular argument or point of view.

Argued for or against a particular point of view and defended my argument.

Table 2: Summary Results for Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expects	ations			
C 2020 Inglief 2 data diffaring Consolitation	Kenvon	All Other Alumni	Kenvon	All Other Alumni



Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the fourteen statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

1 = Never; 2 = Rarely; 3 = Sometimes; 4 = Often; 5 = Very often

Below are statements about experiences you may have had in your classes as an undergraduate at this institution. About how often have you experienced each?

Faculty posed challenging ideas in class.

Faculty asked me to show how a particular course concept could be applied to an actual problem or situation.

Faculty asked me to point out any fallacies in ideas, principles, or points of view presented in the course.

Faculty asked me to argue for or against a particular point of view.

Faculty challenged my ideas in class.

Students challenged each other's ideas in class.

Below are descriptions of the types of exams or assignments you may have had in your undergraduate classes at this institution. About how often did you undertake each?

Wrote essays.

Completed assignments or projects in which I solved problems.

Made oral presentations.

Used course content to address a problem not presented in the course.

Compared or contrasted topics or ideas from a course.

Pointed out the strengths and weaknesses of a particular argument or point of view.

Argued for or against a particular point of view and defended my argument.

Table 2: Summary Results for Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expects	ations			
C 2020 Inglief 2 data diffaring Consolitation	Kenvon	All Other Alumni	Kenvon	All Other Alumni



Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the fourteen statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

1 = Never; 2 = Rarely; 3 = Sometimes; 4 = Often; 5 = Very often

Below are statements about experiences you may have had in your classes as an undergraduate at this institution. About how often have you experienced each?

Faculty posed challenging ideas in class.

Faculty asked me to show how a particular course concept could be applied to an actual problem or situation.

Faculty asked me to point out any fallacies in ideas, principles, or points of view presented in the course.

Faculty asked me to argue for or against a particular point of view.

Faculty challenged my ideas in class.

Students challenged each other's ideas in class.

Below are descriptions of the types of exams or assignments you may have had in your undergraduate classes at this institution. About how often did you undertake each?

Wrote essays.

Completed assignments or projects in which I solved problems.

Made oral presentations.

Used course content to address a problem not presented in the course.

Compared or contrasted topics or ideas from a course.

Pointed out the strengths and weaknesses of a particular argument or point of view.

Argued for or against a particular point of view and defended my argument.

Table 2: Summary Results for Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expects	ations			
C 2020 Inglief 2 data diffaring Consolitation	Kenvon	All Other Alumni	Kenvon	All Other Alumni



Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the fourteen statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

1 = Never; 2 = Rarely; 3 = Sometimes; 4 = Often; 5 = Very often

Below are statements about experiences you may have had in your classes as an undergraduate at this institution. About how often have you experienced each?

Faculty posed challenging ideas in class.

Faculty asked me to show how a particular course concept could be applied to an actual problem or situation.

Faculty asked me to point out any fallacies in ideas, principles, or points of view presented in the course.

Faculty asked me to argue for or against a particular point of view.

Faculty challenged my ideas in class.

Students challenged each other's ideas in class.

Below are descriptions of the types of exams or assignments you may have had in your undergraduate classes at this institution. About how often did you undertake each?

Wrote essays.

Completed assignments or projects in which I solved problems.

Made oral presentations.

Used course content to address a problem not presented in the course.

Compared or contrasted topics or ideas from a course.

Pointed out the strengths and weaknesses of a particular argument or point of view.

Argued for or against a particular point of view and defended my argument.

Table 2: Summary Results for Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expects	ations			
C 2020 Inglief 2 data diffaring Consolitation	Kenvon	All Other Alumni	Kenvon	All Other Alumni



Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the fourteen statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

1 = Never; 2 = Rarely; 3 = Sometimes; 4 = Often; 5 = Very often

Below are statements about experiences you may have had in your classes as an undergraduate at this institution. About how often have you experienced each?

Faculty posed challenging ideas in class.

Faculty asked me to show how a particular course concept could be applied to an actual problem or situation.

Faculty asked me to point out any fallacies in ideas, principles, or points of view presented in the course.

Faculty asked me to argue for or against a particular point of view.

Faculty challenged my ideas in class.

Students challenged each other's ideas in class.

Below are descriptions of the types of exams or assignments you may have had in your undergraduate classes at this institution. About how often did you undertake each?

Wrote essays.

Completed assignments or projects in which I solved problems.

Made oral presentations.

Used course content to address a problem not presented in the course.

Compared or contrasted topics or ideas from a course.

Pointed out the strengths and weaknesses of a particular argument or point of view.

Argued for or against a particular point of view and defended my argument.

Table 2: Summary Results for Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expects	ations			
C 2020 Inglief 2 data diffaring Consolitation	Kenvon	All Other Alumni	Kenvon	All Other Alumni



Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the fourteen statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

1 = Never; 2 = Rarely; 3 = Sometimes; 4 = Often; 5 = Very often

Below are statements about experiences you may have had in your classes as an undergraduate at this institution. About how often have you experienced each?

Faculty posed challenging ideas in class.

Faculty asked me to show how a particular course concept could be applied to an actual problem or situation.

Faculty asked me to point out any fallacies in ideas, principles, or points of view presented in the course.

Faculty asked me to argue for or against a particular point of view.

Faculty challenged my ideas in class.

Students challenged each other's ideas in class.

Below are descriptions of the types of exams or assignments you may have had in your undergraduate classes at this institution. About how often did you undertake each?

Wrote essays.

Completed assignments or projects in which I solved problems.

Made oral presentations.

Used course content to address a problem not presented in the course.

Compared or contrasted topics or ideas from a course.

Pointed out the strengths and weaknesses of a particular argument or point of view.

Argued for or against a particular point of view and defended my argument.

Table 2: Summary Results for Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expects	ations			
C 2020 Inglief 2 data diffaring Consolitation	Kenvon	All Other Alumni	Kenvon	All Other Alumni



Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the fourteen statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

1 = Never; 2 = Rarely; 3 = Sometimes; 4 = Often; 5 = Very often

Below are statements about experiences you may have had in your classes as an undergraduate at this institution. About how often have you experienced each?

Faculty posed challenging ideas in class.

Faculty asked me to show how a particular course concept could be applied to an actual problem or situation.

Faculty asked me to point out any fallacies in ideas, principles, or points of view presented in the course.

Faculty asked me to argue for or against a particular point of view.

Faculty challenged my ideas in class.

Students challenged each other's ideas in class.

Below are descriptions of the types of exams or assignments you may have had in your undergraduate classes at this institution. About how often did you undertake each?

Wrote essays.

Completed assignments or projects in which I solved problems.

Made oral presentations.

Used course content to address a problem not presented in the course.

Compared or contrasted topics or ideas from a course.

Pointed out the strengths and weaknesses of a particular argument or point of view.

Argued for or against a particular point of view and defended my argument.

Table 2: Summary Results for Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expects	ations			
C 2020 Inglief 2 data diffaring Consolitation	Kenvon	All Other Alumni	Kenvon	All Other Alumni



Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the fourteen statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

1 = Never; 2 = Rarely; 3 = Sometimes; 4 = Often; 5 = Very often

Below are statements about experiences you may have had in your classes as an undergraduate at this institution. About how often have you experienced each?

Faculty posed challenging ideas in class.

Faculty asked me to show how a particular course concept could be applied to an actual problem or situation.

Faculty asked me to point out any fallacies in ideas, principles, or points of view presented in the course.

Faculty asked me to argue for or against a particular point of view.

Faculty challenged my ideas in class.

Students challenged each other's ideas in class.

Below are descriptions of the types of exams or assignments you may have had in your undergraduate classes at this institution. About how often did you undertake each?

Wrote essays.

Completed assignments or projects in which I solved problems.

Made oral presentations.

Used course content to address a problem not presented in the course.

Compared or contrasted topics or ideas from a course.

Pointed out the strengths and weaknesses of a particular argument or point of view.

Argued for or against a particular point of view and defended my argument.

Table 2: Summary Results for Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expects	ations			
C 2020 Inglief 2 data diffaring Consolitation	Kenvon	All Other Alumni	Kenvon	All Other Alumni



Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the fourteen statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

1 = Never; 2 = Rarely; 3 = Sometimes; 4 = Often; 5 = Very often

Below are statements about experiences you may have had in your classes as an undergraduate at this institution. About how often have you experienced each?

Faculty posed challenging ideas in class.

Faculty asked me to show how a particular course concept could be applied to an actual problem or situation.

Faculty asked me to point out any fallacies in ideas, principles, or points of view presented in the course.

Faculty asked me to argue for or against a particular point of view.

Faculty challenged my ideas in class.

Students challenged each other's ideas in class.

Below are descriptions of the types of exams or assignments you may have had in your undergraduate classes at this institution. About how often did you undertake each?

Wrote essays.

Completed assignments or projects in which I solved problems.

Made oral presentations.

Used course content to address a problem not presented in the course.

Compared or contrasted topics or ideas from a course.

Pointed out the strengths and weaknesses of a particular argument or point of view.

Argued for or against a particular point of view and defended my argument.

Table 2: Summary Results for Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expects	ations			
C 2020 Inglief 2 data diffaring Consolitation	Kenvon	All Other Alumni	Kenvon	All Other Alumni



Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the fourteen statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

1 = Never; 2 = Rarely; 3 = Sometimes; 4 = Often; 5 = Very often

Below are statements about experiences you may have had in your classes as an undergraduate at this institution. About how often have you experienced each?

Faculty posed challenging ideas in class.

Faculty asked me to show how a particular course concept could be applied to an actual problem or situation.

Faculty asked me to point out any fallacies in ideas, principles, or points of view presented in the course.

Faculty asked me to argue for or against a particular point of view.

Faculty challenged my ideas in class.

Students challenged each other's ideas in class.

Below are descriptions of the types of exams or assignments you may have had in your undergraduate classes at this institution. About how often did you undertake each?

Wrote essays.

Completed assignments or projects in which I solved problems.

Made oral presentations.

Used course content to address a problem not presented in the course.

Compared or contrasted topics or ideas from a course.

Pointed out the strengths and weaknesses of a particular argument or point of view.

Argued for or against a particular point of view and defended my argument.

Table 2: Summary Results for Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expects	ations			
C 2020 Inglief 2 data diffaring Consolitation	Kenvon	All Other Alumni	Kenvon	All Other Alumni



Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the fourteen statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

1 = Never; 2 = Rarely; 3 = Sometimes; 4 = Often; 5 = Very often

Below are statements about experiences you may have had in your classes as an undergraduate at this institution. About how often have you experienced each?

Faculty posed challenging ideas in class.

Faculty asked me to show how a particular course concept could be applied to an actual problem or situation.

Faculty asked me to point out any fallacies in ideas, principles, or points of view presented in the course.

Faculty asked me to argue for or against a particular point of view.

Faculty challenged my ideas in class.

Students challenged each other's ideas in class.

Below are descriptions of the types of exams or assignments you may have had in your undergraduate classes at this institution. About how often did you undertake each?

Wrote essays.

Completed assignments or projects in which I solved problems.

Made oral presentations.

Used course content to address a problem not presented in the course.

Compared or contrasted topics or ideas from a course.

Pointed out the strengths and weaknesses of a particular argument or point of view.

Argued for or against a particular point of view and defended my argument.

Table 2: Summary Results for Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expects	ations			
C 2020 Inglief 2 data diffaring Consolitation	Kenvon	All Other Alumni	Kenvon	All Other Alumni



Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the fourteen statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

1 = Never; 2 = Rarely; 3 = Sometimes; 4 = Often; 5 = Very often

Below are statements about experiences you may have had in your classes as an undergraduate at this institution. About how often have you experienced each?

Faculty posed challenging ideas in class.

Faculty asked me to show how a particular course concept could be applied to an actual problem or situation.

Faculty asked me to point out any fallacies in ideas, principles, or points of view presented in the course.

Faculty asked me to argue for or against a particular point of view.

Faculty challenged my ideas in class.

Students challenged each other's ideas in class.

Below are descriptions of the types of exams or assignments you may have had in your undergraduate classes at this institution. About how often did you undertake each?

Wrote essays.

Completed assignments or projects in which I solved problems.

Made oral presentations.

Used course content to address a problem not presented in the course.

Compared or contrasted topics or ideas from a course.

Pointed out the strengths and weaknesses of a particular argument or point of view.

Argued for or against a particular point of view and defended my argument.

Table 2: Summary Results for Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expects	ations			
C 2020 Inglief 2 data diffaring Consolitation	Kenvon	All Other Alumni	Kenvon	All Other Alumni



Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the fourteen statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

1 = Never; 2 = Rarely; 3 = Sometimes; 4 = Often; 5 = Very often

Below are statements about experiences you may have had in your classes as an undergraduate at this institution. About how often have you experienced each?

Faculty posed challenging ideas in class.

Faculty asked me to show how a particular course concept could be applied to an actual problem or situation.

Faculty asked me to point out any fallacies in ideas, principles, or points of view presented in the course.

Faculty asked me to argue for or against a particular point of view.

Faculty challenged my ideas in class.

Students challenged each other's ideas in class.

Below are descriptions of the types of exams or assignments you may have had in your undergraduate classes at this institution. About how often did you undertake each?

Wrote essays.

Completed assignments or projects in which I solved problems.

Made oral presentations.

Used course content to address a problem not presented in the course.

Compared or contrasted topics or ideas from a course.

Pointed out the strengths and weaknesses of a particular argument or point of view.

Argued for or against a particular point of view and defended my argument.

Table 2: Summary Results for Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expects	ations			
C 2020 Inglief 2 data diffaring Consolitation	Kenvon	All Other Alumni	Kenvon	All Other Alumni



Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the fourteen statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

1 = Never; 2 = Rarely; 3 = Sometimes; 4 = Often; 5 = Very often

Below are statements about experiences you may have had in your classes as an undergraduate at this institution. About how often have you experienced each?

Faculty posed challenging ideas in class.

Faculty asked me to show how a particular course concept could be applied to an actual problem or situation.

Faculty asked me to point out any fallacies in ideas, principles, or points of view presented in the course.

Faculty asked me to argue for or against a particular point of view.

Faculty challenged my ideas in class.

Students challenged each other's ideas in class.

Below are descriptions of the types of exams or assignments you may have had in your undergraduate classes at this institution. About how often did you undertake each?

Wrote essays.

Completed assignments or projects in which I solved problems.

Made oral presentations.

Used course content to address a problem not presented in the course.

Compared or contrasted topics or ideas from a course.

Pointed out the strengths and weaknesses of a particular argument or point of view.

Argued for or against a particular point of view and defended my argument.

Table 2: Summary Results for Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expects	ations			
C 2020 Inglief 2 data diffaring Consolitation	Kenvon	All Other Alumni	Kenvon	All Other Alumni



Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the fourteen statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

1 = Never; 2 = Rarely; 3 = Sometimes; 4 = Often; 5 = Very often

Below are statements about experiences you may have had in your classes as an undergraduate at this institution. About how often have you experienced each?

Faculty posed challenging ideas in class.

Faculty asked me to show how a particular course concept could be applied to an actual problem or situation.

Faculty asked me to point out any fallacies in ideas, principles, or points of view presented in the course.

Faculty asked me to argue for or against a particular point of view.

Faculty challenged my ideas in class.

Students challenged each other's ideas in class.

Below are descriptions of the types of exams or assignments you may have had in your undergraduate classes at this institution. About how often did you undertake each?

Wrote essays.

Completed assignments or projects in which I solved problems.

Made oral presentations.

Used course content to address a problem not presented in the course.

Compared or contrasted topics or ideas from a course.

Pointed out the strengths and weaknesses of a particular argument or point of view.

Argued for or against a particular point of view and defended my argument.

Table 2: Summary Results for Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expects	ations			
C 2020 Inglief 2 data diffaring Consolitation	Kenvon	All Other Alumni	Kenvon	All Other Alumni



Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the fourteen statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

1 = Never; 2 = Rarely; 3 = Sometimes; 4 = Often; 5 = Very often

Below are statements about experiences you may have had in your classes as an undergraduate at this institution. About how often have you experienced each?

Faculty posed challenging ideas in class.

Faculty asked me to show how a particular course concept could be applied to an actual problem or situation.

Faculty asked me to point out any fallacies in ideas, principles, or points of view presented in the course.

Faculty asked me to argue for or against a particular point of view.

Faculty challenged my ideas in class.

Students challenged each other's ideas in class.

Below are descriptions of the types of exams or assignments you may have had in your undergraduate classes at this institution. About how often did you undertake each?

Wrote essays.

Completed assignments or projects in which I solved problems.

Made oral presentations.

Used course content to address a problem not presented in the course.

Compared or contrasted topics or ideas from a course.

Pointed out the strengths and weaknesses of a particular argument or point of view.

Argued for or against a particular point of view and defended my argument.

Table 2: Summary Results for Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expects	ations			
C 2020 Inglief 2 data diffaring Consolitation	Kenvon	All Other Alumni	Kenvon	All Other Alumni



Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the fourteen statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

1 = Never; 2 = Rarely; 3 = Sometimes; 4 = Often; 5 = Very often

Below are statements about experiences you may have had in your classes as an undergraduate at this institution. About how often have you experienced each?

Faculty posed challenging ideas in class.

Faculty asked me to show how a particular course concept could be applied to an actual problem or situation.

Faculty asked me to point out any fallacies in ideas, principles, or points of view presented in the course.

Faculty asked me to argue for or against a particular point of view.

Faculty challenged my ideas in class.

Students challenged each other's ideas in class.

Below are descriptions of the types of exams or assignments you may have had in your undergraduate classes at this institution. About how often did you undertake each?

Wrote essays.

Completed assignments or projects in which I solved problems.

Made oral presentations.

Used course content to address a problem not presented in the course.

Compared or contrasted topics or ideas from a course.

Pointed out the strengths and weaknesses of a particular argument or point of view.

Argued for or against a particular point of view and defended my argument.

Table 2: Summary Results for Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expects	ations			
C 2020 Inglief 2 data diffaring Consolitation	Kenvon	All Other Alumni	Kenvon	All Other Alumni



Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the fourteen statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

1 = Never; 2 = Rarely; 3 = Sometimes; 4 = Often; 5 = Very often

Below are statements about experiences you may have had in your classes as an undergraduate at this institution. About how often have you experienced each?

Faculty posed challenging ideas in class.

Faculty asked me to show how a particular course concept could be applied to an actual problem or situation.

Faculty asked me to point out any fallacies in ideas, principles, or points of view presented in the course.

Faculty asked me to argue for or against a particular point of view.

Faculty challenged my ideas in class.

Students challenged each other's ideas in class.

Below are descriptions of the types of exams or assignments you may have had in your undergraduate classes at this institution. About how often did you undertake each?

Wrote essays.

Completed assignments or projects in which I solved problems.

Made oral presentations.

Used course content to address a problem not presented in the course.

Compared or contrasted topics or ideas from a course.

Pointed out the strengths and weaknesses of a particular argument or point of view.

Argued for or against a particular point of view and defended my argument.

Table 2: Summary Results for Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expects	ations			
C 2020 Inglief 2 data diffaring Consolitation	Kenvon	All Other Alumni	Kenvon	All Other Alumni



Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the fourteen statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

1 = Never; 2 = Rarely; 3 = Sometimes; 4 = Often; 5 = Very often

Below are statements about experiences you may have had in your classes as an undergraduate at this institution. About how often have you experienced each?

Faculty posed challenging ideas in class.

Faculty asked me to show how a particular course concept could be applied to an actual problem or situation.

Faculty asked me to point out any fallacies in ideas, principles, or points of view presented in the course.

Faculty asked me to argue for or against a particular point of view.

Faculty challenged my ideas in class.

Students challenged each other's ideas in class.

Below are descriptions of the types of exams or assignments you may have had in your undergraduate classes at this institution. About how often did you undertake each?

Wrote essays.

Completed assignments or projects in which I solved problems.

Made oral presentations.

Used course content to address a problem not presented in the course.

Compared or contrasted topics or ideas from a course.

Pointed out the strengths and weaknesses of a particular argument or point of view.

Argued for or against a particular point of view and defended my argument.

Table 2: Summary Results for Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expects	ations			
C 2020 Inglief 2 data diffaring Consolitation	Kenvon	All Other Alumni	Kenvon	All Other Alumni



Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the fourteen statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

1 = Never; 2 = Rarely; 3 = Sometimes; 4 = Often; 5 = Very often

Below are statements about experiences you may have had in your classes as an undergraduate at this institution. About how often have you experienced each?

Faculty posed challenging ideas in class.

Faculty asked me to show how a particular course concept could be applied to an actual problem or situation.

Faculty asked me to point out any fallacies in ideas, principles, or points of view presented in the course.

Faculty asked me to argue for or against a particular point of view.

Faculty challenged my ideas in class.

Students challenged each other's ideas in class.

Below are descriptions of the types of exams or assignments you may have had in your undergraduate classes at this institution. About how often did you undertake each?

Wrote essays.

Completed assignments or projects in which I solved problems.

Made oral presentations.

Used course content to address a problem not presented in the course.

Compared or contrasted topics or ideas from a course.

Pointed out the strengths and weaknesses of a particular argument or point of view.

Argued for or against a particular point of view and defended my argument.

Table 2: Summary Results for Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expects	ations			
C 2020 Inglief 2 data diffaring Consolitation	Kenvon	All Other Alumni	Kenvon	All Other Alumni



Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the fourteen statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

1 = Never; 2 = Rarely; 3 = Sometimes; 4 = Often; 5 = Very often

Below are statements about experiences you may have had in your classes as an undergraduate at this institution. About how often have you experienced each?

Faculty posed challenging ideas in class.

Faculty asked me to show how a particular course concept could be applied to an actual problem or situation.

Faculty asked me to point out any fallacies in ideas, principles, or points of view presented in the course.

Faculty asked me to argue for or against a particular point of view.

Faculty challenged my ideas in class.

Students challenged each other's ideas in class.

Below are descriptions of the types of exams or assignments you may have had in your undergraduate classes at this institution. About how often did you undertake each?

Wrote essays.

Completed assignments or projects in which I solved problems.

Made oral presentations.

Used course content to address a problem not presented in the course.

Compared or contrasted topics or ideas from a course.

Pointed out the strengths and weaknesses of a particular argument or point of view.

Argued for or against a particular point of view and defended my argument.

Table 2: Summary Results for Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expects	ations			
C 2020 Inglief 2 data diffaring Consolitation	Kenvon	All Other Alumni	Kenvon	All Other Alumni



Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the fourteen statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

1 = Never; 2 = Rarely; 3 = Sometimes; 4 = Often; 5 = Very often

Below are statements about experiences you may have had in your classes as an undergraduate at this institution. About how often have you experienced each?

Faculty posed challenging ideas in class.

Faculty asked me to show how a particular course concept could be applied to an actual problem or situation.

Faculty asked me to point out any fallacies in ideas, principles, or points of view presented in the course.

Faculty asked me to argue for or against a particular point of view.

Faculty challenged my ideas in class.

Students challenged each other's ideas in class.

Below are descriptions of the types of exams or assignments you may have had in your undergraduate classes at this institution. About how often did you undertake each?

Wrote essays.

Completed assignments or projects in which I solved problems.

Made oral presentations.

Used course content to address a problem not presented in the course.

Compared or contrasted topics or ideas from a course.

Pointed out the strengths and weaknesses of a particular argument or point of view.

Argued for or against a particular point of view and defended my argument.

Table 2: Summary Results for Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expects	ations			
C 2020 Inglief 2 data diffaring Consolitation	Kenvon	All Other Alumni	Kenvon	All Other Alumni



Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the fourteen statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

1 = Never; 2 = Rarely; 3 = Sometimes; 4 = Often; 5 = Very often

Below are statements about experiences you may have had in your classes as an undergraduate at this institution. About how often have you experienced each?

Faculty posed challenging ideas in class.

Faculty asked me to show how a particular course concept could be applied to an actual problem or situation.

Faculty asked me to point out any fallacies in ideas, principles, or points of view presented in the course.

Faculty asked me to argue for or against a particular point of view.

Faculty challenged my ideas in class.

Students challenged each other's ideas in class.

Below are descriptions of the types of exams or assignments you may have had in your undergraduate classes at this institution. About how often did you undertake each?

Wrote essays.

Completed assignments or projects in which I solved problems.

Made oral presentations.

Used course content to address a problem not presented in the course.

Compared or contrasted topics or ideas from a course.

Pointed out the strengths and weaknesses of a particular argument or point of view.

Argued for or against a particular point of view and defended my argument.

Table 2: Summary Results for Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expects	ations			
C 2020 Inglief 2 data diffaring Consolitation	Kenvon	All Other Alumni	Kenvon	All Other Alumni



Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the fourteen statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

1 = Never; 2 = Rarely; 3 = Sometimes; 4 = Often; 5 = Very often

Below are statements about experiences you may have had in your classes as an undergraduate at this institution. About how often have you experienced each?

Faculty posed challenging ideas in class.

Faculty asked me to show how a particular course concept could be applied to an actual problem or situation.

Faculty asked me to point out any fallacies in ideas, principles, or points of view presented in the course.

Faculty asked me to argue for or against a particular point of view.

Faculty challenged my ideas in class.

Students challenged each other's ideas in class.

Below are descriptions of the types of exams or assignments you may have had in your undergraduate classes at this institution. About how often did you undertake each?

Wrote essays.

Completed assignments or projects in which I solved problems.

Made oral presentations.

Used course content to address a problem not presented in the course.

Compared or contrasted topics or ideas from a course.

Pointed out the strengths and weaknesses of a particular argument or point of view.

Argued for or against a particular point of view and defended my argument.

Table 2: Summary Results for Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expects	ations			
C 2020 Inglief 2 data diffaring Consolitation	Kenvon	All Other Alumni	Kenvon	All Other Alumni



Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the fourteen statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

1 = Never; 2 = Rarely; 3 = Sometimes; 4 = Often; 5 = Very often

Below are statements about experiences you may have had in your classes as an undergraduate at this institution. About how often have you experienced each?

Faculty posed challenging ideas in class.

Faculty asked me to show how a particular course concept could be applied to an actual problem or situation.

Faculty asked me to point out any fallacies in ideas, principles, or points of view presented in the course.

Faculty asked me to argue for or against a particular point of view.

Faculty challenged my ideas in class.

Students challenged each other's ideas in class.

Below are descriptions of the types of exams or assignments you may have had in your undergraduate classes at this institution. About how often did you undertake each?

Wrote essays.

Completed assignments or projects in which I solved problems.

Made oral presentations.

Used course content to address a problem not presented in the course.

Compared or contrasted topics or ideas from a course.

Pointed out the strengths and weaknesses of a particular argument or point of view.

Argued for or against a particular point of view and defended my argument.

Table 2: Summary Results for Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expects	ations			
C 2020 Inglief 2 data diffaring Consolitation	Kenvon	All Other Alumni	Kenvon	All Other Alumni



Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the fourteen statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

1 = Never; 2 = Rarely; 3 = Sometimes; 4 = Often; 5 = Very often

Below are statements about experiences you may have had in your classes as an undergraduate at this institution. About how often have you experienced each?

Faculty posed challenging ideas in class.

Faculty asked me to show how a particular course concept could be applied to an actual problem or situation.

Faculty asked me to point out any fallacies in ideas, principles, or points of view presented in the course.

Faculty asked me to argue for or against a particular point of view.

Faculty challenged my ideas in class.

Students challenged each other's ideas in class.

Below are descriptions of the types of exams or assignments you may have had in your undergraduate classes at this institution. About how often did you undertake each?

Wrote essays.

Completed assignments or projects in which I solved problems.

Made oral presentations.

Used course content to address a problem not presented in the course.

Compared or contrasted topics or ideas from a course.

Pointed out the strengths and weaknesses of a particular argument or point of view.

Argued for or against a particular point of view and defended my argument.

Table 2: Summary Results for Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expects	ations			
C 2020 Inglief 2 data diffaring Consolitation	Kenvon	All Other Alumni	Kenvon	All Other Alumni



Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the fourteen statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

1 = Never; 2 = Rarely; 3 = Sometimes; 4 = Often; 5 = Very often

Below are statements about experiences you may have had in your classes as an undergraduate at this institution. About how often have you experienced each?

Faculty posed challenging ideas in class.

Faculty asked me to show how a particular course concept could be applied to an actual problem or situation.

Faculty asked me to point out any fallacies in ideas, principles, or points of view presented in the course.

Faculty asked me to argue for or against a particular point of view.

Faculty challenged my ideas in class.

Students challenged each other's ideas in class.

Below are descriptions of the types of exams or assignments you may have had in your undergraduate classes at this institution. About how often did you undertake each?

Wrote essays.

Completed assignments or projects in which I solved problems.

Made oral presentations.

Used course content to address a problem not presented in the course.

Compared or contrasted topics or ideas from a course.

Pointed out the strengths and weaknesses of a particular argument or point of view.

Argued for or against a particular point of view and defended my argument.

Table 2: Summary Results for Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expects	ations			
C 2020 Inglief 2 data diffaring Consolitation	Kenvon	All Other Alumni	Kenvon	All Other Alumni



Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the fourteen statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

1 = Never; 2 = Rarely; 3 = Sometimes; 4 = Often; 5 = Very often

Below are statements about experiences you may have had in your classes as an undergraduate at this institution. About how often have you experienced each?

Faculty posed challenging ideas in class.

Faculty asked me to show how a particular course concept could be applied to an actual problem or situation.

Faculty asked me to point out any fallacies in ideas, principles, or points of view presented in the course.

Faculty asked me to argue for or against a particular point of view.

Faculty challenged my ideas in class.

Students challenged each other's ideas in class.

Below are descriptions of the types of exams or assignments you may have had in your undergraduate classes at this institution. About how often did you undertake each?

Wrote essays.

Completed assignments or projects in which I solved problems.

Made oral presentations.

Used course content to address a problem not presented in the course.

Compared or contrasted topics or ideas from a course.

Pointed out the strengths and weaknesses of a particular argument or point of view.

Argued for or against a particular point of view and defended my argument.

Table 2: Summary Results for Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expects	ations			
C 2020 Inglief 2 data diffaring Consolitation	Kenvon	All Other Alumni	Kenvon	All Other Alumni



Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the fourteen statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

1 = Never; 2 = Rarely; 3 = Sometimes; 4 = Often; 5 = Very often

Below are statements about experiences you may have had in your classes as an undergraduate at this institution. About how often have you experienced each?

Faculty posed challenging ideas in class.

Faculty asked me to show how a particular course concept could be applied to an actual problem or situation.

Faculty asked me to point out any fallacies in ideas, principles, or points of view presented in the course.

Faculty asked me to argue for or against a particular point of view.

Faculty challenged my ideas in class.

Students challenged each other's ideas in class.

Below are descriptions of the types of exams or assignments you may have had in your undergraduate classes at this institution. About how often did you undertake each?

Wrote essays.

Completed assignments or projects in which I solved problems.

Made oral presentations.

Used course content to address a problem not presented in the course.

Compared or contrasted topics or ideas from a course.

Pointed out the strengths and weaknesses of a particular argument or point of view.

Argued for or against a particular point of view and defended my argument.

Table 2: Summary Results for Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expects	ations			
C 2020 Inglief 2 data diffaring Consolitation	Kenvon	All Other Alumni	Kenvon	All Other Alumni



Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the fourteen statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

1 = Never; 2 = Rarely; 3 = Sometimes; 4 = Often; 5 = Very often

Below are statements about experiences you may have had in your classes as an undergraduate at this institution. About how often have you experienced each?

Faculty posed challenging ideas in class.

Faculty asked me to show how a particular course concept could be applied to an actual problem or situation.

Faculty asked me to point out any fallacies in ideas, principles, or points of view presented in the course.

Faculty asked me to argue for or against a particular point of view.

Faculty challenged my ideas in class.

Students challenged each other's ideas in class.

Below are descriptions of the types of exams or assignments you may have had in your undergraduate classes at this institution. About how often did you undertake each?

Wrote essays.

Completed assignments or projects in which I solved problems.

Made oral presentations.

Used course content to address a problem not presented in the course.

Compared or contrasted topics or ideas from a course.

Pointed out the strengths and weaknesses of a particular argument or point of view.

Argued for or against a particular point of view and defended my argument.

Table 2: Summary Results for Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expects	ations			
C 2020 Inglief 2 data diffaring Consolitation	Kenvon	All Other Alumni	Kenvon	All Other Alumni



Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the fourteen statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

1 = Never; 2 = Rarely; 3 = Sometimes; 4 = Often; 5 = Very often

Below are statements about experiences you may have had in your classes as an undergraduate at this institution. About how often have you experienced each?

Faculty posed challenging ideas in class.

Faculty asked me to show how a particular course concept could be applied to an actual problem or situation.

Faculty asked me to point out any fallacies in ideas, principles, or points of view presented in the course.

Faculty asked me to argue for or against a particular point of view.

Faculty challenged my ideas in class.

Students challenged each other's ideas in class.

Below are descriptions of the types of exams or assignments you may have had in your undergraduate classes at this institution. About how often did you undertake each?

Wrote essays.

Completed assignments or projects in which I solved problems.

Made oral presentations.

Used course content to address a problem not presented in the course.

Compared or contrasted topics or ideas from a course.

Pointed out the strengths and weaknesses of a particular argument or point of view.

Argued for or against a particular point of view and defended my argument.

Table 2: Summary Results for Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expects	ations			
C 2020 Inglief 2 data diffaring Consolitation	Kenvon	All Other Alumni	Kenvon	All Other Alumni



Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the fourteen statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

1 = Never; 2 = Rarely; 3 = Sometimes; 4 = Often; 5 = Very often

Below are statements about experiences you may have had in your classes as an undergraduate at this institution. About how often have you experienced each?

Faculty posed challenging ideas in class.

Faculty asked me to show how a particular course concept could be applied to an actual problem or situation.

Faculty asked me to point out any fallacies in ideas, principles, or points of view presented in the course.

Faculty asked me to argue for or against a particular point of view.

Faculty challenged my ideas in class.

Students challenged each other's ideas in class.

Below are descriptions of the types of exams or assignments you may have had in your undergraduate classes at this institution. About how often did you undertake each?

Wrote essays.

Completed assignments or projects in which I solved problems.

Made oral presentations.

Used course content to address a problem not presented in the course.

Compared or contrasted topics or ideas from a course.

Pointed out the strengths and weaknesses of a particular argument or point of view.

Argued for or against a particular point of view and defended my argument.

Table 2: Summary Results for Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expects	ations			
C 2020 Inglief 2 data diffaring Consolitation	Kenvon	All Other Alumni	Kenvon	All Other Alumni



Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the fourteen statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

1 = Never; 2 = Rarely; 3 = Sometimes; 4 = Often; 5 = Very often

Below are statements about experiences you may have had in your classes as an undergraduate at this institution. About how often have you experienced each?

Faculty posed challenging ideas in class.

Faculty asked me to show how a particular course concept could be applied to an actual problem or situation.

Faculty asked me to point out any fallacies in ideas, principles, or points of view presented in the course.

Faculty asked me to argue for or against a particular point of view.

Faculty challenged my ideas in class.

Students challenged each other's ideas in class.

Below are descriptions of the types of exams or assignments you may have had in your undergraduate classes at this institution. About how often did you undertake each?

Wrote essays.

Completed assignments or projects in which I solved problems.

Made oral presentations.

Used course content to address a problem not presented in the course.

Compared or contrasted topics or ideas from a course.

Pointed out the strengths and weaknesses of a particular argument or point of view.

Argued for or against a particular point of view and defended my argument.

Table 2: Summary Results for Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expects	ations			
C 2020 Inglief 2 data diffaring Consolitation	Kenvon	All Other Alumni	Kenvon	All Other Alumni



Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the fourteen statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

1 = Never; 2 = Rarely; 3 = Sometimes; 4 = Often; 5 = Very often

Below are statements about experiences you may have had in your classes as an undergraduate at this institution. About how often have you experienced each?

Faculty posed challenging ideas in class.

Faculty asked me to show how a particular course concept could be applied to an actual problem or situation.

Faculty asked me to point out any fallacies in ideas, principles, or points of view presented in the course.

Faculty asked me to argue for or against a particular point of view.

Faculty challenged my ideas in class.

Students challenged each other's ideas in class.

Below are descriptions of the types of exams or assignments you may have had in your undergraduate classes at this institution. About how often did you undertake each?

Wrote essays.

Completed assignments or projects in which I solved problems.

Made oral presentations.

Used course content to address a problem not presented in the course.

Compared or contrasted topics or ideas from a course.

Pointed out the strengths and weaknesses of a particular argument or point of view.

Argued for or against a particular point of view and defended my argument.

Table 2: Summary Results for Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expects	ations			
C 2020 Inglief 2 data diffaring Consolitation	Kenvon	All Other Alumni	Kenvon	All Other Alumni



Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the fourteen statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

1 = Never; 2 = Rarely; 3 = Sometimes; 4 = Often; 5 = Very often

Below are statements about experiences you may have had in your classes as an undergraduate at this institution. About how often have you experienced each?

Faculty posed challenging ideas in class.

Faculty asked me to show how a particular course concept could be applied to an actual problem or situation.

Faculty asked me to point out any fallacies in ideas, principles, or points of view presented in the course.

Faculty asked me to argue for or against a particular point of view.

Faculty challenged my ideas in class.

Students challenged each other's ideas in class.

Below are descriptions of the types of exams or assignments you may have had in your undergraduate classes at this institution. About how often did you undertake each?

Wrote essays.

Completed assignments or projects in which I solved problems.

Made oral presentations.

Used course content to address a problem not presented in the course.

Compared or contrasted topics or ideas from a course.

Pointed out the strengths and weaknesses of a particular argument or point of view.

Argued for or against a particular point of view and defended my argument.

Table 2: Summary Results for Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expects	ations			
C 2020 Inglief 2 data diffaring Consolitation	Kenvon	All Other Alumni	Kenvon	All Other Alumni



Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the fourteen statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

1 = Never; 2 = Rarely; 3 = Sometimes; 4 = Often; 5 = Very often

Below are statements about experiences you may have had in your classes as an undergraduate at this institution. About how often have you experienced each?

Faculty posed challenging ideas in class.

Faculty asked me to show how a particular course concept could be applied to an actual problem or situation.

Faculty asked me to point out any fallacies in ideas, principles, or points of view presented in the course.

Faculty asked me to argue for or against a particular point of view.

Faculty challenged my ideas in class.

Students challenged each other's ideas in class.

Below are descriptions of the types of exams or assignments you may have had in your undergraduate classes at this institution. About how often did you undertake each?

Wrote essays.

Completed assignments or projects in which I solved problems.

Made oral presentations.

Used course content to address a problem not presented in the course.

Compared or contrasted topics or ideas from a course.

Pointed out the strengths and weaknesses of a particular argument or point of view.

Argued for or against a particular point of view and defended my argument.

Table 2: Summary Results for Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expects	ations			
C 2020 Inglief 2 data diffaring Consolitation	Kenvon	All Other Alumni	Kenvon	All Other Alumni



Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the fourteen statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

1 = Never; 2 = Rarely; 3 = Sometimes; 4 = Often; 5 = Very often

Below are statements about experiences you may have had in your classes as an undergraduate at this institution. About how often have you experienced each?

Faculty posed challenging ideas in class.

Faculty asked me to show how a particular course concept could be applied to an actual problem or situation.

Faculty asked me to point out any fallacies in ideas, principles, or points of view presented in the course.

Faculty asked me to argue for or against a particular point of view.

Faculty challenged my ideas in class.

Students challenged each other's ideas in class.

Below are descriptions of the types of exams or assignments you may have had in your undergraduate classes at this institution. About how often did you undertake each?

Wrote essays.

Completed assignments or projects in which I solved problems.

Made oral presentations.

Used course content to address a problem not presented in the course.

Compared or contrasted topics or ideas from a course.

Pointed out the strengths and weaknesses of a particular argument or point of view.

Argued for or against a particular point of view and defended my argument.

Table 2: Summary Results for Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expects	ations			
C 2020 Inglief 2 data diffaring Consolitation	Kenvon	All Other Alumni	Kenvon	All Other Alumni



Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the fourteen statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

1 = Never; 2 = Rarely; 3 = Sometimes; 4 = Often; 5 = Very often

Below are statements about experiences you may have had in your classes as an undergraduate at this institution. About how often have you experienced each?

Faculty posed challenging ideas in class.

Faculty asked me to show how a particular course concept could be applied to an actual problem or situation.

Faculty asked me to point out any fallacies in ideas, principles, or points of view presented in the course.

Faculty asked me to argue for or against a particular point of view.

Faculty challenged my ideas in class.

Students challenged each other's ideas in class.

Below are descriptions of the types of exams or assignments you may have had in your undergraduate classes at this institution. About how often did you undertake each?

Wrote essays.

Completed assignments or projects in which I solved problems.

Made oral presentations.

Used course content to address a problem not presented in the course.

Compared or contrasted topics or ideas from a course.

Pointed out the strengths and weaknesses of a particular argument or point of view.

Argued for or against a particular point of view and defended my argument.

Table 2: Summary Results for Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expects	ations			
C 2020 Inglief 2 data diffaring Consolitation	Kenvon	All Other Alumni	Kenvon	All Other Alumni



Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the fourteen statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

1 = Never; 2 = Rarely; 3 = Sometimes; 4 = Often; 5 = Very often

Below are statements about experiences you may have had in your classes as an undergraduate at this institution. About how often have you experienced each?

Faculty posed challenging ideas in class.

Faculty asked me to show how a particular course concept could be applied to an actual problem or situation.

Faculty asked me to point out any fallacies in ideas, principles, or points of view presented in the course.

Faculty asked me to argue for or against a particular point of view.

Faculty challenged my ideas in class.

Students challenged each other's ideas in class.

Below are descriptions of the types of exams or assignments you may have had in your undergraduate classes at this institution. About how often did you undertake each?

Wrote essays.

Completed assignments or projects in which I solved problems.

Made oral presentations.

Used course content to address a problem not presented in the course.

Compared or contrasted topics or ideas from a course.

Pointed out the strengths and weaknesses of a particular argument or point of view.

Argued for or against a particular point of view and defended my argument.

Table 2: Summary Results for Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expects	ations			
C 2020 Inglief 2 data diffaring Consolitation	Kenvon	All Other Alumni	Kenvon	All Other Alumni



Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the fourteen statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

1 = Never; 2 = Rarely; 3 = Sometimes; 4 = Often; 5 = Very often

Below are statements about experiences you may have had in your classes as an undergraduate at this institution. About how often have you experienced each?

Faculty posed challenging ideas in class.

Faculty asked me to show how a particular course concept could be applied to an actual problem or situation.

Faculty asked me to point out any fallacies in ideas, principles, or points of view presented in the course.

Faculty asked me to argue for or against a particular point of view.

Faculty challenged my ideas in class.

Students challenged each other's ideas in class.

Below are descriptions of the types of exams or assignments you may have had in your undergraduate classes at this institution. About how often did you undertake each?

Wrote essays.

Completed assignments or projects in which I solved problems.

Made oral presentations.

Used course content to address a problem not presented in the course.

Compared or contrasted topics or ideas from a course.

Pointed out the strengths and weaknesses of a particular argument or point of view.

Argued for or against a particular point of view and defended my argument.

Table 2: Summary Results for Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expects	ations			
C 2020 Inglief 2 data diffaring Consolitation	Kenvon	All Other Alumni	Kenvon	All Other Alumni



Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the fourteen statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

1 = Never; 2 = Rarely; 3 = Sometimes; 4 = Often; 5 = Very often

Below are statements about experiences you may have had in your classes as an undergraduate at this institution. About how often have you experienced each?

Faculty posed challenging ideas in class.

Faculty asked me to show how a particular course concept could be applied to an actual problem or situation.

Faculty asked me to point out any fallacies in ideas, principles, or points of view presented in the course.

Faculty asked me to argue for or against a particular point of view.

Faculty challenged my ideas in class.

Students challenged each other's ideas in class.

Below are descriptions of the types of exams or assignments you may have had in your undergraduate classes at this institution. About how often did you undertake each?

Wrote essays.

Completed assignments or projects in which I solved problems.

Made oral presentations.

Used course content to address a problem not presented in the course.

Compared or contrasted topics or ideas from a course.

Pointed out the strengths and weaknesses of a particular argument or point of view.

Argued for or against a particular point of view and defended my argument.

Table 2: Summary Results for Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expects	ations			
C 2020 Inglief 2 data diffaring Consolitation	Kenvon	All Other Alumni	Kenvon	All Other Alumni



Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the fourteen statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

1 = Never; 2 = Rarely; 3 = Sometimes; 4 = Often; 5 = Very often

Below are statements about experiences you may have had in your classes as an undergraduate at this institution. About how often have you experienced each?

Faculty posed challenging ideas in class.

Faculty asked me to show how a particular course concept could be applied to an actual problem or situation.

Faculty asked me to point out any fallacies in ideas, principles, or points of view presented in the course.

Faculty asked me to argue for or against a particular point of view.

Faculty challenged my ideas in class.

Students challenged each other's ideas in class.

Below are descriptions of the types of exams or assignments you may have had in your undergraduate classes at this institution. About how often did you undertake each?

Wrote essays.

Completed assignments or projects in which I solved problems.

Made oral presentations.

Used course content to address a problem not presented in the course.

Compared or contrasted topics or ideas from a course.

Pointed out the strengths and weaknesses of a particular argument or point of view.

Argued for or against a particular point of view and defended my argument.

Table 2: Summary Results for Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expects	ations			
C 2020 Inglief 2 data diffaring Consolitation	Kenvon	All Other Alumni	Kenvon	All Other Alumni



Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the fourteen statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

1 = Never; 2 = Rarely; 3 = Sometimes; 4 = Often; 5 = Very often

Below are statements about experiences you may have had in your classes as an undergraduate at this institution. About how often have you experienced each?

Faculty posed challenging ideas in class.

Faculty asked me to show how a particular course concept could be applied to an actual problem or situation.

Faculty asked me to point out any fallacies in ideas, principles, or points of view presented in the course.

Faculty asked me to argue for or against a particular point of view.

Faculty challenged my ideas in class.

Students challenged each other's ideas in class.

Below are descriptions of the types of exams or assignments you may have had in your undergraduate classes at this institution. About how often did you undertake each?

Wrote essays.

Completed assignments or projects in which I solved problems.

Made oral presentations.

Used course content to address a problem not presented in the course.

Compared or contrasted topics or ideas from a course.

Pointed out the strengths and weaknesses of a particular argument or point of view.

Argued for or against a particular point of view and defended my argument.

Table 2: Summary Results for Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expects	ations			
C 2020 Inglief 2 data diffaring Consolitation	Kenvon	All Other Alumni	Kenvon	All Other Alumni



Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the fourteen statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

1 = Never; 2 = Rarely; 3 = Sometimes; 4 = Often; 5 = Very often

Below are statements about experiences you may have had in your classes as an undergraduate at this institution. About how often have you experienced each?

Faculty posed challenging ideas in class.

Faculty asked me to show how a particular course concept could be applied to an actual problem or situation.

Faculty asked me to point out any fallacies in ideas, principles, or points of view presented in the course.

Faculty asked me to argue for or against a particular point of view.

Faculty challenged my ideas in class.

Students challenged each other's ideas in class.

Below are descriptions of the types of exams or assignments you may have had in your undergraduate classes at this institution. About how often did you undertake each?

Wrote essays.

Completed assignments or projects in which I solved problems.

Made oral presentations.

Used course content to address a problem not presented in the course.

Compared or contrasted topics or ideas from a course.

Pointed out the strengths and weaknesses of a particular argument or point of view.

Argued for or against a particular point of view and defended my argument.

Table 2: Summary Results for Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expects	ations			
C 2020 Inglief 2 data diffaring Consolitation	Kenvon	All Other Alumni	Kenvon	All Other Alumni



Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the fourteen statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

1 = Never; 2 = Rarely; 3 = Sometimes; 4 = Often; 5 = Very often

Below are statements about experiences you may have had in your classes as an undergraduate at this institution. About how often have you experienced each?

Faculty posed challenging ideas in class.

Faculty asked me to show how a particular course concept could be applied to an actual problem or situation.

Faculty asked me to point out any fallacies in ideas, principles, or points of view presented in the course.

Faculty asked me to argue for or against a particular point of view.

Faculty challenged my ideas in class.

Students challenged each other's ideas in class.

Below are descriptions of the types of exams or assignments you may have had in your undergraduate classes at this institution. About how often did you undertake each?

Wrote essays.

Completed assignments or projects in which I solved problems.

Made oral presentations.

Used course content to address a problem not presented in the course.

Compared or contrasted topics or ideas from a course.

Pointed out the strengths and weaknesses of a particular argument or point of view.

Argued for or against a particular point of view and defended my argument.

Table 2: Summary Results for Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expects	ations			
C 2020 Inglief 2 data diffaring Consolitation	Kenvon	All Other Alumni	Kenvon	All Other Alumni



Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the fourteen statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

1 = Never; 2 = Rarely; 3 = Sometimes; 4 = Often; 5 = Very often

Below are statements about experiences you may have had in your classes as an undergraduate at this institution. About how often have you experienced each?

Faculty posed challenging ideas in class.

Faculty asked me to show how a particular course concept could be applied to an actual problem or situation.

Faculty asked me to point out any fallacies in ideas, principles, or points of view presented in the course.

Faculty asked me to argue for or against a particular point of view.

Faculty challenged my ideas in class.

Students challenged each other's ideas in class.

Below are descriptions of the types of exams or assignments you may have had in your undergraduate classes at this institution. About how often did you undertake each?

Wrote essays.

Completed assignments or projects in which I solved problems.

Made oral presentations.

Used course content to address a problem not presented in the course.

Compared or contrasted topics or ideas from a course.

Pointed out the strengths and weaknesses of a particular argument or point of view.

Argued for or against a particular point of view and defended my argument.

Table 2: Summary Results for Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expects	ations			
C 2020 Inglief 2 data diffaring Consolitation	Kenvon	All Other Alumni	Kenvon	All Other Alumni



Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the fourteen statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

1 = Never; 2 = Rarely; 3 = Sometimes; 4 = Often; 5 = Very often

Below are statements about experiences you may have had in your classes as an undergraduate at this institution. About how often have you experienced each?

Faculty posed challenging ideas in class.

Faculty asked me to show how a particular course concept could be applied to an actual problem or situation.

Faculty asked me to point out any fallacies in ideas, principles, or points of view presented in the course.

Faculty asked me to argue for or against a particular point of view.

Faculty challenged my ideas in class.

Students challenged each other's ideas in class.

Below are descriptions of the types of exams or assignments you may have had in your undergraduate classes at this institution. About how often did you undertake each?

Wrote essays.

Completed assignments or projects in which I solved problems.

Made oral presentations.

Used course content to address a problem not presented in the course.

Compared or contrasted topics or ideas from a course.

Pointed out the strengths and weaknesses of a particular argument or point of view.

Argued for or against a particular point of view and defended my argument.

Table 2: Summary Results for Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expects	ations			
C 2020 Inglief 2 data diffaring Consolitation	Kenvon	All Other Alumni	Kenvon	All Other Alumni



Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the fourteen statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

1 = Never; 2 = Rarely; 3 = Sometimes; 4 = Often; 5 = Very often

Below are statements about experiences you may have had in your classes as an undergraduate at this institution. About how often have you experienced each?

Faculty posed challenging ideas in class.

Faculty asked me to show how a particular course concept could be applied to an actual problem or situation.

Faculty asked me to point out any fallacies in ideas, principles, or points of view presented in the course.

Faculty asked me to argue for or against a particular point of view.

Faculty challenged my ideas in class.

Students challenged each other's ideas in class.

Below are descriptions of the types of exams or assignments you may have had in your undergraduate classes at this institution. About how often did you undertake each?

Wrote essays.

Completed assignments or projects in which I solved problems.

Made oral presentations.

Used course content to address a problem not presented in the course.

Compared or contrasted topics or ideas from a course.

Pointed out the strengths and weaknesses of a particular argument or point of view.

Argued for or against a particular point of view and defended my argument.

Table 2: Summary Results for Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expects	ations			
C 2020 Inglief 2 data diffaring Consolitation	Kenvon	All Other Alumni	Kenvon	All Other Alumni



Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the fourteen statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

1 = Never; 2 = Rarely; 3 = Sometimes; 4 = Often; 5 = Very often

Below are statements about experiences you may have had in your classes as an undergraduate at this institution. About how often have you experienced each?

Faculty posed challenging ideas in class.

Faculty asked me to show how a particular course concept could be applied to an actual problem or situation.

Faculty asked me to point out any fallacies in ideas, principles, or points of view presented in the course.

Faculty asked me to argue for or against a particular point of view.

Faculty challenged my ideas in class.

Students challenged each other's ideas in class.

Below are descriptions of the types of exams or assignments you may have had in your undergraduate classes at this institution. About how often did you undertake each?

Wrote essays.

Completed assignments or projects in which I solved problems.

Made oral presentations.

Used course content to address a problem not presented in the course.

Compared or contrasted topics or ideas from a course.

Pointed out the strengths and weaknesses of a particular argument or point of view.

Argued for or against a particular point of view and defended my argument.

Table 2: Summary Results for Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expects	ations			
C 2020 Inglief 2 data diffaring Consolitation	Kenvon	All Other Alumni	Kenvon	All Other Alumni



Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the fourteen statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

1 = Never; 2 = Rarely; 3 = Sometimes; 4 = Often; 5 = Very often

Below are statements about experiences you may have had in your classes as an undergraduate at this institution. About how often have you experienced each?

Faculty posed challenging ideas in class.

Faculty asked me to show how a particular course concept could be applied to an actual problem or situation.

Faculty asked me to point out any fallacies in ideas, principles, or points of view presented in the course.

Faculty asked me to argue for or against a particular point of view.

Faculty challenged my ideas in class.

Students challenged each other's ideas in class.

Below are descriptions of the types of exams or assignments you may have had in your undergraduate classes at this institution. About how often did you undertake each?

Wrote essays.

Completed assignments or projects in which I solved problems.

Made oral presentations.

Used course content to address a problem not presented in the course.

Compared or contrasted topics or ideas from a course.

Pointed out the strengths and weaknesses of a particular argument or point of view.

Argued for or against a particular point of view and defended my argument.

Table 2: Summary Results for Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expects	ations			
C 2020 Inglief 2 data diffaring Consolitation	Kenvon	All Other Alumni	Kenvon	All Other Alumni



Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the fourteen statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

1 = Never; 2 = Rarely; 3 = Sometimes; 4 = Often; 5 = Very often

Below are statements about experiences you may have had in your classes as an undergraduate at this institution. About how often have you experienced each?

Faculty posed challenging ideas in class.

Faculty asked me to show how a particular course concept could be applied to an actual problem or situation.

Faculty asked me to point out any fallacies in ideas, principles, or points of view presented in the course.

Faculty asked me to argue for or against a particular point of view.

Faculty challenged my ideas in class.

Students challenged each other's ideas in class.

Below are descriptions of the types of exams or assignments you may have had in your undergraduate classes at this institution. About how often did you undertake each?

Wrote essays.

Completed assignments or projects in which I solved problems.

Made oral presentations.

Used course content to address a problem not presented in the course.

Compared or contrasted topics or ideas from a course.

Pointed out the strengths and weaknesses of a particular argument or point of view.

Argued for or against a particular point of view and defended my argument.

Table 2: Summary Results for Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expects	ations			
C 2020 Inglief 2 data diffaring Consolitation	Kenvon	All Other Alumni	Kenvon	All Other Alumni



Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the fourteen statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

1 = Never; 2 = Rarely; 3 = Sometimes; 4 = Often; 5 = Very often

Below are statements about experiences you may have had in your classes as an undergraduate at this institution. About how often have you experienced each?

Faculty posed challenging ideas in class.

Faculty asked me to show how a particular course concept could be applied to an actual problem or situation.

Faculty asked me to point out any fallacies in ideas, principles, or points of view presented in the course.

Faculty asked me to argue for or against a particular point of view.

Faculty challenged my ideas in class.

Students challenged each other's ideas in class.

Below are descriptions of the types of exams or assignments you may have had in your undergraduate classes at this institution. About how often did you undertake each?

Wrote essays.

Completed assignments or projects in which I solved problems.

Made oral presentations.

Used course content to address a problem not presented in the course.

Compared or contrasted topics or ideas from a course.

Pointed out the strengths and weaknesses of a particular argument or point of view.

Argued for or against a particular point of view and defended my argument.

Table 2: Summary Results for Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expects	ations			
C 2020 Inglief 2 data diffaring Consolitation	Kenvon	All Other Alumni	Kenvon	All Other Alumni



Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the fourteen statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

1 = Never; 2 = Rarely; 3 = Sometimes; 4 = Often; 5 = Very often

Below are statements about experiences you may have had in your classes as an undergraduate at this institution. About how often have you experienced each?

Faculty posed challenging ideas in class.

Faculty asked me to show how a particular course concept could be applied to an actual problem or situation.

Faculty asked me to point out any fallacies in ideas, principles, or points of view presented in the course.

Faculty asked me to argue for or against a particular point of view.

Faculty challenged my ideas in class.

Students challenged each other's ideas in class.

Below are descriptions of the types of exams or assignments you may have had in your undergraduate classes at this institution. About how often did you undertake each?

Wrote essays.

Completed assignments or projects in which I solved problems.

Made oral presentations.

Used course content to address a problem not presented in the course.

Compared or contrasted topics or ideas from a course.

Pointed out the strengths and weaknesses of a particular argument or point of view.

Argued for or against a particular point of view and defended my argument.

Table 2: Summary Results for Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expects	ations			
C 2020 Inglief 2 data diffaring Consolitation	Kenvon	All Other Alumni	Kenvon	All Other Alumni



Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the fourteen statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

1 = Never; 2 = Rarely; 3 = Sometimes; 4 = Often; 5 = Very often

Below are statements about experiences you may have had in your classes as an undergraduate at this institution. About how often have you experienced each?

Faculty posed challenging ideas in class.

Faculty asked me to show how a particular course concept could be applied to an actual problem or situation.

Faculty asked me to point out any fallacies in ideas, principles, or points of view presented in the course.

Faculty asked me to argue for or against a particular point of view.

Faculty challenged my ideas in class.

Students challenged each other's ideas in class.

Below are descriptions of the types of exams or assignments you may have had in your undergraduate classes at this institution. About how often did you undertake each?

Wrote essays.

Completed assignments or projects in which I solved problems.

Made oral presentations.

Used course content to address a problem not presented in the course.

Compared or contrasted topics or ideas from a course.

Pointed out the strengths and weaknesses of a particular argument or point of view.

Argued for or against a particular point of view and defended my argument.

Table 2: Summary Results for Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expects	ations			
C 2020 Inglief 2 data diffaring Consolitation	Kenvon	All Other Alumni	Kenvon	All Other Alumni



Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the fourteen statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

1 = Never; 2 = Rarely; 3 = Sometimes; 4 = Often; 5 = Very often

Below are statements about experiences you may have had in your classes as an undergraduate at this institution. About how often have you experienced each?

Faculty posed challenging ideas in class.

Faculty asked me to show how a particular course concept could be applied to an actual problem or situation.

Faculty asked me to point out any fallacies in ideas, principles, or points of view presented in the course.

Faculty asked me to argue for or against a particular point of view.

Faculty challenged my ideas in class.

Students challenged each other's ideas in class.

Below are descriptions of the types of exams or assignments you may have had in your undergraduate classes at this institution. About how often did you undertake each?

Wrote essays.

Completed assignments or projects in which I solved problems.

Made oral presentations.

Used course content to address a problem not presented in the course.

Compared or contrasted topics or ideas from a course.

Pointed out the strengths and weaknesses of a particular argument or point of view.

Argued for or against a particular point of view and defended my argument.

Table 2: Summary Results for Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expects	ations			
C 2020 Inglief 2 data diffaring Consolitation	Kenvon	All Other Alumni	Kenvon	All Other Alumni



Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the fourteen statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

1 = Never; 2 = Rarely; 3 = Sometimes; 4 = Often; 5 = Very often

Below are statements about experiences you may have had in your classes as an undergraduate at this institution. About how often have you experienced each?

Faculty posed challenging ideas in class.

Faculty asked me to show how a particular course concept could be applied to an actual problem or situation.

Faculty asked me to point out any fallacies in ideas, principles, or points of view presented in the course.

Faculty asked me to argue for or against a particular point of view.

Faculty challenged my ideas in class.

Students challenged each other's ideas in class.

Below are descriptions of the types of exams or assignments you may have had in your undergraduate classes at this institution. About how often did you undertake each?

Wrote essays.

Completed assignments or projects in which I solved problems.

Made oral presentations.

Used course content to address a problem not presented in the course.

Compared or contrasted topics or ideas from a course.

Pointed out the strengths and weaknesses of a particular argument or point of view.

Argued for or against a particular point of view and defended my argument.

Table 2: Summary Results for Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expects	ations			
C 2020 Inglief 2 data diffaring Consolitation	Kenvon	All Other Alumni	Kenvon	All Other Alumni



Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the fourteen statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

1 = Never; 2 = Rarely; 3 = Sometimes; 4 = Often; 5 = Very often

Below are statements about experiences you may have had in your classes as an undergraduate at this institution. About how often have you experienced each?

Faculty posed challenging ideas in class.

Faculty asked me to show how a particular course concept could be applied to an actual problem or situation.

Faculty asked me to point out any fallacies in ideas, principles, or points of view presented in the course.

Faculty asked me to argue for or against a particular point of view.

Faculty challenged my ideas in class.

Students challenged each other's ideas in class.

Below are descriptions of the types of exams or assignments you may have had in your undergraduate classes at this institution. About how often did you undertake each?

Wrote essays.

Completed assignments or projects in which I solved problems.

Made oral presentations.

Used course content to address a problem not presented in the course.

Compared or contrasted topics or ideas from a course.

Pointed out the strengths and weaknesses of a particular argument or point of view.

Argued for or against a particular point of view and defended my argument.

Table 2: Summary Results for Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expects	ations			
C 2020 Inglief 2 data diffaring Consolitation	Kenvon	All Other Alumni	Kenvon	All Other Alumni



Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the fourteen statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

1 = Never; 2 = Rarely; 3 = Sometimes; 4 = Often; 5 = Very often

Below are statements about experiences you may have had in your classes as an undergraduate at this institution. About how often have you experienced each?

Faculty posed challenging ideas in class.

Faculty asked me to show how a particular course concept could be applied to an actual problem or situation.

Faculty asked me to point out any fallacies in ideas, principles, or points of view presented in the course.

Faculty asked me to argue for or against a particular point of view.

Faculty challenged my ideas in class.

Students challenged each other's ideas in class.

Below are descriptions of the types of exams or assignments you may have had in your undergraduate classes at this institution. About how often did you undertake each?

Wrote essays.

Completed assignments or projects in which I solved problems.

Made oral presentations.

Used course content to address a problem not presented in the course.

Compared or contrasted topics or ideas from a course.

Pointed out the strengths and weaknesses of a particular argument or point of view.

Argued for or against a particular point of view and defended my argument.

Table 2: Summary Results for Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expects	ations			
C 2020 Inglief 2 data diffaring Consolitation	Kenvon	All Other Alumni	Kenvon	All Other Alumni



Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the fourteen statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

1 = Never; 2 = Rarely; 3 = Sometimes; 4 = Often; 5 = Very often

Below are statements about experiences you may have had in your classes as an undergraduate at this institution. About how often have you experienced each?

Faculty posed challenging ideas in class.

Faculty asked me to show how a particular course concept could be applied to an actual problem or situation.

Faculty asked me to point out any fallacies in ideas, principles, or points of view presented in the course.

Faculty asked me to argue for or against a particular point of view.

Faculty challenged my ideas in class.

Students challenged each other's ideas in class.

Below are descriptions of the types of exams or assignments you may have had in your undergraduate classes at this institution. About how often did you undertake each?

Wrote essays.

Completed assignments or projects in which I solved problems.

Made oral presentations.

Used course content to address a problem not presented in the course.

Compared or contrasted topics or ideas from a course.

Pointed out the strengths and weaknesses of a particular argument or point of view.

Argued for or against a particular point of view and defended my argument.

Table 2: Summary Results for Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expects	ations			
C 2020 Inglief 2 data diffaring Consolitation	Kenvon	All Other Alumni	Kenvon	All Other Alumni



Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the fourteen statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

1 = Never; 2 = Rarely; 3 = Sometimes; 4 = Often; 5 = Very often

Below are statements about experiences you may have had in your classes as an undergraduate at this institution. About how often have you experienced each?

Faculty posed challenging ideas in class.

Faculty asked me to show how a particular course concept could be applied to an actual problem or situation.

Faculty asked me to point out any fallacies in ideas, principles, or points of view presented in the course.

Faculty asked me to argue for or against a particular point of view.

Faculty challenged my ideas in class.

Students challenged each other's ideas in class.

Below are descriptions of the types of exams or assignments you may have had in your undergraduate classes at this institution. About how often did you undertake each?

Wrote essays.

Completed assignments or projects in which I solved problems.

Made oral presentations.

Used course content to address a problem not presented in the course.

Compared or contrasted topics or ideas from a course.

Pointed out the strengths and weaknesses of a particular argument or point of view.

Argued for or against a particular point of view and defended my argument.

Table 2: Summary Results for Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expects	ations			
C 2020 Inglief 2 data diffaring Consolitation	Kenvon	All Other Alumni	Kenvon	All Other Alumni



Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the fourteen statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

1 = Never; 2 = Rarely; 3 = Sometimes; 4 = Often; 5 = Very often

Below are statements about experiences you may have had in your classes as an undergraduate at this institution. About how often have you experienced each?

Faculty posed challenging ideas in class.

Faculty asked me to show how a particular course concept could be applied to an actual problem or situation.

Faculty asked me to point out any fallacies in ideas, principles, or points of view presented in the course.

Faculty asked me to argue for or against a particular point of view.

Faculty challenged my ideas in class.

Students challenged each other's ideas in class.

Below are descriptions of the types of exams or assignments you may have had in your undergraduate classes at this institution. About how often did you undertake each?

Wrote essays.

Completed assignments or projects in which I solved problems.

Made oral presentations.

Used course content to address a problem not presented in the course.

Compared or contrasted topics or ideas from a course.

Pointed out the strengths and weaknesses of a particular argument or point of view.

Argued for or against a particular point of view and defended my argument.

Table 2: Summary Results for Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expects	ations			
C 2020 Inglief 2 data diffaring Consolitation	Kenvon	All Other Alumni	Kenvon	All Other Alumni



Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the fourteen statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations

1 = Never; 2 = Rarely; 3 = Sometimes; 4 = Often; 5 = Very often

Below are statements about experiences you may have had in your classes as an undergraduate at this institution. About how often have you experienced each?

Faculty posed challenging ideas in class.

Faculty asked me to show how a particular course concept could be applied to an actual problem or situation.

Faculty asked me to point out any fallacies in ideas, principles, or points of view presented in the course.

Faculty asked me to argue for or against a particular point of view.

Faculty challenged my ideas in class.

Students challenged each other's ideas in class.

Below are descriptions of the types of exams or assignments you may have had in your undergraduate classes at this institution. About how often did you undertake each?

Wrote essays.

Completed assignments or projects in which I solved problems.

Made oral presentations.

Used course content to address a problem not presented in the course.

Compared or contrasted topics or ideas from a course.

Pointed out the strengths and weaknesses of a particular argument or point of view.

Argued for or against a particular point of view and defended my argument.

Table 2: Summary Results for Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expects	ations			
C 2020 Inglief 2 data diffaring Consolitation	Kenvon	All Other Alumni	Kenvon	All Other Alumni



This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the six statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Interactions with Diversity

1 = Never; 2 = Rarely; 3 = Sometimes; 4 = Often; 5 = Very often

How often did you have the following experiences as an undergraduate at this institution?

Attended a debate or lecture on a current political/social issue.

Participated in a diversity or cultural awareness workshop.

Had discussions about intergroup relations with students differing from you in gender, national origin, political views, race, religion, sexuality, or values.

Had serious discussions with other students about different lifestyles and customs.

Had serious discussions with faculty or staff whose political, social, or religious opinions were different from your own.

Table 2: Summary Results for Interactions with Diversity					
	Kenyon 5-Year Cohort	All Other Alumni in 5-Year Cohort	Kenyon 10-Year Cohort	All Other Alumni in 10-Year Cohort	
Mean	3.09 ≒	3.05	3.25 📥	2.99	
Standard Deviation	0.67	0.88	0.81	0.89	
75th Percentile	3.50	3.67	3.83	3.67	
Median	3.00	3.00	3.17	3.00	
25th Percentile	2.67	2.50	2.67	2.33	
Total Responses	127	6,306	145	4,396	



This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the six statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Interactions with Diversity

1 = Never; 2 = Rarely; 3 = Sometimes; 4 = Often; 5 = Very often

How often did you have the following experiences as an undergraduate at this institution?

Attended a debate or lecture on a current political/social issue.

Participated in a diversity or cultural awareness workshop.

Had discussions about intergroup relations with students differing from you in gender, national origin, political views, race, religion, sexuality, or values.

Had serious discussions with other students about different lifestyles and customs.

Had serious discussions with faculty or staff whose political, social, or religious opinions were different from your own.

Had serious discussions with students whose political, social, or religious opinions were different from your own.

Table 2: Summary Results for Interactions with Diversity				
	Kenyon	All Other Alumni	Kenyon	All Other Alumni
	5-Year Cohort	in 5-Year Cohort	10-Year Cohort	in 10-Year Cohort

The symbols in Table 2 represent effect sizes for the differences between the mean score of your institution's alumni and the overall mean score for each alumni cohort. We only calculate effect size when $n \ge 10$ for both the institution and comparison groups. For more information on how we calculate effect sizes, please see the Technical Information tab.

▲ ▲ Large positive difference ▲ Medium positive difference ← Small positive difference ≈ No difference

▼Small negative difference ▼▼Medium negative difference ↓▼▼ Large negative difference



Interactions with Diversity

This tab includes three tables on the Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty indicator. Table 1 includes the six statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Interactions with Diversity

1 = Never; 2 = Rarely; 3 = Sometimes; 4 = Often; 5 = Very often

How often did you have the following experiences as an undergraduate at this institution?

Attended a debate or lecture on a current political/social issue.

Participated in a diversity or cultural awareness workshop.

Had discussions about intergroup relations with students differing from you in gender, national origin, political views, race, religion, sexuality, or values.

Had serious discussions with other students about different lifestyles and customs.

Had serious discussions with faculty or staff whose political, social, or religious opinions were different from your own.

Table 2: Summary Results for Interactions with Diversity										
	Kenyon	All Other Alumni	Kenyon	All Other Alumni						
	5-Year Cohort	in 5-Year Cohort	10-Year Cohort	in 10-Year Cohort						
Table 3: Responses to Statements in the Interactions with Diversity Indicator										
	Kenyon 5-Year Cohort	All Other Alumni in 5-Year Cohort	Kenyon 10-Year Cohort	All Other Alumni in 10-Year Cohort						
	n %	n %	n %	n %						



•

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the six statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Interactions with Diversity

1 = Never; 2 = Rarely; 3 = Sometimes; 4 = Often; 5 = Very often

How often did you have the following experiences as an undergraduate at this institution?

Attended a debate or lecture on a current political/social issue.

Participated in a diversity or cultural awareness workshop.

Had discussions about intergroup relations with students differing from you in gender, national origin, political views, race, religion, sexuality, or values.

Had serious discussions with other students about different lifestyles and customs.

Had serious discussions with faculty or staff whose political, social, or religious opinions were different from your own.

Table 2: Summary Results for Interactions with Diversity										
			nyon · Cohort	All Other			nyon r Cohort	All Other		
How often did you have the following experi	ences as an undergraduate at this instituti	on? <i>(Q7)</i>								
Attended a debate or lecture on a current	Never			749	12%	7	5%	497	11%	
political/social issue.	Rarely	32	25%	1,662	26%	29	20%	1,064	24%	
	Sometimes	52	40%	2,136	34%	49	34%	1,532	34%	
	Often	30	23%	1,140	18%	39	27%	848	19%	



This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the six statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Interactions with Diversity

1 = Never; 2 = Rarely; 3 = Sometimes; 4 = Often; 5 = Very often

How often did you have the following experiences as an undergraduate at this institution?

Attended a debate or lecture on a current political/social issue.

Participated in a diversity or cultural awareness workshop.

Had discussions about intergroup relations with students differing from you in gender, national origin, political views, race, religion, sexuality, or values.

Had serious discussions with other students about different lifestyles and customs.

Had serious discussions with faculty or staff whose political, social, or religious opinions were different from your own.

Table 2: Summary Results for Interactions with Diversity											
		•		All Other Alumni in 5-Year Cohort		•		All Other	r Alumni ar Cohort		
	Very often	11	9%	668	11%	21	14%	506	11%		
	Total	129	100%	6,355	100%	145	100%	4,447	100%		
Participated in a diversity or cultural awareness	Never	19	15%	1,030	16%	26	18%	785	18%		
workshop.	Rarely	51	40%	1,711	27%	42	29%	1,298	29%		
	Sometimes	36	28%	2,006	32%	45	31%	1,355	31%		
	Often	18	14%	990	16%	20	14%	611	14%		



Interactions with Diversity

This tab includes three tables on the Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty indicator. Table 1 includes the six statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Interactions with Diversity

1 = Never; 2 = Rarely; 3 = Sometimes; 4 = Often; 5 = Very often

How often did you have the following experiences as an undergraduate at this institution?

Attended a debate or lecture on a current political/social issue.

Participated in a diversity or cultural awareness workshop.

Had discussions about intergroup relations with students differing from you in gender, national origin, political views, race, religion, sexuality, or values.

Had serious discussions with other students about different lifestyles and customs.

Had serious discussions with faculty or staff whose political, social, or religious opinions were different from your own.

Table 2: Summary Results for Interactions w	ith Diversity	Table 2: Summary Results for Interactions with Diversity										
		•		All Other Alumni in 5-Year Cohort		Kenyon 10-Year Cohort		All Other Alumi				
	Very often	5	4%	621	10%	12	8%	391	9%			
	Total	129	100%	6,358	100%	145	100%	4,440	100%			
Had discussions about intergroup relations with	Never			526	8%			433	10%			
students differing from you in gender, national	Rarely	29	23%	1,189	19%	33	23%	985	22%			
origin, political views, race, religion, sexuality, or	Sometimes	39	30%	1,975	31%	38	26%	1,394	31%			
values.	Often	29	23%	1,532	24%	41	28%	989	22%			



This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the six statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Interactions with Diversity

1 = Never; 2 = Rarely; 3 = Sometimes; 4 = Often; 5 = Very often

How often did you have the following experiences as an undergraduate at this institution?

Attended a debate or lecture on a current political/social issue.

Participated in a diversity or cultural awareness workshop.

Had discussions about intergroup relations with students differing from you in gender, national origin, political views, race, religion, sexuality, or values.

Had serious discussions with other students about different lifestyles and customs.

Had serious discussions with faculty or staff whose political, social, or religious opinions were different from your own.

Table 2: Summary Results for Interactions with Diversity											
		•		,		All Other Alumni in 5-Year Cohort		•		All Other	r Alumni ar Cohort
	Very often	28	22%	1,123	18%	29	20%	636	14%		
	Total	128	100%	6,345	100%	145	100%	4,437	100%		
Had serious discussions with other students	Never			285	4%			235	5%		
about different lifestyles and customs.	Rarely	20	16%	1,013	16%	17	12%	781	18%		
	Sometimes	39	30%	1,921	30%	48	33%	1,463	33%		
	Often	41	32%	1,834	29%	43	30%	1,185	27%		



This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the six statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Interactions with Diversity

1 = Never; 2 = Rarely; 3 = Sometimes; 4 = Often; 5 = Very often

How often did you have the following experiences as an undergraduate at this institution?

Attended a debate or lecture on a current political/social issue.

Participated in a diversity or cultural awareness workshop.

Had discussions about intergroup relations with students differing from you in gender, national origin, political views, race, religion, sexuality, or values.

Had serious discussions with other students about different lifestyles and customs.

Had serious discussions with faculty or staff whose political, social, or religious opinions were different from your own.

Table 2: Summary Results for Interactions with Diversity											
			,		All Other Alumni in 5-Year Cohort		nyon r Cohort	All Other Alumi			
	Very often	28	22%	1,289	20%	36	25%	770	17%		
	Total	129	100%	6,342	100%	145	100%	4,434	100%		
Had serious discussions with faculty or staff	Never	15	12%	838	13%	6	4%	606	14%		
whose political, social, or religious opinions were different from your own.	Rarely	36	28%	1,909	30%	49	34%	1,402	32%		
	Sometimes	48	38%	2,014	32%	44	30%	1,414	32%		
	Often	22	17%	1,006	16%	25	17%	659	15%		



This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the six statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Interactions with Diversity

1 = Never; 2 = Rarely; 3 = Sometimes; 4 = Often; 5 = Very often

How often did you have the following experiences as an undergraduate at this institution?

Attended a debate or lecture on a current political/social issue.

Participated in a diversity or cultural awareness workshop.

Had discussions about intergroup relations with students differing from you in gender, national origin, political views, race, religion, sexuality, or values.

Had serious discussions with other students about different lifestyles and customs.

Had serious discussions with faculty or staff whose political, social, or religious opinions were different from your own.

Table 2: Summary Results for Interactions with Diversity											
			,		,		All Other Alumni in 5-Year Cohort		nyon ir Cohort	All Othe	r Alumni ar Cohort
	Very often	7	5%	574	9%	21	14%	344	8%		
	Total	128	100%	6,341	100%	145	100%	4,425	100%		
Had serious discussions with students whose	Never			365	6%			254	6%		
political, social, or religious opinions were	Rarely	23	18%	1,302	21%	29	20%	1,027	23%		
different from your own.	Sometimes	60	47%	2,213	35%	42	29%	1,503	34%		
	Often	31	24%	1,532	24%	44	30%	1,039	23%		



•

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the six statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Interactions with Diversity

1 = Never; 2 = Rarely; 3 = Sometimes; 4 = Often; 5 = Very often

How often did you have the following experiences as an undergraduate at this institution?

Attended a debate or lecture on a current political/social issue.

Participated in a diversity or cultural awareness workshop.

Had discussions about intergroup relations with students differing from you in gender, national origin, political views, race, religion, sexuality, or values.

Had serious discussions with other students about different lifestyles and customs.

Had serious discussions with faculty or staff whose political, social, or religious opinions were different from your own.

Table 2: Summary Results for Interactions with Diversity									
		Kenyon 5-Year Cohort		All Other Alumni in 5-Year Cohort		Kenyon 10-Year Cohort		r Alumni ir Cohort	
Very often	11	9%	922	15%	28	19%	606	14%	
Total	127	100%	6,334	100%	145	100%	4,429	100%	



Growth on Intellectual Outcomes

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the ten statements from th combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutio provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Growth on Intellectual Outcomes

1 = Very little; 2 = Some; 3 = Quite a bit; 4 = Very much

To what extent did your experience as an undergraduate at this institution contribute to your knowledge, skills, and personal development in the

Careful reading Effective writing
Critical thinking Effective speaking

Creative thinking Teamwork

Information literacy Problem solving

Quantitative literacy Integrative thinking

	Kenyon	All Other Alumni	Kenyon
	5-Year Cohort	in 5-Year Cohort	10-Year Cohort
Mean	3.28 ≒	3.23	3.28 🔺
Standard Deviation	0.49	0.56	0.52
75th Percentile	3.70	3.70	3.70

Median	3.30	3.30	3.40
25th Percentile	3.00	2.90	3.00
Total Responses	120	5,879	132

The symbols in Table 2 represent effect sizes for the differences between the mean score of your institution's alumni and the overall mean score for each alumni cohort. We only calculate effect size when $n \ge 10$ for both the institution and comparison groups. For more information on how we calculate effect sizes, please see the Technical Information tab.

▲ ▲ Large positive difference ▲ Medium positive difference ≈ No difference ▼ Small positive difference ≈ No difference ▼ Targe negative difference

Table 3: Responses to Statements in the Gro	wth on Intellectual Outcomes In	dicator					
			nyon ir Cohort	All Other Alumni in 5-Year Cohort			nyon r Cohort
		n	%	n	%	n	%
To what extent did your experience as an under	graduate at this institution contribu	ite to your					
knowledge, skills, and personal development in	the following areas? (Q8)						
Careful reading: Comprehension and analysis of	Very little			177	3%		
written texts within and across genres.	Some	5	4%	990	16%	5	4%
	Quite a bit	29	24%	2,030	34%	38	28%
	Very much	86	70%	2,806	47%	90	67%
	Total	122	100%	6,003	100%	135	100%
Critical thinking: Examination of ideas, evidence,	Very little	0	0%	79	1%		
and assumptions before accepting or	Some	5	4%	536	9%	9	7%
formulating a conclusion.	Quite a bit	32	26%	1,798	30%	25	19%
	Very much	85	70%	3,592	60%	100	74%
	Total	122	100%	6,005	100%	135	100%
Creative thinking: Developing or combining	Very little			175	3%	-	
ideas, images, or expertise in innovative ways.	Some	14	12%	1,082	18%	12	9%
	Quite a bit	39	32%	2,196	37%	47	35%
	Very much	67	55%	2,545	42%	73	54%
	Total	121	100%	5,998	100%	135	100%
Information literacy: Locating, evaluating, and	Very little			118	2%		

using information effectively and responsibly for	Some	21	17%	743	12%	11	8%
a particular purpose.	Quite a bit	37	30%	2,222	37%	44	33%
	Very much	63	52%	2,906	49%	78	58%
	Total	122	100%	5,989	100%	135	100%
Quantitative literacy: Seeking, understanding,	Very little	7	6%	243	4%	13	10%
and using quantitative information appropriately	Some	36	30%	1,161	19%	34	25%
to solve problems or make arguments.	Quite a bit	34	28%	2,109	35%	38	28%
	Very much	45	37%	2,482	41%	50	37%
	Total	122	100%	5,995	100%	135	100%
Effective writing: Conveying accurate and	Very little			138	2%		
compelling content in clear, expressive, and	Some	10	8%	798	13%	11	8%
audience-appropriate prose.	Quite a bit	30	24%	1,914	32%	31	23%
	Very much	82	67%	3,145	52%	93	68%
	Total	123	100%	5,995	100%	136	100%
Effective speaking: Conveying accurate and	Very little	9	7%	274	5%		
compelling content in clear, expressive, and	Some	31	25%	1,393	23%	36	27%
audience-appropriate oral presentations.	Quite a bit	38	31%	2,160	36%	52	39%
	Very much	44	36%	2,159	36%	45	33%
	Total	122	100%	5,986	100%	135	100%
Teamwork: Contributing to a team, facilitating	Very little	6	5%	248	4%	8	6%
the work of team members, and fostering a	Some	45	37%	1,240	21%	53	39%
constructive team climate.	Quite a bit	38	31%	2,147	36%	44	33%
	Very much	33	27%	2,353	39%	30	22%
	Total	122	100%	5,988	100%	135	100%
Problem solving: Designing, evaluating, and	Very little			131	2%	5	4%
implementing a strategy to answer questions or	Some	25	21%	905	15%	32	24%
achieve a goal.	Quite a bit	40	33%	2,220	37%	44	32%
	Very much	54	45%	2,722	46%	55	40%
	Total	121	100%	5,978	100%	136	100%
Integrative thinking: The habit of connecting	Very little			165	3%		
ideas and experiences, and the ability to transfer	Some	15	12%	1,015	17%	20	15%
learning to novel situations.	Quite a bit	46	38%	2,244	38%	42	31%
	Very much	59	48%	2,531	43%	69	51%
	Total	122	100%	5,955	100%	134	100%

Back to Table of Contents

e survey that were ons. Table 3

following areas?

All Other Alumni in 10-Year Cohort 3.19 0.60 3.70

3.30
2.80
4,144

All Othe	r Alumni
in 10-Yea	
n	%
128	3%
684	16%
1,349	32%
2,063	49%
4,224	100%
71	2%
427	10%
1,246	30%
2,476	59%
4,220	100%
123	3%
805	19%
1,519	36%
1,772	42%
4,219	100%
75	2%

574	14%
1,468	35%
2,100	50%
4,217	100%
187	4%
902	21%
1,449	34%
1,674	40%
4,212	100%
102	2%
564	13%
1,295	31%
2,254	53%
4,215	100%
247	6%
1,100	26%
1,504	36%
1,359	32%
4,210	100%
213	5%
1,070	25%
1,468	35%
1,465	35%
4,216	100%
134	3%
758	18%
1,559	37%
1,757	42%
4,208	100%
158	4%
795	19%
1,526	36%
1,727	41%
4,206	100%



Growth on Civic Outcomes

This tab includes three tables on the Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty indicator. Table 1 includes the three statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Growth on Civic Outcomes

1 = Very little; 2 = Some; 3 = Quite a bit; 4 = Very much

To what extent did your experience as an undergraduate at this institution contribute to your knowledge, skills, and personal development in the following areas?

Civic engagement

Intercultural knowledge and competence

Ethical reasoning

Table 2. Summary Results for Growth on Give Succomes					
	Kenyon 5-Year Cohort	All Other Alumni in 5-Year Cohort	Kenyon 10-Year Cohort	All Other Alumni in 10-Year Cohort	
Mean	2.70 ▼	2.81	2.69 ≒	2.73	
Standard Deviation	0.82	0.80	0.79	0.81	
75th Percentile	3.25	3.50	3.25	3.50	
Median	2.75	2.75	2.75	2.75	
25th Percentile	2.00	2.25	2.00	2.00	
Total Responses	118	5,859	134	4,124	



Growth on Civic Outcomes

This tab includes three tables on the Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty indicator. Table 1 includes the three statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Growth on Civic Outcomes

1 = Very little; 2 = Some; 3 = Quite a bit; 4 = Very much

To what extent did your experience as an undergraduate at this institution contribute to your knowledge, skills, and personal development in the following areas?

Civic engagement

Intercultural knowledge and competence

Ethical reasoning

Table 2: Summary	Results for Growth on Civic Outcomes

Table 2. Summary Results for Growth on civic outcomes					
	Kenyon 5-Year Cohort	All Other Alumni in 5-Year Cohort	Kenyon 10-Year Cohort	All Other Alumni in 10-Year Cohort	
Mean	2.70 ▼	2.81	2.69 ≒	2.73	
Standard Deviation	0.82	0.80	0.79	0.81	
75th Percentile	3.25	3.50	3.25	3.50	
Median	2.75	2.75	2.75	2.75	
25th Percentile	2.00	2.25	2.00	2.00	
Total Responses	118	5,859	134	4,124	



Growth on Civic Outcomes

This tab includes three tables on the Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty indicator. Table 1 includes the three statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Growth on Civic Outcomes

1 = Very little; 2 = Some; 3 = Quite a bit; 4 = Very much

To what extent did your experience as an undergraduate at this institution contribute to your knowledge, skills, and personal development in the following areas?

Civic engagement

Intercultural knowledge and competence

Ethical reasoning

Table 2: Summary	Results for Growth on Civic Outcomes

Table 2. Summary Results for Growth on civic outcomes					
	Kenyon 5-Year Cohort	All Other Alumni in 5-Year Cohort	Kenyon 10-Year Cohort	All Other Alumni in 10-Year Cohort	
Mean	2.70 ▼	2.81	2.69 ≒	2.73	
Standard Deviation	0.82	0.80	0.79	0.81	
75th Percentile	3.25	3.50	3.25	3.50	
Median	2.75	2.75	2.75	2.75	
25th Percentile	2.00	2.25	2.00	2.00	
Total Responses	118	5,859	134	4,124	



Growth on Civic Outcomes

This tab includes three tables on the Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty indicator. Table 1 includes the three statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Growth on Civic Outcomes

1 = Very little; 2 = Some; 3 = Quite a bit; 4 = Very much

To what extent did your experience as an undergraduate at this institution contribute to your knowledge, skills, and personal development in the following areas?

Civic engagement

Intercultural knowledge and competence

Ethical reasoning

Table 2: Summary	Results for Growth on Civic Outcomes

Table 2. Summary Results for Growth on civic outcomes					
	Kenyon 5-Year Cohort	All Other Alumni in 5-Year Cohort	Kenyon 10-Year Cohort	All Other Alumni in 10-Year Cohort	
Mean	2.70 ▼	2.81	2.69 ≒	2.73	
Standard Deviation	0.82	0.80	0.79	0.81	
75th Percentile	3.25	3.50	3.25	3.50	
Median	2.75	2.75	2.75	2.75	
25th Percentile	2.00	2.25	2.00	2.00	
Total Responses	118	5,859	134	4,124	



Growth on Civic Outcomes

This tab includes three tables on the Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty indicator. Table 1 includes the three statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Growth on Civic Outcomes

1 = Very little; 2 = Some; 3 = Quite a bit; 4 = Very much

To what extent did your experience as an undergraduate at this institution contribute to your knowledge, skills, and personal development in the following areas?

Civic engagement

Intercultural knowledge and competence

Ethical reasoning

Table 2: Summary	Results for Growth on Civic Outcomes

Table 2. Summary Results for Growth on Give Outcomes				
	Kenyon 5-Year Cohort	All Other Alumni in 5-Year Cohort	Kenyon 10-Year Cohort	All Other Alumni in 10-Year Cohort
Mean	2.70 ▼	2.81	2.69 ≒	2.73
Standard Deviation	0.82	0.80	0.79	0.81
75th Percentile	3.25	3.50	3.25	3.50
Median	2.75	2.75	2.75	2.75
25th Percentile	2.00	2.25	2.00	2.00
Total Responses	118	5,859	134	4,124



Growth on Civic Outcomes

This tab includes three tables on the Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty indicator. Table 1 includes the three statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Growth on Civic Outcomes

1 = Very little; 2 = Some; 3 = Quite a bit; 4 = Very much

To what extent did your experience as an undergraduate at this institution contribute to your knowledge, skills, and personal development in the following areas?

Civic engagement

Intercultural knowledge and competence

Ethical reasoning

Table 2: Summary	Results for Growth on Civic Outcomes

Table 2. Summary Results for Growth on Give Outcomes				
	Kenyon 5-Year Cohort	All Other Alumni in 5-Year Cohort	Kenyon 10-Year Cohort	All Other Alumni in 10-Year Cohort
Mean	2.70 ▼	2.81	2.69 ≒	2.73
Standard Deviation	0.82	0.80	0.79	0.81
75th Percentile	3.25	3.50	3.25	3.50
Median	2.75	2.75	2.75	2.75
25th Percentile	2.00	2.25	2.00	2.00
Total Responses	118	5,859	134	4,124



Growth on Civic Outcomes

This tab includes three tables on the Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty indicator. Table 1 includes the three statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Growth on Civic Outcomes

1 = Very little; 2 = Some; 3 = Quite a bit; 4 = Very much

To what extent did your experience as an undergraduate at this institution contribute to your knowledge, skills, and personal development in the following areas?

Civic engagement

Intercultural knowledge and competence

Ethical reasoning

Table 2: Summary	Results for Growth on Civic Outcomes

Table 2. Summary Results for Growth on Give Outcomes				
	Kenyon 5-Year Cohort	All Other Alumni in 5-Year Cohort	Kenyon 10-Year Cohort	All Other Alumni in 10-Year Cohort
Mean	2.70 ▼	2.81	2.69 ≒	2.73
Standard Deviation	0.82	0.80	0.79	0.81
75th Percentile	3.25	3.50	3.25	3.50
Median	2.75	2.75	2.75	2.75
25th Percentile	2.00	2.25	2.00	2.00
Total Responses	118	5,859	134	4,124



Growth on Civic Outcomes

This tab includes three tables on the Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty indicator. Table 1 includes the three statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Growth on Civic Outcomes

1 = Very little; 2 = Some; 3 = Quite a bit; 4 = Very much

To what extent did your experience as an undergraduate at this institution contribute to your knowledge, skills, and personal development in the following areas?

Civic engagement

Intercultural knowledge and competence

Ethical reasoning

Table 2: Summary	Results for Growth on Civic Outcomes

Table 2. Summary Results for Growth on Give Outcomes				
	Kenyon 5-Year Cohort	All Other Alumni in 5-Year Cohort	Kenyon 10-Year Cohort	All Other Alumni in 10-Year Cohort
Mean	2.70 ▼	2.81	2.69 ≒	2.73
Standard Deviation	0.82	0.80	0.79	0.81
75th Percentile	3.25	3.50	3.25	3.50
Median	2.75	2.75	2.75	2.75
25th Percentile	2.00	2.25	2.00	2.00
Total Responses	118	5,859	134	4,124



Growth on Civic Outcomes

This tab includes three tables on the Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty indicator. Table 1 includes the three statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Growth on Civic Outcomes

1 = Very little; 2 = Some; 3 = Quite a bit; 4 = Very much

To what extent did your experience as an undergraduate at this institution contribute to your knowledge, skills, and personal development in the following areas?

Civic engagement

Intercultural knowledge and competence

Ethical reasoning

Table 2: Summary	Results for Growth on Civic Outcomes

Table 2. Summary Results for Growth on Give Outcomes				
	Kenyon 5-Year Cohort	All Other Alumni in 5-Year Cohort	Kenyon 10-Year Cohort	All Other Alumni in 10-Year Cohort
Mean	2.70 ▼	2.81	2.69 ≒	2.73
Standard Deviation	0.82	0.80	0.79	0.81
75th Percentile	3.25	3.50	3.25	3.50
Median	2.75	2.75	2.75	2.75
25th Percentile	2.00	2.25	2.00	2.00
Total Responses	118	5,859	134	4,124



Growth on Civic Outcomes

This tab includes three tables on the Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty indicator. Table 1 includes the three statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Growth on Civic Outcomes

1 = Very little; 2 = Some; 3 = Quite a bit; 4 = Very much

To what extent did your experience as an undergraduate at this institution contribute to your knowledge, skills, and personal development in the following areas?

Civic engagement

Intercultural knowledge and competence

Ethical reasoning

Table 2: Summary	Results for Growth on Civic Outcomes

Table 2. Summary Results for Growth on Give Outcomes				
	Kenyon 5-Year Cohort	All Other Alumni in 5-Year Cohort	Kenyon 10-Year Cohort	All Other Alumni in 10-Year Cohort
Mean	2.70 ▼	2.81	2.69 ≒	2.73
Standard Deviation	0.82	0.80	0.79	0.81
75th Percentile	3.25	3.50	3.25	3.50
Median	2.75	2.75	2.75	2.75
25th Percentile	2.00	2.25	2.00	2.00
Total Responses	118	5,859	134	4,124



Growth on Civic Outcomes

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the three statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Growth on Civic Outcomes

1 = Very little; 2 = Some; 3 = Quite a bit; 4 = Very much

To what extent did your experience as an undergraduate at this institution contribute to your knowledge, skills, and personal development in the following areas?

Civic engagement

Intercultural knowledge and competence

Ethical reasoning

Table 2: Summary Results for Growth on Civic Outcomes

Table 2. Summary Results for Growth on Civic Outcomes				
	Kenyon 5-Year Cohort	All Other Alumni in 5-Year Cohort	Kenyon 10-Year Cohort	All Other Alumni in 10-Year Cohort
Mean	2.70 🔻	2.81	2.69 ≒	2.73
Standard Deviation	0.82	0.80	0.79	0.81
75th Percentile	3.25	3.50	3.25	3.50
Median	2.75	2.75	2.75	2.75
25th Percentile	2.00	2.25	2.00	2.00
Total Responses	118	5,859	134	4,124



Growth on Civic Outcomes

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the three statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Growth on Civic Outcomes

1 = Very little; 2 = Some; 3 = Quite a bit; 4 = Very much

To what extent did your experience as an undergraduate at this institution contribute to your knowledge, skills, and personal development in the following areas?

Civic engagement

Intercultural knowledge and competence

Ethical reasoning

Table 2: Summary Results for Growth on Civic Outcomes

Table 2. Summary Results for Growth on Civic Outcomes				
	Kenyon 5-Year Cohort	All Other Alumni in 5-Year Cohort	Kenyon 10-Year Cohort	All Other Alumni in 10-Year Cohort
Mean	2.70 🔻	2.81	2.69 ≒	2.73
Standard Deviation	0.82	0.80	0.79	0.81
75th Percentile	3.25	3.50	3.25	3.50
Median	2.75	2.75	2.75	2.75
25th Percentile	2.00	2.25	2.00	2.00
Total Responses	118	5,859	134	4,124



Growth on Civic Outcomes

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the three statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Growth on Civic Outcomes

1 = Very little; 2 = Some; 3 = Quite a bit; 4 = Very much

To what extent did your experience as an undergraduate at this institution contribute to your knowledge, skills, and personal development in the following areas?

Civic engagement

Intercultural knowledge and competence

Ethical reasoning

Table 2: Summary Results for Growth on Civic Outcomes

Table 2. Summary Results for Growth on Civic Outcomes				
	Kenyon 5-Year Cohort	All Other Alumni in 5-Year Cohort	Kenyon 10-Year Cohort	All Other Alumni in 10-Year Cohort
Mean	2.70 🔻	2.81	2.69 ≒	2.73
Standard Deviation	0.82	0.80	0.79	0.81
75th Percentile	3.25	3.50	3.25	3.50
Median	2.75	2.75	2.75	2.75
25th Percentile	2.00	2.25	2.00	2.00
Total Responses	118	5,859	134	4,124



Growth on Civic Outcomes

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the three statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Growth on Civic Outcomes

1 = Very little; 2 = Some; 3 = Quite a bit; 4 = Very much

To what extent did your experience as an undergraduate at this institution contribute to your knowledge, skills, and personal development in the following areas?

Civic engagement

Intercultural knowledge and competence

Ethical reasoning

Table 2: Summary Results for Growth on Civic Outcomes

Table 2. Summary Results for Growth on Civic Outcomes				
	Kenyon 5-Year Cohort	All Other Alumni in 5-Year Cohort	Kenyon 10-Year Cohort	All Other Alumni in 10-Year Cohort
Mean	2.70 🔻	2.81	2.69 ≒	2.73
Standard Deviation	0.82	0.80	0.79	0.81
75th Percentile	3.25	3.50	3.25	3.50
Median	2.75	2.75	2.75	2.75
25th Percentile	2.00	2.25	2.00	2.00
Total Responses	118	5,859	134	4,124



Growth on Civic Outcomes

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the three statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Growth on Civic Outcomes

1 = Very little; 2 = Some; 3 = Quite a bit; 4 = Very much

To what extent did your experience as an undergraduate at this institution contribute to your knowledge, skills, and personal development in the following areas?

Civic engagement

Intercultural knowledge and competence

Ethical reasoning

Table 2: Summary Results for Growth on Civic Outcomes

Table 2. Summary Results for Growth on Civic Outcomes				
	Kenyon 5-Year Cohort	All Other Alumni in 5-Year Cohort	Kenyon 10-Year Cohort	All Other Alumni in 10-Year Cohort
Mean	2.70 🔻	2.81	2.69 ≒	2.73
Standard Deviation	0.82	0.80	0.79	0.81
75th Percentile	3.25	3.50	3.25	3.50
Median	2.75	2.75	2.75	2.75
25th Percentile	2.00	2.25	2.00	2.00
Total Responses	118	5,859	134	4,124



Growth on Civic Outcomes

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the three statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Growth on Civic Outcomes

1 = Very little; 2 = Some; 3 = Quite a bit; 4 = Very much

To what extent did your experience as an undergraduate at this institution contribute to your knowledge, skills, and personal development in the following areas?

Civic engagement

Intercultural knowledge and competence

Ethical reasoning

Table 2: Summary Results for Growth on Civic Outcomes

Table 2. Summary Results for Growth on Civic Outcomes				
	Kenyon 5-Year Cohort	All Other Alumni in 5-Year Cohort	Kenyon 10-Year Cohort	All Other Alumni in 10-Year Cohort
Mean	2.70 🔻	2.81	2.69 ≒	2.73
Standard Deviation	0.82	0.80	0.79	0.81
75th Percentile	3.25	3.50	3.25	3.50
Median	2.75	2.75	2.75	2.75
25th Percentile	2.00	2.25	2.00	2.00
Total Responses	118	5,859	134	4,124



Growth on Civic Outcomes

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the three statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Growth on Civic Outcomes

1 = Very little; 2 = Some; 3 = Quite a bit; 4 = Very much

To what extent did your experience as an undergraduate at this institution contribute to your knowledge, skills, and personal development in the following areas?

Civic engagement

Intercultural knowledge and competence

Ethical reasoning

Table 2: Summary Results for Growth on Civic Outcomes

Table 2. Summary Results for Growth on Civic Outcomes				
	Kenyon 5-Year Cohort	All Other Alumni in 5-Year Cohort	Kenyon 10-Year Cohort	All Other Alumni in 10-Year Cohort
Mean	2.70 🔻	2.81	2.69 ≒	2.73
Standard Deviation	0.82	0.80	0.79	0.81
75th Percentile	3.25	3.50	3.25	3.50
Median	2.75	2.75	2.75	2.75
25th Percentile	2.00	2.25	2.00	2.00
Total Responses	118	5,859	134	4,124



Growth on Civic Outcomes

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the three statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Growth on Civic Outcomes

1 = Very little; 2 = Some; 3 = Quite a bit; 4 = Very much

To what extent did your experience as an undergraduate at this institution contribute to your knowledge, skills, and personal development in the following areas?

Civic engagement

Intercultural knowledge and competence

Ethical reasoning

Table 2: Summary Results for Growth on Civic Outcomes

Table 2. Summary Results for Growth on Civic Outcomes				
	Kenyon 5-Year Cohort	All Other Alumni in 5-Year Cohort	Kenyon 10-Year Cohort	All Other Alumni in 10-Year Cohort
Mean	2.70 🔻	2.81	2.69 ≒	2.73
Standard Deviation	0.82	0.80	0.79	0.81
75th Percentile	3.25	3.50	3.25	3.50
Median	2.75	2.75	2.75	2.75
25th Percentile	2.00	2.25	2.00	2.00
Total Responses	118	5,859	134	4,124



Growth on Civic Outcomes

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the three statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Growth on Civic Outcomes

1 = Very little; 2 = Some; 3 = Quite a bit; 4 = Very much

To what extent did your experience as an undergraduate at this institution contribute to your knowledge, skills, and personal development in the following areas?

Civic engagement

Intercultural knowledge and competence

Ethical reasoning

Table 2: Summary Results for Growth on Civic Outcomes

Table 2. Summary Results for Growth on Civic Outcomes				
	Kenyon 5-Year Cohort	All Other Alumni in 5-Year Cohort	Kenyon 10-Year Cohort	All Other Alumni in 10-Year Cohort
Mean	2.70 🔻	2.81	2.69 ≒	2.73
Standard Deviation	0.82	0.80	0.79	0.81
75th Percentile	3.25	3.50	3.25	3.50
Median	2.75	2.75	2.75	2.75
25th Percentile	2.00	2.25	2.00	2.00
Total Responses	118	5,859	134	4,124



Growth on Civic Outcomes

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the three statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Growth on Civic Outcomes

1 = Very little; 2 = Some; 3 = Quite a bit; 4 = Very much

To what extent did your experience as an undergraduate at this institution contribute to your knowledge, skills, and personal development in the following areas?

Civic engagement

Intercultural knowledge and competence

Ethical reasoning

Table 2: Summary Results for Growth on Civic Outcomes

Table 2. Summary Results for Growth on Civic Outcomes				
	Kenyon 5-Year Cohort	All Other Alumni in 5-Year Cohort	Kenyon 10-Year Cohort	All Other Alumni in 10-Year Cohort
Mean	2.70 🔻	2.81	2.69 ≒	2.73
Standard Deviation	0.82	0.80	0.79	0.81
75th Percentile	3.25	3.50	3.25	3.50
Median	2.75	2.75	2.75	2.75
25th Percentile	2.00	2.25	2.00	2.00
Total Responses	118	5,859	134	4,124



Growth on Civic Outcomes

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the three statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Growth on Civic Outcomes

1 = Very little; 2 = Some; 3 = Quite a bit; 4 = Very much

To what extent did your experience as an undergraduate at this institution contribute to your knowledge, skills, and personal development in the following areas?

Civic engagement

Intercultural knowledge and competence

Ethical reasoning

Table 2: Summary Results for Growth on Civic Outcomes

Table 2. Summary Results for Growth on Civic Outcomes				
	Kenyon 5-Year Cohort	All Other Alumni in 5-Year Cohort	Kenyon 10-Year Cohort	All Other Alumni in 10-Year Cohort
Mean	2.70 🔻	2.81	2.69 ≒	2.73
Standard Deviation	0.82	0.80	0.79	0.81
75th Percentile	3.25	3.50	3.25	3.50
Median	2.75	2.75	2.75	2.75
25th Percentile	2.00	2.25	2.00	2.00
Total Responses	118	5,859	134	4,124



Growth on Civic Outcomes

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the three statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Growth on Civic Outcomes

1 = Very little; 2 = Some; 3 = Quite a bit; 4 = Very much

To what extent did your experience as an undergraduate at this institution contribute to your knowledge, skills, and personal development in the following areas?

Civic engagement

Intercultural knowledge and competence

Ethical reasoning

Table 2: Summary Results for Growth on Civic Outcomes

Table 2. Summary Results for Growth on Civic Outcomes				
	Kenyon 5-Year Cohort	All Other Alumni in 5-Year Cohort	Kenyon 10-Year Cohort	All Other Alumni in 10-Year Cohort
Mean	2.70 🔻	2.81	2.69 ≒	2.73
Standard Deviation	0.82	0.80	0.79	0.81
75th Percentile	3.25	3.50	3.25	3.50
Median	2.75	2.75	2.75	2.75
25th Percentile	2.00	2.25	2.00	2.00
Total Responses	118	5,859	134	4,124



Growth on Civic Outcomes

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the three statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Growth on Civic Outcomes

1 = Very little; 2 = Some; 3 = Quite a bit; 4 = Very much

To what extent did your experience as an undergraduate at this institution contribute to your knowledge, skills, and personal development in the following areas?

Civic engagement

Intercultural knowledge and competence

Ethical reasoning

Table 2: Summary Results for Growth on Civic Outcomes

Table 2. Summary Results for Growth on Civic Outcomes				
	Kenyon 5-Year Cohort	All Other Alumni in 5-Year Cohort	Kenyon 10-Year Cohort	All Other Alumni in 10-Year Cohort
Mean	2.70 🔻	2.81	2.69 ≒	2.73
Standard Deviation	0.82	0.80	0.79	0.81
75th Percentile	3.25	3.50	3.25	3.50
Median	2.75	2.75	2.75	2.75
25th Percentile	2.00	2.25	2.00	2.00
Total Responses	118	5,859	134	4,124



Growth on Civic Outcomes

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the three statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Growth on Civic Outcomes

1 = Very little; 2 = Some; 3 = Quite a bit; 4 = Very much

To what extent did your experience as an undergraduate at this institution contribute to your knowledge, skills, and personal development in the following areas?

Civic engagement

Intercultural knowledge and competence

Ethical reasoning

Table 2: Summary Results for Growth on Civic Outcomes

Table 2. Summary Results for Growth on Civic Outcomes				
	Kenyon 5-Year Cohort	All Other Alumni in 5-Year Cohort	Kenyon 10-Year Cohort	All Other Alumni in 10-Year Cohort
Mean	2.70 🔻	2.81	2.69 ≒	2.73
Standard Deviation	0.82	0.80	0.79	0.81
75th Percentile	3.25	3.50	3.25	3.50
Median	2.75	2.75	2.75	2.75
25th Percentile	2.00	2.25	2.00	2.00
Total Responses	118	5,859	134	4,124



Growth on Civic Outcomes

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the three statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Growth on Civic Outcomes

1 = Very little; 2 = Some; 3 = Quite a bit; 4 = Very much

To what extent did your experience as an undergraduate at this institution contribute to your knowledge, skills, and personal development in the following areas?

Civic engagement

Intercultural knowledge and competence

Ethical reasoning

Table 2: Summary Results for Growth on Civic Outcomes

Table 2. Summary Results for Growth on Civic Outcomes				
	Kenyon 5-Year Cohort	All Other Alumni in 5-Year Cohort	Kenyon 10-Year Cohort	All Other Alumni in 10-Year Cohort
Mean	2.70 🔻	2.81	2.69 ≒	2.73
Standard Deviation	0.82	0.80	0.79	0.81
75th Percentile	3.25	3.50	3.25	3.50
Median	2.75	2.75	2.75	2.75
25th Percentile	2.00	2.25	2.00	2.00
Total Responses	118	5,859	134	4,124



Growth on Civic Outcomes

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the three statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Growth on Civic Outcomes

1 = Very little; 2 = Some; 3 = Quite a bit; 4 = Very much

To what extent did your experience as an undergraduate at this institution contribute to your knowledge, skills, and personal development in the following areas?

Civic engagement

Intercultural knowledge and competence

Ethical reasoning

Table 2: Summary Results for Growth on Civic Outcomes

Table 2. Summary Results for Growth on Civic Outcomes				
	Kenyon 5-Year Cohort	All Other Alumni in 5-Year Cohort	Kenyon 10-Year Cohort	All Other Alumni in 10-Year Cohort
Mean	2.70 🔻	2.81	2.69 ≒	2.73
Standard Deviation	0.82	0.80	0.79	0.81
75th Percentile	3.25	3.50	3.25	3.50
Median	2.75	2.75	2.75	2.75
25th Percentile	2.00	2.25	2.00	2.00
Total Responses	118	5,859	134	4,124



Growth on Civic Outcomes

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the three statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Growth on Civic Outcomes

1 = Very little; 2 = Some; 3 = Quite a bit; 4 = Very much

To what extent did your experience as an undergraduate at this institution contribute to your knowledge, skills, and personal development in the following areas?

Civic engagement

Intercultural knowledge and competence

Ethical reasoning

Table 2: Summary Results for Growth on Civic Outcomes

Table 2. Summary Results for Growth on Civic Outcomes				
	Kenyon 5-Year Cohort	All Other Alumni in 5-Year Cohort	Kenyon 10-Year Cohort	All Other Alumni in 10-Year Cohort
Mean	2.70 🔻	2.81	2.69 ≒	2.73
Standard Deviation	0.82	0.80	0.79	0.81
75th Percentile	3.25	3.50	3.25	3.50
Median	2.75	2.75	2.75	2.75
25th Percentile	2.00	2.25	2.00	2.00
Total Responses	118	5,859	134	4,124



Growth on Civic Outcomes

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the three statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Growth on Civic Outcomes

1 = Very little; 2 = Some; 3 = Quite a bit; 4 = Very much

To what extent did your experience as an undergraduate at this institution contribute to your knowledge, skills, and personal development in the following areas?

Civic engagement

Intercultural knowledge and competence

Ethical reasoning

Table 2: Summary Results for Growth on Civic Outcomes

Table 2. Summary Results for Growth on Civic Outcomes				
	Kenyon 5-Year Cohort	All Other Alumni in 5-Year Cohort	Kenyon 10-Year Cohort	All Other Alumni in 10-Year Cohort
Mean	2.70 🔻	2.81	2.69 ≒	2.73
Standard Deviation	0.82	0.80	0.79	0.81
75th Percentile	3.25	3.50	3.25	3.50
Median	2.75	2.75	2.75	2.75
25th Percentile	2.00	2.25	2.00	2.00
Total Responses	118	5,859	134	4,124



Growth on Civic Outcomes

This tab includes three tables on the <u>Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty</u> indicator. Table 1 includes the three statements from the survey that were combined to create the indicator. Table 2 compares your alumni's responses on this indicator to those of alumni from all other participating institutions. Table 3 provides comparative responses for each statement in the indicator.

Table 1: Growth on Civic Outcomes

1 = Very little; 2 = Some; 3 = Quite a bit; 4 = Very much

To what extent did your experience as an undergraduate at this institution contribute to your knowledge, skills, and personal development in the following areas?

Civic engagement

Intercultural knowledge and competence

Ethical reasoning

Table 2: Summary Results for Growth on Civic Outcomes

Table 2. Summary Results for Growth on civic outcomes											
	Kenyon 5-Year Cohort	All Other Alumni in 5-Year Cohort	Kenyon 10-Year Cohort	All Other Alumni in 10-Year Cohort							
Mean	2.70 🔻	2.81	2.69 ≒	2.73							
Standard Deviation	0.82	0.80	0.79	0.81							
75th Percentile	3.25	3.50	3.25	3.50							
Median	2.75	2.75	2.75	2.75							
25th Percentile	2.00	2.25	2.00	2.00							
Total Responses	118	5,859	134	4,124							



Responses to Questions on Colle	ege Activities								
		Kenyon All Other Alumni 5-Year Cohort in 5-Year Cohort			nyon r Cohort	All Other Alumni in 10-Year Cohort			
		n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
How frequently did you participate	e in the following activities as an undergraduate at thi	is instituti	ion? <i>(Q11)</i>						
Student or campus government	Never	87	73%	3,728	65%	91	70%	2,601	63%
	Rarely	15	13%	817	14%	15	12%	592	14%
	Sometimes	9	8%	552	10%	10	8%	421	10%
	Often			328	6%	7	5%	240	6%
	Very often			346	6%	7	5%	262	6%
	Total	119	100%	5,771	100%	130	100%	4,116	100%
Intercollegiate athletics	Never	79	66%	3,703	64%	75	56%	2,655	64%
	Rarely			420	7%	11	8%	274	7%
	Sometimes			372	6%	10	8%	276	7%
I	Often	7	6%	290	5%	9	7%	196	5%
	Very often	31	26%	984	17%	28	21%	716	17%
	Total	120	100%	5,769	100%	133	100%	4,117	100%
Intramural or club sports	Never	74	62%	2,776	48%	62	47%	1,885	46%
	Rarely	12	10%	745	65% 91 70% 2, 14% 15 12% 5 10% 10 8% 4 6% 7 5% 2 6% 7 5% 2 100% 130 100% 4, 64% 75 56% 2, 7% 11 8% 2 6% 10 8% 2 5% 9 7% 1 17% 28 21% 7 100% 133 100% 4, 48% 62 47% 1, 13% 15 11% 5 16% 28 21% 6 10% 8 6% 4 13% 20 15% 5 100% 133 100% 4, 61% 69 52% 2, 17% 23 17% 7 13% 26	567	14%		
	Sometimes	9	8%	909	16%	28	21%	679	17%
	Often	8	7%	593	10%	8	6%	427	10%
	Very often	17	14%	758	13%	20	15%	551	13%
	Total	120	100%	5,781	100%	133	100%	4,109	100%
Student publications	Never	59	49%	3,524	61%	69	52%	2,389	58%
	Rarely	19	16%	984	17%	23	17%	732	18%
	Sometimes	21	18%	727	13%	26	20%	533	13%
	Often	11	9%	300	5%	7	5%	237	6%
	Very often	10	8%	244	4%	8	6%	216	5%



Responses to Questions on Coll	ege Activities								
		Kenyon All		All Other Alumni		Kenyon		All Othe	r Alumni
		5-Year	5-Year Cohort		r Cohort	10-Year Cohort		in 10-Year Coho	
	Total	120	100%	5,779	100%	133	100%	4,107	100%
Performing arts/music	Never	44	37%	2,577	45%	35	26%	1,781	43%
	Rarely	18	15%	980	17%	13	10%	649	16%
	Sometimes	28	23%	826	14%	29	22%	670	16%
	Often	10	8%	506	9%	24	18%	353	9%
	Very often	20	17%	897	16%	32	24%	661	16%
	Total	120	100%	5,786	100%	133	100%	4,114	100%
Political organizations or clubs	Never	74	62%	3,337	58%	64	48%	2,243	55%
	Rarely	19	16%	904	16%	34	26%	750	18%
	Sometimes	10	8%	807	14%	19	14%	579	14%
	Often	10	8%	383	7%	9	7%	285	7%
	Very often	7	6%	337	6%	7	5%	247	6%
	Total	120	100%	5,768	100%	133	100%	4,104	100%



Responses to Questions on	College Activities
---------------------------	---------------------------

Responses to Questions on Coll	ege Activities								
		Kenyon 5-Year Cohort		All Other Alumni in 5-Year Cohort		Kenyon 10-Year Cohort			r Alumni ar Cohort
Community service	Never	12	10%	833	14%	22	17%	663	16%
	Rarely	29	24%	1,058	18%	22	17%	883	21%
	Sometimes	51	43%	1,971	34%	48	36%	1,383	34%
	Often	17	14%	1,120	19%	22	17%	720	17%
	Very often	11	9%	798	14%	19	14%	471	11%
	Total	120	100%	5,780	100%	133	100%	4,120	100%
Sorority/fraternity	Never	85	71%	4,071	70%	92	69%	3,090	75%
	Rarely	5	4%	199	3%	8	6%	134	3%
	Sometimes	7	6%	234	4%	10	8%	142	3%
	Often	5	4%	288	5%	7	5%	190	5%
	Very often	18	15%	985	17%	16	12%	558	14%
	Total	120	100%	5,777	100%	133	100%	4,114	100%
Religious groups	Never	93	78%	3,357	58%	80	60%	2,307	56%
	Rarely	9	8%	789	14%	25	19%	591	14%
	Sometimes	10	8%	759	13%	16	12%	573	14%
	Often	5	4%	412	7%	6	5%	311	8%
	Very often			464	8%	6	5%	327	8%
	Total	120	100%	5,781	100%	133	100%	4,109	100%
Internships (paid or unpaid)	Never	41	34%	1,800	31%	71	54%	1,516	37%
	Rarely	14	12%	498	9%	14	11%	344	8%
	Sometimes	31	26%	1,341	23%	24	18%	950	23%
	Often	21	18%	1,128	20%	14	11%	764	19%
	Very often	13	11%	1,016	18%	9	7%	542	13%
	Total	120	100%	5,783	100%	132	100%	4,116	100%
Service organizations (on or off	Never	43	36%	1,566	27%	42	32%	1,146	28%
campus)	Rarely	25	21%	1,061	18%	21	16%	781	19%

^{© 2023} Higher Education Data Sharing Consortium



Responses to Questions on Colle	ge Activities								
•			Kenyon 5-Year Cohort		r Alumni r Cohort	•		All Othe	r Alumni ar Cohort
	Sometimes	27	23%	1,436	25%	35	26%	1,072	26%
	Often	10	8%	915	16%	13	10%	658	16%
	Very often	14	12%	794	14%	22	17%	465	11%
	Total	119	100%	5,772	100%	133	100%	4,122	100%
Multicultural student groups	Never	48	40%	2,422	42%	52	39%	1,672	41%
	Rarely	23	19%	1,141	20%	34	26%	899	22%
	Sometimes	26	22%	1,142	20%	23	17%	815	20%
	Often	16	13%	570	10%	15	11%	381	9%
	Very often	7	6%	499	9%	9	7%	345	8%
	Total	120	100%	5,774	100%	133	100%	4,112	100%
Working with faculty on research	Never	49	41%	2,654	46%	73	55%	1,926	47%
	Rarely	6	5%	827	14%	14	11%	676	16%
	Sometimes	24	20%	1,060	18%	22	17%	742	18%
	Often	19	16%	656	11%	10	8%	405	10%
	Very often	22	18%	585	10%	13	10%	365	9%
	Total	120	100%	5,782	100%	132	100%	4,114	100%



Res	oonses	to C	uestions	on Colle	ge Activities
			(0.000.0		,

Responses to Questions on C	ollege Activities									
			Kenyon 5-Year Cohort		All Other Alumni in 5-Year Cohort		Kenyon 10-Year Cohort		All Other Alumni in 10-Year Cohort	
Study abroad	Never	70	59%	3,057	53%	53	40%	2,273	55%	
	Rarely			202	3%			139	3%	
	Sometimes	12	10%	822	14%	16	12%	554	13%	
	Often	17	14%	824	14%	26	20%	573	14%	
	Very often	19	16%	875	15%	37	28%	575	14%	
	Total	119	100%	5,780	100%	133	100%	4,114	100%	
On-campus employment	Never	26	22%	1,763	30%	35	27%	1,210	29%	
	Rarely	5	4%	226	4%	8	6%	201	5%	
	Sometimes	18	15%	693	12%	10	8%	577	14%	
	Often	27	23%	973	17%	29	22%	715	17%	
	Very often	44	37%	2,135	37%	50	38%	1,414	34%	
	Total	120	100%	5,790	100%	132	100%	4,117	100%	
Off-campus employment	Never	104	87%	3,009	52%	104	78%	2,123	52%	
	Rarely			457	8%	9	7%	340	8%	
	Sometimes	9	8%	817	14%	12	9%	596	14%	
	Often			604	10%	5	4%	432	11%	
	Very often			890	15%			623	15%	
	Total	119	100%	5,777	100%	133	100%	4,114	100%	
Independent study	Never	55	46%	2,504	43%	53	40%	1,604	39%	
	Rarely	8	7%	697	12%	23	17%	523	13%	
	Sometimes	25	21%	1,162	20%	28	21%	984	24%	
	Often	21	18%	778	13%	20	15%	574	14%	
	Very often	11	9%	642	11%	8	6%	422	10%	
	Total	120	100%	5,783	100%	132	100%	4,107	100%	
Other	Never	31	89%	1,446	83%	17	81%	985	82%	
	Rarely	0	0%	22	1%	0	0%	23	2%	



	•		All Othe in 5-Yea	r Alumni r Cohort		nyon ir Cohort	All Other Alumni in 10-Year Cohort	
Sometimes			57	3%			32	3%
Often	0	0%	41	2%	0	0%	31	3%
Very often			179	10%			125	10%
Total	35	100%	1,745	100%	21	100%	1,196	100%

To what extent did your experience with each of the following as an undergraduate at this institution contribute to your learning and personal development? (Q12)

In this question, alumni only saw activities in which they reported participating in above.

Student or campus	Very little	14	48%	664	34%	13	35%	458	32%
government	Some	10	34%	637	33%	16	43%	492	34%
	Quite a bit			322	17%			266	18%
	Very much			323	17%			227	16%
	Total	29	100%	1,946	100%	37	100%	1,443	100%
Intercollegiate athletics	Very little			423	22%	13	23%	311	22%
	Some	7	18%	388	20%	8	14%	281	20%
	Quite a bit	9	23%	343	17%	11	20%	252	18%
	Very much	20	51%	808	41%	24	43%	559	40%
	Total	39	100%	1,962	100%	56	100%	1,403	100%
Intramural or club sports	Very little	12	29%	972	34%	19	28%	746	35%
	Some	11	26%	923	32%	24	35%	266 227 237 38 1,443 8 311 8 281 9 252 9 559 2 1,403 8 746 8 723 8 353 9 299 2,121 9 513 9 658	34%
	Quite a bit	9	21%	527	18%	9	13%		17%
	Very much	10	24%	449	16%	17	25%	299	14%
	Total	42	100%	2,871	100%	69	100%	2,121	100%
Student publications	Very little	9	16%	696	32%	17	27%	513	31%
	Some	24	41%	814	38%	25	40%	658	40%
	Quite a bit	13	22%	351	16%	13	21%	259	16%



Responses to Questions on Colle	ege Activities								
			nyon r Cohort		er Alumni ar Cohort		nyon ar Cohort	All Othe	er Alumni ar Cohort
	Very much	12	21%	284	13%	7	11%	220	13%
	Total	58	100%	2,145	100%	62	100%	1,650	100%
Performing arts/music	Very little	20	27%	755	25%	19	20%	492	22%
	Some	20	27%	917	30%	30	32%	742	33%
	Quite a bit	13	18%	605	20%	20	21%	447	20%
	Very much	20	27%	789	26%	26	27%	571	25%
	Total	73	100%	3,066	100%	95	100%	2,252	100%
Political organizations or clubs	Very little	15	37%	819	36%	28	42%	602	34%
	Some	16	39%	809	35%	22	33%	650	37%
	Quite a bit	8	20%	389	17%	11	16%	309	17%
	Very much	2	5%	284	12%	6	9%	212	12%
	Total	41	100%	2,301	100%	67	100%	1,773	100%
Community service	Very little	19	19%	712	15%	21	19%	553	17%
	Some	44	44%	1,752	37%	42	39%	1,318	40%
	Quite a bit	22	22%	1,297	27%	28	26%	876	26%
	Very much	16	16%	987	21%	17	16%	572	17%
	Total	101	100%	4,748	100%	108	100%	3,319	100%
Sorority/fraternity	Very little	9	27%	229	14%	11	29%	159	16%
	Some	7	21%	331	20%	12	32%	215	22%
	Quite a bit	9	27%	354	22%	5	13%	216	22%
	Very much	8	24%	714	44%	10	26%	397	40%
<u>,</u>	Total	33	100%	1,628	100%	38	100%	987	100%
Religious groups	Very little	10	40%	617	27%	20	38%	470	27%
	Some	9	36%	788	34%	22	42%	588	34%
	Quite a bit			475	20%	7	13%	358	21%
	Very much	5	20%	443	19%	3	6%	325	19%



Responses to Questions on College Activities Kenyon **All Other Alumni** Kenyon **All Other Alumni 5-Year Cohort** in 5-Year Cohort 10-Year Cohort in 10-Year Cohort 25 100% 2,323 100% 52 100% 1,741 100% Total Internships (paid or unpaid) Very little 7 9% 326 11 221 9% 9% 19% 23% 768 27% 603 Some 17 20% 16 24% Quite a bit 1,136 753 20 27% 30% 19 32% 30% Very much 41% 1,576 13 22% 924 37% 31 41%

75

3,806

100%

59

100%

2,501

100%

100%

Total



Responses to Questions on Colle	8c / (dil / (lics								
			nyon r Cohort		r Alumni r Cohort		nyon ar Cohort	All Othe	-
Service organizations (on or off	Very little	17	24%	764	19%	19	21%	568	20%
campus)	Some	32	44%	1,447	36%	36	40%	1,131	40%
	Quite a bit	15	21%	1,005	25%	18	20%	701	25%
	Very much	8	11%	823	20%	16	18%	453	16%
	Total	72	100%	4,039	100%	89	100%	2,853	100%
Multicultural student groups	Very little	8	12%	595	19%	23	29%	481	21%
	Some	34	52%	1,196	38%	29	37%	905	39%
	Quite a bit	12	18%	760	24%	16	20%	541	23%
	Very much	12	18%	635	20%	11	14%	405	17%
	Total	66	100%	3,186	100%	79	100%	2,332	100%
Working with faculty on research	Very little			426	14%	6	11%	354	17%
	Some	13	20%	815	27%	12	21%	635	30%
	Quite a bit	17	26%	702	23%	16	28%	517	25%
	Very much	35	53%	1,057	35%	23	40%	596	28%
	Total	66	100%	3,000	100%	57	100%	2,102	100%
Study abroad	Very little	0	0%	64	2%	0	0%	47	3%
	Some			287	11%	8	10%	147	8%
	Quite a bit	13	28%	569	22%	14	18%	431	24%
	Very much	31	66%	1,703	65%	56	72%	1,166	65%
	Total	47	100%	2,623	100%	78	100%	1,791	100%
On-campus employment	Very little	10	11%	478	12%	19	20%	367	13%
	Some	17	19%	887	23%	23	24%	780	28%
	Quite a bit	29	33%	1,057	27%	25	26%	769	27%
	Very much	32	36%	1,442	37%	28	29%	896	32%
	Total	88	100%	3,864	100%	95	100%	2,812	100%
Off-campus employment	Very little			494	19%	9	33%	399	21%

^{© 2023} Higher Education Data Sharing Consortium



Responses to Questions on College Activities Kenyon Kenyon All Other Alumni **All Other Alumni 5-Year Cohort** in 10-Year Cohort in 5-Year Cohort **10-Year Cohort** 686 26% 10 37% 560 29% Some Quite a bit 23% 463 613 24% Very much 32% 854 488 26% **Total** 2,647 100% 100% 1,910 100% 12 100% 27 Independent study Very little 8 469 15% 11% 349 15% 907 Some 19 32% 29% 25 33% 775 32% Quite a bit 17 28% 17 23% 677 28% 883 28% 21 Very much 35% 875 28% 25 33% 599 25% Total 60 100% 3,134 100% 75 100% 2,400 100% Other Very little 21 0 0% 25 9% 0 0% 11% Some 44 17% 0 0% 37 19% 31 Quite a bit 0 0% 44 17% 16%

153

266

58%

100%

102

191

53%

100%

Very much

Total



This tab includes three tables on alumni's connection to and satisfaction with their alma mater. In Table 1, we show alumni's mean scores for connection to and satisfaction with their institution. In Table 2, we show responses for the connection and satisfaction questions, as well as the degree to which alumni felt the institution helped prepare them for their postgraduate activities. In Table 3, we show connection and satisfaction mean scores, disaggregated by undergraduate major and current primary activity.

Table 1: Summary Results for College Satisfaction				
	Kenyon 5-Year Cohort	All Other Alumni in 5-Year Cohort	Kenyon 10-Year Cohort	All Other Alumni in 10-Year Cohort
Connection to Institution Means range from 1 (No connection) to 4 (Very strong connection)				
Mean	3.30 ≒	3.26	3.39 🔺	3.20
Standard Deviation	0.74	0.75	0.77	0.77
75th Percentile	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00
Median	3.00	3.00	4.00	3.00
25th Percentile	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00
Total Responses	115	5,684	129	4,028
Satisfaction with Institution Means range from 1 (Very dissatisfied) to 5 (Very satisfied)				
Mean	4.31 ≒	4.33	4.38 ≒	4.37
Standard Deviation	0.88	0.85	0.92	0.82
75th Percentile	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
Median	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
25th Percentile	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00
Total Responses	115	5,679	129	4,025



College Satisfaction

This tab includes three tables on alumni's connection to and satisfaction with their alma mater. In Table 1, we show alumni's mean scores for connection to and satisfaction with their institution. In Table 2, we show responses for the connection and satisfaction questions, as well as the degree to which alumni felt the institution helped prepare them for their postgraduate activities. In Table 3, we show connection and satisfaction mean scores, disaggregated by undergraduate major and current

▼Small negative difference ▼▼Medium negative difference ▼▼▼ Large negative difference

		Kei	nyon	All Othe	r Alumni	Ken	iyon	All Othe	r Alumni
			r Cohort		r Cohort		r Cohort	in 10-Year Coho	
		n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
How connected do you feel to this	institution? (Q13)								
No connection				133	2%			118	3%
Very little connection		16	14%	646	11%	14	11%	507	13%
Some connection		45	39%	2,541	45%	42	33%	1,851	46%
Very strong connection		53	46%	2,364	42%	70	54%	1,552	39%
Total			4000/	F 60.4	4000/	400	4000/	4.020	4000/
Total		115	100%	5,684	100%	129	100%	4,028	100%
	en with your undergraduate education at this institu					129	100%	,	
Overall, how satisfied have you be Very dissatisfied	en with your undergraduate education at this institu			84	1%			4,028	100%
Overall, how satisfied have you be	en with your undergraduate education at this institu	tion? (Q14)					,	
Overall, how satisfied have you be Very dissatisfied	en with your undergraduate education at this institu	tion? (Q14		84	1%			46	1%
Overall, how satisfied have you be Very dissatisfied Dissatisfied	en with your undergraduate education at this institu	tion? (Q14	 	84 171	1% 3%	 5	 4%	46 107	1% 3%
Overall, how satisfied have you be Very dissatisfied Dissatisfied Neither satisfied nor dissatisfied	en with your undergraduate education at this institu	 8) 7%	84 171 391	1% 3% 7%	 5 12	 4% 9%	46 107 295	1% 3% 7%
Overall, how satisfied have you be Very dissatisfied Dissatisfied Neither satisfied nor dissatisfied Satisfied	en with your undergraduate education at this institu	 8 43	 7% 37%	84 171 391 2,155	1% 3% 7% 38%	 5 12 33	 4% 9% 26%	46 107 295 1,452	1% 3% 7% 36%
Overall, how satisfied have you be Very dissatisfied Dissatisfied Neither satisfied nor dissatisfied Satisfied Very satisfied Total	en with your undergraduate education at this institu	tion? (Q14) 8 43 58 115	7% 37% 50% 100%	84 171 391 2,155 2,878 5,679	1% 3% 7% 38% 51% 100%	 5 12 33 77	 4% 9% 26% 60%	46 107 295 1,452 2,125	1% 3% 7% 36% 53%
Overall, how satisfied have you be Very dissatisfied Dissatisfied Neither satisfied nor dissatisfied Satisfied Very satisfied Total		tion? (Q14) 8 43 58 115	7% 37% 50% 100%	84 171 391 2,155 2,878 5,679	1% 3% 7% 38% 51% 100%	 5 12 33 77	 4% 9% 26% 60%	46 107 295 1,452 2,125	1% 3% 7% 36% 53%



College Satisfaction

This tab includes three tables on alumni's connection to and satisfaction with their alma mater. In Table 1, we show alumni's mean scores for connection to and satisfaction with their institution. In Table 2, we show responses for the connection and satisfaction questions, as well as the degree to which alumni felt the institution helped prepare them for their postgraduate activities. In Table 3, we show connection and satisfaction mean scores, disaggregated by undergraduate major and current

inciped prepare them for their postgrat	auate activities, iii Table 5, we show connection and	Sausiacu	on mean s	corcs, arse	ISSICSULCE	I DV UHUCI	<u> siauuatti</u>	Halol alla	CULLCIL
	Quite a bit	25	22%	1,830	32%	30	24%	1,172	29%
	Very much	66	57%	2,502	44%	69	55%	1,904	47%
	Total	116	100%	5,738	100%	126	100%	4,062	100%
Current career	Very little	13	11%	644	11%	14	10%	477	11%
	Some	32	27%	1,427	24%	37	27%	1,052	25%
	Quite a bit	37	31%	1,934	33%	41	30%	1,327	32%
	Very much	38	32%	1,938	33%	44	32%	1,344	32%
	Total	120	100%	5,943	100%	136	100%	4,200	100%
Interpersonal relationships and family	Very little	17	14%	879	15%	21	15%	604	14%
living	Some	35	29%	1,743	29%	36	26%	1,313	31%
	Quite a bit	44	36%	1,855	31%	43	31%	1,341	32%
	Very much	25	21%	1,478	25%	37	27%	945	22%
	Total	121	100%	5,955	100%	137	100%	4,203	100%



This tab includes three tables on alumni's connection to and satisfaction with their alma mater. In Table 1, we show alumni's mean scores for connection to and satisfaction with their institution. In Table 2, we show responses for the connection and satisfaction questions, as well as the degree to which alumni felt the institution

helped prepare them for their postgraduate activities. In Table 3, we show connection and satisfaction mean scores, disaggregated by undergraduate major and current Responsibilities of post-undergraduate Very little 54% 2.049 34% 72 53% 1,494 36% 65 life (e.g., managing finances, 39 40 29% 1,484 Some 32% 2.046 34% 35% maintaining health, creating a home) Quite a bit 1,125 19% 13 9% 805 19% 13 11% Very much 740 12% 12 9% 423 10% Total 121 100% 5.960 100% 137 100% 4,206 100% Continued learning on my own or Very little 8 613 10% 14 10% 471 7% 11% outside of a degree program (e.g., Some 37 31% 1,627 27% 34 25% 1,119 27% learning a new language, professional 41 34% 31% 46 34% 1,286 31% Quite a bit 1,843 certification, learning a craft) Very much 35 29% 1,862 31% 42 31% 1,320 31% **Total** 121 100% 5,945 100% 136 100% 4,196 100%

Table 3: Connection and Satisfaction by Undergraduate Major and Primary Activi	ity							
	5-Year Cohort		All Other Alumni in 5-Year Cohort		10-Year Cohort			er Alumni ear Cohort
Mean score for "How connected do you feel to this institution?"(Q13) by Undergraduat	n Maior /	Mean (025)	l n	Mean	n	Mean	l n	Mean
Means range from 1 (No connection) to 4 (Very strong connection)	c iviajoi (QZJ						
Biological Sciences (e.g., Biology, Biochemistry, Environmental Science, Neuroscience/Biopsychology)	12	3.58	129	3.26	13	3.31	60	3.18
Business and Management (e.g., Accounting, Business Administration, Finance, Marketing)			193	3.18			98	3.04
Communications (e.g., Journalism, Mass Communication, Speech, Speech Pathology)			59	3.20	0		55	3.33
Education (e.g., Elementary Education, Secondary Education, Special Education)	0		68	3.35	0		58	3.26
Engineering (e.g., Chemical Engineering, Civil Engineering, Electrical Engineering, Mechanical Engineering)	0		25	3.48	0		18	3.39



College Satisfaction

This tab includes three tables on alumni's connection to and satisfaction with their alma mater. In Table 1, we show alumni's mean scores for connection to and satisfaction with their institution. In Table 2, we show responses for the connection and satisfaction questions, as well as the degree to which alumni felt the institution belong prepare them for their postgraduate activities. In Table 3, we show connection and satisfaction mean scores, disaggregated by undergraduate major and current

<u>neiped prepare them for their postgraduate activities, in Table 3, we show connection and</u>	Sausiacu	<u>on mean s</u>	<u>cores, aisa</u>	iggregatet	<u>. bv under</u>	graduate i	<u>liajor aliu</u>	<u>current</u>
Fine and Performing Arts (e.g., Architecture, Art, Dance, Music, Theatre)	5	3.20	66	3.02	9	2.89	57	3.00
Health Sciences (e.g., Exercise Science, Nursing, Pharmacy, Public Health)			106	3.11			52	3.12
Humanities (e.g., Classics, English, Modern Languages & Literature, Philosophy)	31	3.29	135	3.31	46	3.41	100	3.28
Physical Sciences, Mathematics, and Computer Science (e.g., Astronomy, Chemistry,	15	3.27	85	3.36	10	3.60	68	3.32
Earth Sciences, Physics)								
Social Sciences (e.g., Anthropology, Economics, Political Science, Psychology, Sociology)	36	3.39	309	3.23	36	3.53	202	3.24
Other	7	3.29	98	3.22	6	3.33	87	3.20



College Satisfaction

This tab includes three tables on alumni's connection to and satisfaction with their alma mater. In Table 1, we show alumni's mean scores for connection to and satisfaction with their institution. In Table 2, we show responses for the connection and satisfaction questions, as well as the degree to which alumni felt the institution

helped prepare them for their postgraduate activities. In Table 3, we show connection a		on mean s	cores. disa	ggregated	d by unde	rgraduate	maior and	current
Mean score for "How connected do you feel to this institution?"(Q13) by Primary Act	ivity (Q1)							
Means range from 1 (No connection) to 4 (Very strong connection)								
Employed, full-time	-		4,241	3.25	-		3,328	3.21
Employed, part-time	-		140	3.11	-		167	3.11
Employed, multiple jobs	-		220	3.17	-		151	3.11
Graduate or professional school, full-time	-		772	3.37	-		152	3.28
Graduate or professional school, part-time	-		23	3.22	-		15	3.00
Military service	-		34	3.26	-		29	3.00
Volunteer or national service (Peace Corps, AmeriCorps, etc.)	-		11	3.00	-			
Not employed, but seeking employment, admission to graduate school, or other	-		135	3.11	-		53	3.17
opportunity								
Not employed and not seeking employment or admission to graduate school	-		70	3.19	-		112	3.16
(homemaker, traveling, volunteer, retired, etc.)								
Mean score for "Overall, how satisfied have you been with your undergraduate								
education at this institution?" (Q14) by Undergraduate Major (Q25)								
Means range from 1 (Very dissatisfied) to 5 (Very satisfied)								
Biological Sciences (e.g., Biology, Biochemistry, Environmental Science,	12	4.75	129	4.29	13	4.69	60	4.42
Neuroscience/Biopsychology)								
Business and Management (e.g., Accounting, Business Administration, Finance,			193	4.32			98	4.22
Marketing)								
Communications (e.g., Journalism, Mass Communication, Speech, Speech Pathology)			59	4.12	0		55	4.33
Education (e.g., Elementary Education, Secondary Education, Special Education)	0		68	4.57	0		58	4.36
Engineering (e.g., Chemical Engineering, Civil Engineering, Electrical Engineering,	0		25	4.40	0		18	4.56
Mechanical Engineering)								
Fine and Performing Arts (e.g., Architecture, Art, Dance, Music, Theatre)	5	4.20	66	3.92	9	4.00	57	4.00
Health Sciences (e.g., Exercise Science, Nursing, Pharmacy, Public Health)			106	4.23			52	4.50



College Satisfaction

This tab includes three tables on alumni's connection to and satisfaction with their alma mater. In Table 1, we show alumni's mean scores for connection to and satisfaction with their institution. In Table 2, we show responses for the connection and satisfaction questions, as well as the degree to which alumni felt the institution helped prepare them for their postgraduate activities. In Table 3, we show connection and satisfaction mean scores, disaggregated by undergraduate major and current Humanities (e.g., Classics, English, Modern Languages & Literature, Philosophy) 4.35 100 31 135 4.38 46 4.41 4.39 Physical Sciences, Mathematics, and Computer Science (e.g., Astronomy, Chemistry, 15 68 4.40 85 4.42 10 4.40 4.43 Earth Sciences, Physics) Social Sciences (e.g., Anthropology, Economics, Political Science, Psychology, Sociology) 36 4.31 309 4.34 36 4.47 202 4.42 Other 98 87 4.00 4.29 4.17 4.26 Mean score for "Overall. how satisfied have you been with your undergraduate education at this institution?" (Q9) by Primary Activity (Q1) Means range from 1 (Very dissatisfied) to 5 (Very satisfied) Employed, full-time 4.237 4.34 3,325 4.39 Employed, part-time 140 4.09 167 4.29 Employed, multiple jobs 221 4.11 150 4.23 Graduate or professional school, full-time 153 4.43 771 4.47 Graduate or professional school, part-time 23 4.26 15 3.93 Military service 34 4.29 29 4.21 Volunteer or national service (Peace Corps, AmeriCorps, etc.) 11 3.91 Not employed, but seeking employment, admission to graduate school, or other 134 53 4.04 3.98 opportunity Not employed and not seeking employment or admission to graduate school 70 112 4.25 4.10

(homemaker, traveling, volunteer, retired, etc.)



Technical Information

This report summarizes data for 1-year, 5-year, 10-year, and 10+ year alumni cohorts from 62 institutions (listed below) that administered the HEDS Alumni Survey in the 2018–2019, 2019–2020, 2020–2021, and/or 2021-2022 academic years. Institutions may have surveyed alumni who graduated at other times, but we do not include that data in this report. The average response rate was 25%.

	Participating I	nstitutions and Nu	ımber of Respons	es		
		# of Respondents -	# of Respondents -	# of Respondents -	# of Respondents - 10+	2
	Administration Year	1-Year Cohort	5-Year Cohort ¹	10-Year Cohort ¹	Years Cohort ¹	Response Rate ²
Agnes Scott College	2019–2020	66	121	60		38%
Albertus Magnus College	2018–2019		55	17		8%
Alma College	2019–2020	58	62	43		25%
Arkansas State University	2018–2019	206				10%



			_	_		1
Arkansas State University	2019–2020	385				18%
Augustana College	2020-2021	305	96	101	99	22%
Baldwin Wallace University	2018–2019		56	63		11%
Baldwin Wallace University	2021-2022		26	43		5%
Beloit College	2018–2019	109	109	106		17%
Benedictine College	2018–2019		87	39		27%
Biola University	2021-2022	90	76	51	12	10%
Bucknell University	2018–2019	341	288	287		18%
Cazenovia College	2021-2022	15	72	44	583	11%
Concordia University Texas	2021-2022		29	29		19%
Dickinson College	2018–2019	189	165	158		33%
Dickinson College	2020-2021	210	217	185		41%
Dickinson College	2021-2022	131	122	113		24%
Doane University	2021-2022	41	33	33		10%
Dominican University of California	2020-2021	76	26	16		
Dominican University of California	2021-2022	49	26			14%
Earlham College	2019–2020		19	21		8%*
George Fox University	2019–2020	200	113	93		23%
Gettysburg College	2021-2022		217			36%
Goshen College	2019–2020		34	75		43%
Goshen College	2021-2022		27	50		27%
Grinnell College	2018–2019		70	101		30%
Hampden-Sydney College	2018–2019	40	29	14		9%
Hanover College	2018–2019	63	67	49		29%
Hanover College	2019–2020	70	53	51	<u> </u>	30%
Hanover College	2020-2021	59	41	50		23%
Hanover College	2020-2021	40	43	44		20%
Harvey Mudd College	2018–2019	78	70	54		40%
Harvey Mudd College	2019–2020	69	39	22		26%
Harvey Mudd College	2020-2021	69	50	46		33%
Harvey Mudd College	2021-2022	51	43	46		28%
Haverford College	2018–2019	135	106	104		39%
Haverford College	2021-2022		88	61	203	27%
Hood College	2018–2019		45	47		16%
Hood College	2019–2020		34	25		9%
Hood College	2020-2021	34	42	31		13%
Hood College	2021-2022	51	40	47		16%
Howard University	2021-2022	156	90	81	570	6%
Illinois Wesleyan University	2020-2021	130	133	71	370	19%
Kenyon College	2018–2019		143	109		36%
	2020-2021		143	103		20%
Kenyon College		0	1.00	102		
Kenyon College	2021-2022	0	165	182		22%
Knox College	2020-2021	153	107	156	57	25%
Lewis & Clark College	2021-2022	32	26	16		
Macalester College	2019–2020		218			51%
Manhattan College	2018–2019		8	12		3%*
Occidental College	2021-2022	80	88	56		19%
Olivet College	2018–2019		13	35		19%
Ouachita Baptist University	2021-2022	121	35	15		18%
Point Park University	2021-2022	164	91	92		18%
Principia College	2018–2019		102	102		39%
Principia College	2019–2020	80				40%
Principia College	2020-2021	93	105	81		47%
Quinnipiac University	2018–2019	428	269	 		25%
Quinniplac University Quinniplac University	2020-2021	626	502	303		18%
	2018–2019	020		157	+	22%
Reed College		+	139			
Reed College	2020-2021		202	187		29%
Saint Anselm College	2020-2021		101	88		32%
Saint Martin's University	2018–2019	69	48	16		6%
Salve Regina University	2021-2022	110	61	75		21%
Scripps College	2018–2019	47	39	39	302	19%
Scripps College	2019–2020	69	77	53		29%
Scripps College	2020-2021	51	51	42		23%
Scripps College	2021-2022	42	54	26		16%
Soka University of America	2021-2022	36	66	104	290	54%
Southwestern University	2021-2022	-	43	43		14%
St. Catherine University	2021-2022	149	88	·-		19%
St. Edwards University		162	82	82		18%
on Lawaras Offiversity	/11/11-/11/1	1 102			+	27%
St. Norhert College	2020-2021		172	/L	-	
St. Norbert College	2018–2019		123	75		
St. Norbert College	2018–2019 2019–2020			80		23%
St. Norbert College St. Norbert College	2018–2019 2019–2020 2020-2021		92	80 116		23% 28%
St. Norbert College St. Norbert College St. Norbert College	2018–2019 2019–2020 2020-2021 2021-2022		92 130	80		23% 28% 17%
St. Norbert College St. Norbert College St. Norbert College St. Olaf College	2018–2019 2019–2020 2020-2021 2021-2022 2020-2021		92 130 282	80 116		23% 28% 17% 21%
St. Norbert College St. Norbert College St. Norbert College	2018–2019 2019–2020 2020-2021 2021-2022		92 130	80 116		23% 28% 17%
St. Norbert College St. Norbert College St. Norbert College St. Olaf College	2018–2019 2019–2020 2020-2021 2021-2022 2020-2021		92 130 282	80 116		23% 28% 17% 21%
St. Norbert College St. Norbert College St. Norbert College St. Olaf College Susquehanna University	2018–2019 2019–2020 2020-2021 2021-2022 2020-2021 2018–2019		92 130 282 79	80 116		23% 28% 17% 21% 25%



The American University of Paris	2019–2020		14	14	14%
The American University of Paris	2020-2021		16		
The American University of Paris	2021-2022	14	8		 6%
The College of New Jersey	2018–2019	338	276	89	6%
Trinity University	2018–2019		50	85	12%
University of California - Irvine	2020-2021	819	265	249	 9%
University of Saint Katherine	2020-2021	38	6		52%
Wake Forest University	2018–2019		207		26%
Wake Forest University	2021-2022		127		14%
Washburn University	2018–2019	134	87	68	17%
Washburn University	2021-2022	143	117	89	9%
Whittier College	2019–2020		37	32	11%
Whittier College	2020-2021		54	51	18%
Whittier College	2021-2022		42	52	12%
William Woods University	2019–2020	48	50	37	 31%
Wofford College	2018–2019	170	119	84	 12%
Xavier University	2018–2019		91	90	16%*

¹Respondents include alumni who answered at least one question. The 1-year cohort includes alumni who graduated in 2017 or 2018 for the 2018–2019 administration, in 2018 or 2019 for the 2019–2020 administration, in 2014 or 2015 for the 2021–2022 administration. The 5-year cohort includes alumni who graduated in 2013 or 2014 for the 2018–2019 administration, in 2014 or 2015 for the 2019–2020 administration, in 2015 or 2016 for the 2020–2021 administration, and in 2016 or 2017 for the 2021-2022 administration. The 10-year cohort includes alumni who graduated in 2008 or 2009 for the 2018–2019 administration, in 2009 or 2010 for the 2019–2020 administration, in 2010 or 2011 for the 2020–2021 administration, and in 2011 or 2012 for the 2021–2022 administration.

²We calculated the response rate by dividing an institution's number of respondents, including those alumni who did not fall into one of the cohorts included in the report, by the number of emails successfully delivered through Qualtrics.
*Institution used authentication method, and response rates for these institutions do not factor in bounced email addresses.

Indicators

This file contains information on five dimensions of undergraduate experience. The five indicators and their reliabilities are:

- Good Teaching and High-Quality Interactions with Faculty 9 statements, Cronbach's α = 0.92
- Challenging Assignments and High Faculty Expectations 14 questions, Cronbach's α = 0.90
- Interactions with Diversity 6 questions, Cronbach's α = 0.86
- Growth on Intellectual Outcomes 10 questions, Cronbach's α = 0.86
- Growth on Civic Outcomes 4 questions, Cronbach's α = 0.84

The first three dimensions are based on indicators that the Center of Inquiry developed and validated in the Wabash National Study. We developed the indicators measuring intellectual, social, and civic outcomes based on responses to the HEDS Alumni Survey and HEDS Senior Survey. Please note that only those alumni who answered every question in the indicator receive a score.

Calculating Effect Sizes

We calculated effect sizes for key comparisons rather than using tests of statistical significance. We have so many comparisons that using a test of significance for each comparison would increase the likelihood of a Type I error should the null hypothesis be correct in any of the comparisons. Unfortunately, correcting for this would dramatically reduce the power of our comparisons, thereby increasing the chance of Type II errors if the differences are the result of more than random influences. So, we have calculated effect sizes to provide a guide for assessing the magnitude of the differences between groups. We compared the means of two groups calculating effect size using Cohen's d. We only calculated Cohen's d when $n \ge 10$ in each cell in the comparison.

Following the practice of the National Survey of Student Engagement (http://www.rpajournal.com/dev/wp-content/uploads/2019/02/RPA_Summer_Fall_Issue_2018_A2.pdf) we used the following thresholds for small, medium, and large effect sizes for Cohen's d:

- * Large 0.5
- * Medium 0.3
- * Small 0.1

Survey Questions

Survey questions 19 and 20 are shown combined to provide a complete picture of when alumni found their first paying job.

Survey questions 10, 15, and 16 are open-ended questions. The responses to these questions are included in your data file but not this report.

Demographics

We use responses from Question 31 ("What was your citizenship status during the time you were enrolled as an undergraduate?"), Question 32 ("Are you Hispanic or Latino/a?"), and Question 33 ("Please indicate the race or races with which you identify. (Choose one or more)") to create the race/ethnicity categories so that they align with the race/ethnicity categories from IPEDS: https://nces.ed.gov/ipeds/report-your-data/race-ethnicity-definitions. Alumni who indicated that they were not a U.S. citizen or permanent resident in Question 31 were categorized as such, regardless of their responses to Questions 32 and 33. Alumni who indicated that they were Hispanic or Latino/a were categorized as such, regardless of their response to Question 33. Alumni who selected multiple races for Question 33 were categorized as "Two or more races." Alumni who selected one race for Question 33 were categorized accordingly. Alumni who skipped these questions or who skipped Question 33 and could not be categorized as "Not a U.S. citizen or permanent resident" or "Hispanic or Latino/a" were categorized as "Unknown."





Understandings Regarding the Useof Information Gathered for HEDS

Data Sharing Practices

You can share this report without any restrictions. We send each participating institution (both members and non-members) this report, comparing their results to those of other institutions. This report contains results from all of the HEDS members and non-members that participated in the HEDS Alumni Survey, but it aggregates data from all other institutions into one comparison group. These reports do not identify data from individual institutions.